

Richard Nixon Presidential Library  
White House Special Files Collection  
Folder List

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Glen Anderson for California Lt. Governor. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Roybal for Congress- support of Medicare. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Legislative Accomplishments of Gov. Edmund G. (Pat) Brown. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	General Election 11/06/1962 AFL-CIO Labor Endorsements. Not scanned.
66	1	10/19/1962	Newsletter	Nixon Tries to Play Both Ends Against The Middle in Double Talk on FEPC in the Weekly Newsletter published by California Labor Federation. Not scanned.
66	1	10/06/1962	Newspaper	Nixon No, Brown No. Written by Alan L. Otten for the Wallstreet Journal. Not scanned.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
66	1	n.d.	Letter	Open Letter to California Voters from T.J. Toma (California Voter's Draft of Joseph C. Shell for Governor.)
66	1	10/27/1962	Letter	From California Voters Draft of Joseph C. Shell for (California) Governor. Comparative records of the candidates.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	California Labor moves ahead with Governor Edmund G. 'Pat' Brown. A look at his record and the record of his opponent.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	'Unemployed Aid'- Support US Senator Thomas H. Kuchel, a proven friend of labor. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Insure excellence in Education- elect Dr. Ralhp Richardson for State Superintendent of Public Instruction. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	The record of Senator Richard Richards. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Re-elect Lt. Governor Anderson (Democrat). Not scanned.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	John F. Kennedy political stances. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Other Document	A list of candidates endorsed by the Los Angeles County COPE, AFL-CIO, for 11/06/1962 general election.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Re-elect attorney general Stanley Mosk. Not scanned. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Bartenders' Union Local No. 284 striking Shatto 39 Bowling Lanes. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	State of California must retain Bert A. betts as State Treasurer. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	A Great record: Re-elect Pat Brown. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Los Angeles US Senators and Representatives- how they voted on key issues. Not scanned.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Why Lose The Benefits Your Union Won? By Samuel Kalish. Not scanned.
66	1	10/07/1962	Newspaper	For Liberty: a NO on 24. Author unknown for the Los Angeles Times. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Oppose Communism, Preserve Liberty, Vote "NO" on Prop. 24.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Charles Warren for Assembly. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Vote for State Senate Reappointment. Vote YES on #23. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Book	Elect Mervyn M. "Merv" Dymally your Assemblyman for the 53rd district. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Re-elect Attorney General Stanley Mosk. Not scanned.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Action! Richard Richards, Democrat, US Senator. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	10 Points for Progress- Governor Pat Brown's. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Don Rose- Democrat for Secretary of State. Not scanned.
66	1	05/13/1962	Newspaper	She's Fighting 15 Men by Barbara Hansen for the Los Angeles Herald-Examiner. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Success Story. Will it be yours? Good jobs for those who qualify. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Just One Vote. Brochure about the importance of each informed vote. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Re-elect Alan Cranston- Your State Controller. Not scanned.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Contribuyamos para Conseguir. Lo Que Necesitamos. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	We Want Assemblyman Augustus F. Hawkins (Democrat) for Congress. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	Every Californian Should Vote Yes on #23.
66	1	07/24/1962	Brochure	Time Out to Right an Injustice. Written by Carl Greenberg for the Los Angeles Times. Not scanned.
66	1	10/12/1962	Newspaper	Check Machine Locks When Money Runs Out. Written by mike jackson for the Los Angeles Herald Examiner. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Newspaper	Demos Unite for Roybal Win. Author unknown for The Thirtieth Congressional District Democrat. Not scanned.
66	1	n.d.	Brochure	The Right to Vote by James T. McCain, CORE Director of Organization. A brochure about the positives of helping black voter registration. Not scanned.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
66	1	n.d.	Other Document	Voter Button: 'Pat Brown for Me'. Not scanned.

