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OPEN LETTER TO CALIFORNIA VOTERS:

"I have sworn upon the altar of God, eternal hostility against every form of tyranny over the minds of men."

Thomas Jefferson

The California Voters' Draft of JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR Committee was formed by a group of concerned Republicans and Democrats. We take this action primarily because we believe in resisting ALL evil wherever and whenever confronted with it, especially the anti-freedom variety. We will not accept or condone the "lesser of two evils". For intelligent men and women to accept voluntarily this false predication is hypocrisy. This erroneous concept was brought about by a mis-education over a long period by the Internationalists and the CFR boys controlling government; consequently, I can easily forgive anyone for taking this defeatist anti-God position. I was guilty of this same hypocrisy in 1960, but never again. Let's start thinking about this -- give it some serious mental absorption and consideration. We will never win or turn the tide against evil (God-less one-worlders) unless we stand up for TRUTH and for the principles (Christian and American traditions). As long as we blindly accept the "lesser of two evils", matters will get worse - not better.

THE ISSUE IS: Not whether we can win with Joseph C. Shell, per se, but are we going to help evil or resist evil? Do we believe in "Right or Wrong"? Only God knows who the winner will be, we can only guess. Here's something we do know (and no guessing) --- Nixon and Brown do not possess the unblemished character or sound political and economical philosophy of Joseph C. Shell, and the record will bear this out. We must show the world that we will not give evil a mandate. We must always display righteous indignation against that which is not pro-America. PROTEST! PROTEST! Then, and only then, will we have better candidates, better government and regain our God-given freedoms.

OUR SURVIVAL IS AT STAKE! The impending fall of our great citadel is certain if we take the step to the LEFT in November and an un-informed, naive public commits suicide by vote, electing liberals (International Socialists), regardless of party.

THE METHOD: The Communist socialists' uses the technique of psychological warfare, subversion, infiltration, force and MURDER.

The International Socialists' (Liberals) technique is economic and political, using the Fabian parliamentarian finesse, by vote ... self destruction - SUICIDE! (Brown and Nixon belong to this group). Both are against the American system of free enterprise, American political principle based on the RIGHTS OF MAN, INDIVIDUAL FREEDOM AND NATIONAL CAPITALISM.

THE ANSWER: Swell the ranks of the conservative movement directly and indirectly. Only the American people can save America. The American people are capable of a great anger, once they are aroused. ONLY THE WRATH OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE, UNITED IN A PEOPLES' DRIVE, CAN CHANGE THE PLOTTED COURSE OF HISTORY LEADING TO THE CO-EXISTENCE SURRENDER.

Let's start in California and WRITE-IN JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR.

Sincerely,

T. J. Toma, M.D.
Chairman, So. California Division
California Voters' Draft of
JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR

P.S. THE HONORABLE JOSEPH C. SHELL HAS REPUDIATED THIS WRITE-IN; HOWEVER, HE CANNOT AND WOULD NOT ATTEMPT TO DICTATE TO YOU AND ME HOW TO VOTE. COPY THIS LETTER AND OTHER LEAFLETS, PASS THEM ALONG TO YOUR FRIENDS AND NEIGHBORS. THIS IS THE TIME TO STAND UP AND BE COUNTED.

William Penn said: "Men who refuse to be governed by God will be ruled by tyrants."

Sincerely,

T. J. Toma, M.D.
Chairman, So. California Division
California Voters' Draft of
JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR

P.S. THE HONORABLE JOSEPH C. SHELL HAS REPUDIATED THIS WRITE-IN; HOWEVER, HE CANNOT AND WOULD NOT ATTEMPT TO DICTATE TO YOU AND ME HOW TO VOTE. COPY THIS LETTER AND OTHER LEAFLETS, PASS THEM ALONG TO YOUR FRIENDS AND NEIGHBORS. THIS IS THE TIME TO STAND UP AND BE COUNTED.

William Penn said: "Men who refuse to be governed by God will be ruled by tyrants."
ORGANIZE LOCALLY
FOR INFORMATION WRITE ABOVE

Mrs. Eileen Benson
Mr. Ray Benson
6821 Prospect Ave.
Bell, Calif.


JUNE, 1962 PRIMARY ELECTION
(RESULTS) NOVEMBER, 1962 GENERAL
(PREDICTION)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>DEMOCRATIC VOTE</th>
<th>REPUBLICAN VOTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BROWN</td>
<td>1,739,792</td>
<td>5,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIXON</td>
<td>35,883</td>
<td>1,285,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHELL</td>
<td>66,712</td>
<td>656,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMILTON</td>
<td>90,472</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOORE</td>
<td>100,237</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEUART</td>
<td>103,654</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GALE</td>
<td></td>
<td>17,369</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WYCKOFF (PROHIBITION PARTY) 1,944

NON-PARTISIAN TOTAL VOTE UNABLE TO MAKE AN EXPRESSION IN THE PRIMARY 215,902

WE CAN ELECT JOSEPH C. SHELL AS THE THIRD WRITE-IN GOVERNOR IN THE HISTORY OF THE UNITED STATES.

