

Richard Nixon Presidential Library
Contested Materials Collection
Folder List

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
50	44	2/20/1969	<input type="checkbox"/>	White House Staff	Memo	From Rose Mary Woods to Peter Flanigan RE: Stewart Simpson. 1pg.

DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD [NIXON PROJECT]

DOCUMENT NUMBER	DOCUMENT TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE OR CORRESPONDENTS	DATE	RESTRICTION
N-1 [Doc 22]	memo	RMW to Flanigan re New Jersey / Penn. patronage	2/11/69	C (Nixon)
N-2 [Doc 23]	memo	RMW to Flanigan re Stewart Simpson	2/20/69	C (Nixon)
N-3 [Doc 24]	letter	Coombs to RMW re Athalie Clarke	2/18/69	C (Nixon)
N-4 [Doc 25]	memo	RMW to Flanigan, HRH, JDE, & Harlow re Wm. Driver.	2/22/69	C (Nixon)

FILE GROUP TITLE

PPF

RMW Name / Subject File

BOX NUMBER

33

FOLDER TITLE

MEMOS - 1969 - R.M. WOODS [2 of 6, February]

RESTRICTION CODES

- A. Release would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy.
- B. National security classified information.
- C. Pending or approved claim that release would violate an individual's rights.
- D. Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy or a libel of a living person.

- E. Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information.
- F. Release would disclose investigatory information compiled for law enforcement purposes.
- G. Withdrawn and return private and personal material.
- H. Withdrawn and returned non-historical material.

Presidential Materials Review Board

Review on Contested Documents

Collection: President's Personal Files
Box Number: 33

Folder: Memos - 1969 - R. M. Woods [2 of 6, February]

<u>Document</u>	<u>Disposition</u>
22	Retain Open
23	Return Private/Political
24	Retain Open
25	Retain Close Invasion of Privacy

February 20, 1969

TO: Peter Flanigan

FROM: Rose Mary Woods

The attached letter from former Ambassador William D. Pawley concerning Stewart Simpson should be checked out. If the allegations Mr. Pawley's friend makes are correct I would agree with his statement that "Mr. Simpson should be replaced by a more objective State Executive Director, etc."