OPEN LETTER TO CALIFORNIA VOTERS:

" I have sworn upon the altar of God, eternal hostility  
against every form of tyranny over the minds of men. "

Thomas Jefferson

The California Voters' Draft of JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR Committee was formed by a group of concerned Republicans and Democrats. We take this action primarily because we believe in resisting ALL evil wherever and whenever confronted with it, especially the anti-freedom variety. We will not accept or condone the " lesser of two evils ". For intelligent men and women to accept voluntarily this false predication is hypocrisy. This erroneous concept was brought about by a mis-education over a long period by the Internationalists and the CFR boys controlling government; consequently, I can easily forgive anyone for taking this defeatist anti-God position. I was guilty of this same hypocrisy in 1960, but never again. Let's start thinking about this -- give it some serious mental absorption and consideration. We will never win or turn the tide against evil (God-less one-worlders) unless we stand up for TRUTH and for the principles (Christian and American traditions). As long as we blindly accept the " lesser of two evils ", matters will get worse - not better.

THE ISSUE IS: Not whether we can win with Joseph C. Shell, per se, but are we going to help evil or resist evil? Do we believe in 'Right or Wrong'? Only God knows who the winner will be, we can only guess. Here's something we do know (and no guessing) --- Nixon and Brown do not possess the unblemished character or sound political and economical philosophy of Joseph C. Shell, and the record will bear this out. We must show the world that we will not give evil a mandate. We must always display righteous indignation against that which is not pro-America. PROTEST! PROTEST! Then, and only then, will we have better candidates, better government and regain our God-given freedoms.

OUR SURVIVAL IS AT STAKE! The impending fall of our great citadel is certain if we take the step to the LEFT in November and an un-informed, naive public commits suicide by vote, electing liberals (International Socialists), regardless of party.

THE METHOD: The Communist socialists' uses the technique of psychological warfare, subversion, infiltration, force and MURDER.

The International Socialists' (Liberals) technique is economic and political, using the Fabian parliamentarian finesse, by vote ... self destruction - SUICIDE! (Brown and Nixon belong to this group). Both are against the American system of free enterprise, American political principle based on the RIGHTS OF MAN, INDIVIDUAL FREEDOM AND NATIONAL CAPITALISM.

THE ANSWER: Swell the ranks of the conservative movement directly and indirectly. Only the American people can save America. The American people are capable of a great anger, once they are aroused. ONLY THE WRATH OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE, UNITED IN A PEOPLES' DRIVE, CAN CHANGE THE PLOTTED COURSE OF HISTORY LEADING TO THE CO-EXISTENCE SURRENDER.

Let's start in California and WRITE-IN JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR.

Sincerely,

*T. J. Toma, W.D.S.*


T. J. Toma, D.D.S.

Chairman, So. California Division  
California Voters' Draft of  
JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR

P.S. THE HONORABLE JOSEPH C. SHELL HAS REPUDIATED THIS WRITE-IN; HOWEVER, HE CANNOT AND WOULD NOT ATTEMPT TO DICTATE TO YOU AND ME HOW TO VOTE. COPY THIS LETTER AND OTHER LEAFLETS, PASS THEM ALONG TO YOUR FRIENDS AND NEIGHBORS. THIS IS THE TIME TO STAND UP AND BE COUNTED.

William Penn said: " Men who refuse to be governed by God will be ruled by tyrants. "

CALIFORNIA VOTERS DRAFT OF  
 JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR  
 P. O. BOX 54242 - Terminal Annex  
 Los Angeles 54, California



ORGANIZE LOCALLY  
 FOR INFORMATION WRITE ABOVE

Mrs. Eileen Benson  
 Mr. Ray Benson  
 6821 Prospect Ave.  
 Bell, Calif.

WHY ARE WE DRAFTING JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR? BECAUSE WE, DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS, ARE SICH AND TIRED OF COMPROMISING OUR PRINCIPLES. THIS IS THE TIME WE MUST STAND UP FOR OUR CONVICTIONS. THIS IS NOT A PROTEST WRITE-IN, BUT AN INFORMED CITIZENS' DRAFT OF A MAN WITH PRINCIPLES. PREVIOUS ELECTIONS IN CALIFORNIA BY LAW ALLOWED THE VOTERS TO CROSS FILE AND MAKE A SELECTION OF A FAVORITE CANDIDATE OF ANY PARTY. THE CALIFORNIA VOTERS IN THE JUNE 1962 PRIMARY ELECTION EXPRESSED A VERY CONSERVATIVE VOTE WITH THE DEFEAT OF HOUSING PROPOSITION # 4, AND ALL THE WASTEFUL AND UNNECESSARY BONDS. WITH THIS EXPRESSION AGAINST BROWN'S ADMINISTRATION AND NIXON'S IDENTICAL POSITION, AND A REVIEW OF THE VOTES CAST FOR ALL CANDIDATES, THE CONSERVATIVE JOSEPH C. SHELL IS THE FAVORITE AND WILL BE DRAFTED BY THE VOTER WRITE-IN.

JUNE, 1962 PRIMARY ELECTION  
 (RESULTS)

NOVEMBER, 1962 GENERAL  
 (PREDICTION)

NAME	DEMOCRATIC VOTE	REPUBLICAN VOTE	
BROWN	1,739,792	5,236	1,300,000
NIXON	35,883	1,285,151	1,300,000
SHELL	66,712	656,542	1,700,000
HAMILTON	90,472		
MOORE	100,237		
STEUART	103,654		
GALE		17,369	

WYCKOFF ( PROHIBITION PARTY) 1,944

NON-PARTISIAN TOTAL VOTE UNABLE TO MAKE AN EXPRESSION IN THE PRIMARY 215,902

WE CAN ELECT JOSEPH C. SHELL AS THE THIRD WRITE-IN GOVERNOR IN THE HISTORY OF THE UNITED STATES.

GET YOUR THINKING CAPS OUT, AND YOUR WALKING SHOES ON - WE HAVE WORK TO DO.

CALIFORNIA VOTERS' DRAFT OF JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR

"(3) LET NO MAN DECEIVE YOU BY ANY MEANS: FOR THAT DAY SHALT NOT COME, EXCEPT THERE COME A FALLING AWAY FIRST, AND THAT MAN OF SIN BE REVEALED, THE SON OF PERDITION: (4) WHO OPPOSETH AND EXALTETH HIMSELF ABOVE ALL THAT IS CALLED GOD, OR THAT IS WORSHIPPED: SO THAT HE AS GOD SITTETH IN THE TEMPLE OF GOD, SHEWING HIMSELF THAT HE IS GOD."

11 Thessolonians 2: 3,4.

CALIFORNIA VOTERS ARE SAYING: " WE DON'T WANT BROWN and WE'RE AFRAID OF NIXON " WHY? YOU BE THE JUDGE - COMPARE AND EXAMINE THE RECORDS FURTHER.

BROWN	NIXON
Honorary Chairman California Democratic Council (promotes socialistic one-world government)	Member of Council Foreign Relations (promotes socialistic one-world government)
Favors UNConstitutional interference with the rights of citizens	Favors UNConstitutional interference with the rights of citizens
Against Patriotic Organizations	Against Patriotic Organizations
Approves Godless, one-world government (destroying our Constitution)	Approves Godless, one-world government (destroying our Constitution)
Supports government bureaucracy	Supports government bureaucracy
Favors Repeal of Connally Amendment	Favors Repeal of Connally Amendment
Favors confiscation of private property	Favors confiscation of private property
Opposes Student Loyalty Oath	Opposes Student Loyalty Oath (Wall Street Journal 5/19/60)
<u>EXPOSING NIXON</u>	<u>EXPOSING BROWN</u>

We could go on but space does not permit. But after comparing both Brown and Nixon in person, on TV and the news media, can you conscientiously cast your vote for either one?

If you vote for either Brown or Nixon, you are in effect giving a mandate for more liberal, socialistic legislation and administration.

QUOTING THE WALL STREET JOURNAL (October 5, 1962) :

" NIXON, NO - BROWN, NO " ...

" The most common reply of California voters is I wish I had a third choice "....

CALIFORNIANS, YOU DO HAVE A THIRD CHOICE. WRITE IN Joseph C. Shell and use the rubber stamp

Edmund G. (Pat) Brown	<input type="checkbox"/>
Richard M. Nixon	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Joseph C. Shell</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(use rubber stamp)

Joseph C. Shell elected to the State Legislature in 1953, re-elected to the Assembly in 1954, '56, '58 and '60. He has been Floor Leader for the past three years. Joseph C. Shell is currently serving on the following Legislative committees: Government Organization; Industrial Relations; Manufacturing; Oil and Mining Industry; Revenue and Taxation. Shell is completely familiar with California's problems, legislative processes and people. He is a Conservative and has a ten year voting record to prove it.

Your vote for Joseph C. Shell will decide if we can regain our Constitution and the Bill of Rights as it was before amendments by legislation and the administration's appointed Supreme Court - without the knowledge of the people.

# you be the judge . . .

**Four years** of Governor Brown's administration have ranked it with the greatest that working people have known in the progressive eras of California's history.

**It began** in 1958 when a new partnership in progress was launched with the overwhelming defeat of the vicious "right to work" initiative and the election of Governor Brown to office.


**The constructive force** of labor was harnessed to liberal, forward-looking programs for California. The Brown record is rich with achievement: Unprecedented gains in social security and social welfare programs. . . . FEPC, fair housing, and other history-making equal rights laws. . . . New job training and retraining programs. . . . California's *first* Consumer Counsel, *first* Economic Development Agency, *first* Master Plan for Higher Education, *first* Air Pollution Control Law and *first* Master Plan for Mental Hygiene. . . . And much more. . . .

**But trouble lurks** on the horizon. The anti-labor forces spawned in 1958 have never stopped working. Bolstered by the emergence of the John Birch Society and other extremist groups, they have taken over, lock, stock and barrel, the campaigns of their favored candidates. Richard Nixon's roster of campaign leaders reads like a "who's who" of the "right to wreck" movement of 1958.

**The record speaks** and reads clearly. Governor Brown's record matches the needs of the fastest growing state in the nation. Nixon's is a record of subservience to the special interests that have directed his political life.

**Read the record,** and know it . . . and pass judgment for yourself.

FOR GOVERNOR (Vote for one)	
<b>Edmund G. 'Pat' Brown</b> <i>A record rich with achievements . . . demanding recognition by every working man and woman.</i>	<b>X</b>
<b>Richard M. Nixon</b> <i>A record devoted to double-dealing . . . for the benefit of special interests.</i>	



# California Labor moves ahead



**with Governor  
Edmund G. 'Pat' Brown**

- **Preserve Progressive Government in California**
- **Vote for California's Future Growth and Social Responsibility**
- **Vote to Re-elect Governor Edmund G. 'Pat' Brown**

California Labor Committee for the Re-Election  
of Governor Brown  
Thos. L. Pitts, Chairman

846 S. Union. Rm. 112      995 Market. Rm. 810



**a look at Governor  
Brown's record . . .  
and the record of  
his opponent**



# The Record of Edmund G. 'Pat' Brown, 1959-62

## AUTOMATION AND YOUR JOB

- Training programs to keep workers' skills abreast of technology.
- Job training in new skills for displaced workers.
- Unemployment insurance payments to maintain family income while retraining.
- Labor market studies to anticipate new skill requirements and develop planned manpower training programs.

## PLANNING FOR ECONOMIC GROWTH AND JOBS

- ✓ Work begun on a master "State Development Plan" to meet the challenge of the state's population explosion.
- ✓ Creation of California's first Economic Development Agency to promote industrial growth and new jobs.
- ✓ Aid to communities to plan for economic growth and industrial balance.

## COMPENSATION FOR WORK INJURIES

- Workmen's compensation increased 40% a week for temporary disability; 31% for permanent disability.
- Death benefit for widows and dependents boosted 36½% to \$20,500.
- Administration of workmen's compensation program improved. . . . Greater doctor selectivity given injured worker.
- Waiting period removed in hospital cases. . . . Life payments increased for permanent disability ratings of over 70%.

## PUBLIC NEEDS AND ACTION PROGRAMS

- ✓ Consumer protections—Establishment by law of nation's first Office of Consumer Counsel. . . . Legislation enacted to safeguard consumer interests at the market place and save workers millions of dollars annually.
- ✓ Public school system overhauled to provide best educational opportunities in the world. . . . Development of the first master plan for higher education. . . . Reform legislation passed to raise teacher training standards.
- ✓ State Master plan developed for mental hygiene.
- ✓ Creation of Office of Radiation Protection, and passage of state's first air pollution control law.
- ✓ New advances in planning to meet the state's growing recreation needs. . . . Expansion of state parks system.

## PROTECTION WHEN UNEMPLOYMENT STRIKES

- Unemployment insurance payments increased—maximum benefits boosted 37½% a week.
- Jobless benefits extended automatically to 39 weeks during periods of heavy unemployment.
- Improved job placement services, plus retraining benefits.
- Higher payments for non-work-connected disabilities—UCD maximum increased 40% a week, with automatic escalator clause; Private carrier raiding of UCD fund blocked.

## HISTORIC EQUAL RIGHTS LEGISLATION

- ✓ Enactment of state Fair Employment Practices law, with administrative enforcement by FEP Commission.
- ✓ State Civil Rights Act extended to prohibit discrimination by all business establishments, including real estate firms.
- ✓ Fair Housing Law enacted . . . prohibiting discrimination in housing benefiting from government assistance programs.
- ✓ Employment discrimination based solely on age banned by new law aimed at increasing job opportunities for older workers.

## OTHER ACHIEVEMENTS

- *Social Welfare*—Unprecedented increases in basic aid for needy aged, disabled, blind and children; Aid programs extended to non-citizens; Undue burden on children for care of aged parents either removed or substantially reduced; plus other historic achievements.
- *Farm workers* extended workmen's compensation, unemployment disability insurance, and minimum wage coverage for women and minors. Health care clinics established; Annual registration and pre-occupancy inspection of farm labor camps required; Safety orders issued to reduce work injuries and cut transportation accidents.
- *Public Employees* — Organizational rights secured; Teacher tenure extended to small districts with protection for probationary teachers; Coordinated social security benefits made available to state employees; New state employees health care plan enacted.

## . . . and here is Nixon's sorry record

Richard Milhaus Nixon's official record in Congress is 87 percent in support of the special interests that have financed and directed his turbulent political life—87 percent against working people. Here are some of the critical points in that record:

**Labor Legislation** — Nixon has failed to cast a single vote for fair labor-management relations legislation. For example:

- He voted to outlaw industrywide collective bargaining, and to force unions to bargain at the plant level with giant corporations.
- He voted to pass the Taft-Hartley Act over President Truman's veto.
- As Vice President, he cast the tie-breaking vote that converted an AFL-CIO-supported "union democracy"

**Minimum Wages** — Nixon voted to remove one million workers from the Fair Labor Standards Act and to scuttle enforcement.

**Social Security** — He voted to remove 625,000 workers from Social Security coverage and even supported a Knowland amendment to force jobless workers to become strike-breakers or go without unemployment insurance.

**Taxes** — Nixon cast eleven conservative votes in favor of the wealthy and corporate interests against the general taxpayer. For example:

- He voted for post-World War II tax reduction legislation giving 37 percent of the total tax relief to the wealthiest 4 percent of the taxpayers.
- Nixon voted three times to over-ride Truman's vetoes of this kind of "rich man's" tax bill.

- He voted to give Korean War profiteers a \$500 million tax bonanza.

**Education** — As Vice President in 1960 Nixon cast the tie-breaking vote against aid to schools and teacher salaries.

- In the face of an acute shortage of doctors Nixon even voted against providing scholarships for medical students and financial assistance to medical schools.

**Housing** — Nixon voted five times for real estate and bank interests against housing programs for low-and middle-income families. As Vice President, he cast a tie-breaking vote to increase interest rates on veterans' housing loans. Repeatedly he voted to kill public housing programs.

**Consumers** — The record shows that Nixon cast ten votes out of twelve against the welfare of consumers, and in support of wartime commodity speculators, natural gas interests, and others antagonistic to consumer rights.

**Civil Rights** — Nixon opposes FEP and fair housing laws. In Congress he registered three key votes against equal

(Save this card to use when voting)  
LOS ANGELES COUNTY COPE — AFL-CIO

Endorsements

This is the list of candidates endorsed by the Los Angeles County COPE, AFL-CIO, for the November 6, 1962 General Election. They are recommended to you as the best candidates to meet your needs and represent your interests.

Governor—Edmund G. "Pat" Brown\*  
Lt. Governor—Glenn M. Anderson\*  
U. S. Senate—Richard Richards  
Attorney General—Stanley Mosk\*  
State Controller—Alan Cranston\*  
State Treasurer—Bert A. Betts\*  
Secretary of State—Don Rose  
Supt. of Public Instruction—Ralph Richardson  
Bd. of Equalization, 4th Dist.—Richard Nevins\*

CONGRESS

District	District
17—Cecil R. King*	27—Everett Burkhalter
19—Chet Holifield*	28—Robert J. Felixson
21—Augustus F. Hawkins	29—George Brown, Jr.
22—James C. Corman*	30—Edward R. Roybal
23—Clyde Doyle*	31—Charles H. Wilson
25—Ronald Brooks Cameron	32—J. J. Johovich
26—James Roosevelt *	

STATE SENATE

38th District — Thomas M. Rees

ASSEMBLY

38—Carley V. Porter*	56—Charles Warren
39—Bert Bond	58—Harvey Johnson
40—Edward E. Elliott*	59—Anthony C. Beilenson
41—Tom C. Carrell*	60—Milton J. Lear
42—Tom Bane*	61—Lester A. McMillan*
44—Joseph M. Kennick*	62—Tom Waite
45—Alfred H. Song	63—Don A. Allen, Sr.*
48—George E. Danielson	64—John C. Gunn
50—Philip L. Soto	65—Jesse M. Unruh*
51—John Moreno	66—Joe A. Gonsalves
52—George A. Willson*	67—Clayton A. Dills*
53—Mervyn M. Dymally	68—Vincent Thomas*
55—F. Douglas Ferrell	

\*Denotes incumbent



(over)

LOS ANGELES COUNTY

Assessor—John S. Gibson

Superior Court, Office No. 47

Judge Kathleen Parker

Municipal Court, Office No. 1

Pauline Nightingale

PROPOSITION

A—\$17 Million Bond Issue for Juvenile Hall facilities —YES  
B—Creating County Department of Regional Parks and Recreation —YES  
C—Political Activities of County Employees —YES  
D—Increasing Board of Supervisors to Seven Members —YES  
E—Prohibiting draw poker, including low-ball poker, in Los Angeles County —NO

STATE PROPOSITIONS

No. 1-A—\$270 Million Construction Bond Issue —YES  
No. 1 —Compensation of Legislators —YES  
No. 2 —Veterans' Property Tax Exemption —YES  
No. 4 —Assessment of Agricultural Land —NO  
No. 5 —Workmen's Compensation —YES  
No. 6 —State Bonded Indebtedness —NO  
No. 7 —Revision of State Constitution —NO  
No. 8 —Legislative Rules of Procedure —NO  
No. 9 —General Obligation Bond Proceeds Fund —YES  
No. 11—Property Taxation on Historic Landmark Areas —NO  
No. 12—Aid to Widows of Wartime Veterans —YES  
No. 13—Extension of College Property Exemption —YES  
No. 14—Sale of Tidelands —YES  
No. 15—County Tax Appeals Boards —YES  
No. 16—Elimination of Obsolete and Superseded Provisions in State Constitution —YES  
No. 17—Compensation of Legislators —YES  
No. 18—Alcoholic Beverage Control Appeals Board —NO  
No. 19—Increasing Public Officers' Salaries During Term of Office —YES  
No. 21—Election of Superior Court Judges —YES  
No. 22—Amendment of Osteopathic Initiative Act —YES  
No. 23—Senate Reapportionment —YES  
No. 24—Louis Francis Amendment —NO



(over)



## Americans Agree . . .

**California Congress of Parents and Teachers (PTA):** "Procedures as set up in Proposition 24 would infringe on civil liberties as guaranteed by the Bill of Rights."

**California State Chamber of Commerce:** "While the State Chamber of Commerce opposes communism in all its manifestations and supports a vigorous effort by all lawful means to stamp out Communist infiltration, it believes that enactment of this ill-conceived measure would, in the long run, only defeat its own purpose."

**California Labor Federation (AFL-CIO):** "Proposition 24 replaces present wholly adequate constitutional protections against subversion with a totally irresponsible new article, containing vague, uncertain and ambiguous terms and procedures that would gravely jeopardize cherished American freedoms."

**Los Angeles Times:** "The odious feature of Section 3 lies in the privileged irresponsibility of the whole array of denouncers. The accused has no recourse against them, even though an accusation of communism can be a deadly charge. It can ruin the accused even though it is unproved. . . . Section 3 . . . not only confers new and incredibly dangerous authority on grand juries, but gives the same triple power of accusation, conviction and in effect sentencing to certain individual state officers and to a host of federal officials and agencies."

**BISHOP JAMES A. PIKE:** Proposition 24 "would hand over to the extremists in this state the legal weapons to destroy our precious American heritage of constitutional liberty."

**STATE SENATOR HUGH BURNS, Chairman of the Senate Fact Finding Committee on Un-American Activities:** "We have made no recommendations (for new anti-subversive laws) because our studies show that federal laws, enforced by federal officers, are coping with the problem."

# . . . *Defend YOUR Freedom*

**YES, IT COULD HAPPEN HERE!** False witnesses could brand individuals and organizations subversive without even a trial—cause loss of employment, affect property rights, impair citizenship and jeopardize security of person. Charges could be brought in secret, in a far county, or even in a remote state. The action could be completed before the accused even knew that it had been instituted. Under Proposition 24, an accusation of subversion made by any of a host of federal, state or local bodies—operating without judicial safeguards or the restraints of constitutional due process—could automatically bring down upon the innocent devastating consequences.

**PENALTIES UNDER PROPOSITION 24** could include loss of: State and local tax exemptions, all opportunity for public employment, the right to hold public office, the use of public buildings. Proposition 24 could wreck careers, businesses, reputations, and even the lives of private, patriotic citizens.

**FOR EXAMPLE, PROPOSITION 24 WOULD VEST GRAND JURIES WITH ASTOUNDING POWERS.** Grand juries are not trial juries. Their function is to present complaints. Grand juries operate behind closed doors, without judge or defense counsel or the opportunity to confront witnesses. Yet under Proposition 24, a grand jury could try, convict and subject its victims to automatic sentence.

**Proposition 24 would destroy the very constitutional guarantees which are our strongest bulwark against Communism.**

**LET'S NOT IMPORT THE METHODS OF THE "PEOPLE'S" COURTS OF COMMUNIST CHINA, RUSSIA, HUNGARY OR CUBA TO CALIFORNIA!**

**VOTE "NO" ON PROP. 24**

## They Summon You To Vote "NO" on Prop. 24

Hon. Edmund G. Brown  
Hon. Richard M. Nixon  
Caspar Weinberger, California State Chairman of the Republican Party  
Eugene Wyman, California State Chairman of the Democratic Party  
Rt. Rev. Gerald Kennedy, Bishop of So. Cal.-Ariz. Conference of the Methodist Church  
Rt. Rev. James A. Pike, JSD, Bishop of the Episcopal Diocese of California  
United Presbyterian Church, Synod of Calif.  
Congregational Conference of So. Calif. and the Southwest  
California State Chamber of Commerce  
San Diego Chamber of Commerce  
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce  
San Francisco Central Labor Council (AFL-CIO)  
California Congress of Parents and Teachers (PTA)  
Los Angeles County Federation of Labor (AFL-CIO)  
California Teachers Association  
California Commonwealth Club  
  
Los Angeles Times  
Pasadena Star News  
Sacramento Union  
San Francisco Chronicle  
San Francisco News-Call Bulletin  
Santa Ana Register  
San Diego Union  
Riverside Press Enterprise  
and many others

EVERY CALIFORNIAN SHOULD VOTE

## YES on #23

The six urban areas of California have grown to huge proportions and the problems of schools, water, transportation and narcotics have grown likewise. It is difficult for a Senator representing a small mountain or agricultural district of a few thousand people to understand the complex problems of the huge metropolitan areas of the state. Urban problems need urban representatives in the Senate.

The Governor and the Legislature appointed a blue ribbon commission to study reapportionment and the commission recommended reapportionment of the State Senate. The bill was passed by the Assembly but was killed in a State Senate Committee.

The United States Supreme Court as well as other federal and state courts during the last few months have rendered decisions ordering reapportionment in many states.

Candidates of both major political parties in this election have spoken vigorously in favor of Senate Reapportionment.

**San Francisco Examiner:**

*"THE ARCHAIC SENATE—The senate should be reapportioned. It is too heavily weighted against the big metropolitan areas." 1-14-62*

**Los Angeles Herald-Examiner:**

*"EVERYBODY'S BATTLE—This [Proposition #23] is truly a fair reapportionment measure of the California State Senate to give voting equality and justice to the burgeoning population centers, which will affect all families."*

**Los Angeles Times:**

*"SENATE REFORM UP TO THE PEOPLE—The people of California are being asked to take a hand in reforming the State Senate [Proposition #23] and we urge them to do so. For if they do not reapportion the Senate membership, the job will not be done. The senators themselves stubbornly refuse to do justice to the populous areas of the state."*

**President John F. Kennedy:**

*"The principle that each vote counts equally is basic to democracy. There is no sense of a senator representing five million people sitting next to a senator representing 10,000 people."*

**Governor Edmund G. Brown:**

*"I have indicated my support for Senate Reapportionment by appointing the blue ribbon commission on Senate Reapportionment. When this commission rendered a report recommending additional senators for Los Angeles County, I supported its findings and I included Senate Reapportionment in the call of the 1962 Special Session. I have consistently maintained that heavily populated counties are entitled to greater representation in the Senate."*

**Ex-Vice President Richard M. Nixon:**

*"A matter of simple justice [Proposition #23]. Nine urban counties which now have 73 percent of the state's population and only 22.5 percent of the senate representation would have 20 senators or 40 percent. But they would not dominate the upper house in that the rest of the state would have 30 senators. The six leading counties, receiving the ten new senators would have 16 voices in the Senate or 32 percent of the total. Today they have only 15 percent of the total although the people in those six counties pay some 80 percent of the state taxes."*

**Now It's Up to the People of California to Protect Their Voting Rights!**

**Frank G. Bonelli**  
Supervisor  
Los Angeles, County

**Felix S. LeMarinel**  
Orange County.  
Past President, California  
State Junior Chamber of  
Commerce

**John W. Quimby**  
Exec. Secretary-Treasurer  
San Diego County  
Central Labor Council

# YES on #23

PROPOSITION #23 for equitable State Senate Reapportionment will be decided by the voters of California at the general election in November (1962). This measure was sponsored by John W. Quimby, Secretary-Treasurer of the San Diego Labor Council AFL-CIO, Supervisor Frank G. Bonelli of Los Angeles County and Felix S. LeMarinel of Orange County, former President of the California State Junior Chamber of Commerce.

The Senate Reapportionment Constitutional Amendment provides for more adequate representation for the people of urban areas by increasing the membership of the State Senate from 40 to 50, the 10 additional senators to be apportioned among the most heavily populated counties on the following basis: counties between 600,000 and 1,200,000 population would be entitled to two (2) senators; above 1,200,000 to one (1) additional senator for each additional unit of 1,000,000 up to a total of six (6) senators. It protects the rural area population by providing a 6-senator limit to any one county and a 3-county limit to any one district. The first reapportionment, based on the 1960 census, would give 2 senators each to Alameda, San Francisco and Santa Clara Counties in the northern Bay Area, 2 each to Orange and San Diego Counties and 6 to Los Angeles County in the Southland. This would go into effect in 1963, with reapportionment to be made thereafter every 10 years after each decennial census starting in 1970.

On both a rural-urban basis and a north-south basis, this reapportionment measure maintains an eminently fair geographical balance, while giving more equitable representation to heavily populated urban areas, as the following figures show. It is interesting to note that, under Proposition #23, Northern California with slightly more than  $\frac{2}{3}$  of the state's area would have more than  $\frac{2}{3}$  of the Senate Seats, while Southern California with slightly less than  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the area would have less than  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the Senate Seats.

	POPULATION	PRESENT	REAPPORTIONMENT
<b>Urban Areas</b>			
6 Urban Counties	10,066,547	6 Senators	16 Senators
Other 52 Counties	5,650,657	34 Senators	34 Senators
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>15,717,204</b>	<b>40 Senators</b>	<b>50 Senators</b>
<b>Southern California</b>			
8 Southern Counties	9,025,694	8 Senators	15 Senators
Other 50 Counties	6,691,510	32 Senators	35 Senators
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>15,717,204</b>	<b>40 Senators</b>	<b>50 Senators</b>
<b>Area</b>			
Southern California	45,278 sq. mi.	8 Senators	15 Senators
Northern California	111,295 sq. mi.	32 Senators	35 Senators
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>156,573 sq. mi.</b>	<b>40 Senators</b>	<b>50 Senators</b>

## VOTE YES on #23