GET YOUR THINKING CAPS OUT, AND YOUR WALKING SHOES ON — WE HAVE WORK TO DO.
"(3) LET NO MAN DECEIVE YOU BY ANY MEANS: FOR THAT DAY SHALL NOT COME, EXCEPT THERE COME A FALLING AWAY FIRST, AND THAT MAN OF SIN BE REVEALED, THE SON OF PERDITION: (4) WHO OPPOSETH AND EXALTETH HIMSELF ABOVE ALL THAT IS CALLED GOD, OR THAT IS WORSHIPPED: SO THAT HE AS GOD SITTETH IN THE TEMPLE OF GOD, SHEWING HIMSELF THAT HE IS GOD."

II Thessalonians 2: 3,4.

CALIFORNIA VOTERS ARE SAYING: "WE DON'T WANT BROWN and WE'RE AFRAID OF NIXON" WHY?

YOU BE THE JUDGE - COMPARE AND EXAMINE THE RECORDS FURTHER.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BROWN</th>
<th>NIXON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Honorary Chairman California Democratic Council (promotes socialistic one-world government)</td>
<td>Member of Council Foreign Relations (promotes socialistic one-world government)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favors UNconstitutional interference with the rights of citizens</td>
<td>Favors UNconstitutional interference with the rights of citizens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Against Patriotic Organizations</td>
<td>Against Patriotic Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approves Godless, one-world government (destroying our Constitution)</td>
<td>Approves Godless, one-world government (destroying our Constitution)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supports government bureaucracy</td>
<td>Supports government bureaucracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favors Repeal of Connally Amendment</td>
<td>Favors Repeal of Connally Amendment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favors confiscation of private property</td>
<td>Favors confiscation of private property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opposes Student Loyalty Oath</td>
<td>Opposes Student Loyalty Oath (Wall Street Journal 5/19/60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXPOSING NIXON

EXPOSING BROWN

We could go on but space does not permit. But after comparing both Brown and Nixon in person, on TV and the news media, can you conscientiously cast your vote for either one?

If you vote for either Brown or Nixon, you are in effect giving a mandate for more liberal, socialistic legislation and administration.

QUOTING THE WALL STREET JOURNAL (October 5, 1962):

"NIXON, NO - BROWN, NO"...

"The most common reply of California voters is I wish I had a third choice."....

CALIFORNIANS, YOU DO HAVE A THIRD CHOICE. WRITE IN

Edmund G. (Pat) Brown

Richard M. Nixon

Joseph C. Shell

(use rubber stamp)

Joseph C. Shell elected to the State Legislature in 1953, re-elected to the Assembly in 1954, '56, '58 and '60. He has been Floor Leader for the past three years. Joseph C. Shell is currently serving on the following Legislative committees: Government Organization; Industrial Relations; Manufacturing; Oil and Mining Industry; Revenue and Taxation. Shell is completely familiar with California's problems, legislative processes and people. He is a Conservative and has a ten year voting record to prove it.

Your vote for Joseph C. Shell will decide if we can regain our Constitution and the Bill of Rights as it was before amendments by legislation and the administration's appointed Supreme Court - without the knowledge of the people.
you be the judge...

Four years of Governor Brown's administration have ranked it with the greatest that working people have known in the progressive eras of California's history.

It began in 1958 when a new partnership in progress was launched with the overwhelming defeat of the vicious "right to work" initiative and the election of Governor Brown to office.

The constructive force of labor was harnessed to liberal, forward-looking programs for California. The Brown record is rich with achievement: Unprecedented gains in social security and social welfare programs... FEPC, fair housing, and other history-making equal rights laws... New job training and retraining programs... California's first Consumer-Counsel, first Economic Development Agency, first Master Plan for Higher Education, first Air Pollution Control Law and first Master Plan for Mental Hygiene... And much more...

But trouble lurks on the horizon. The anti-labor forces spawned in 1958 have never stopped working. Bolstered by the emergence of the John Birch Society and other extremist groups, they have taken over, lock, stock, and barrel, the campaigns of their favored candidates. Richard Nixon's roster of campaign leaders reads like a "who's who" of the "right to wreck" movement of 1958.

The record speaks and reads clearly. Governor Brown's record matches the needs of the fastest growing state in the nation. Nixon's is a record of subservience to the special interests that have directed his political life.

Read the record, and know it... and pass judgment for yourself.

FOR GOVERNOR (Vote for one)
Edmund G. "Pat" Brown
A record rich with achievements... demanding recognition by every working man and woman.

Richard M. Nixon
A record devoted to double-dealing... for the benefit of special interests.

California Labor Committee for the Re-Election of Governor Brown
Thos. L. Pitts, Chairman
846 S. Union, Rm. 112
285 Market, Rm. 810

---

California Labor moves ahead

with Governor
Edmund G. 'Pat' Brown

a look at Governor Brown's record... and the record of his opponent
GROWTH AND JOBS

PLANNING FOR ECONOMIC GROWTH AND JOBS

- Work began on a master "State Development Plan" to meet the challenge of the state's population explosion.
- Creation of California's first Economic Development Agency to promote industrial growth and new jobs.
- Aid to communities to plan for economic growth and industrial balance.

COMPENSATION FOR WORK INJURIES

- Workmen's compensation increased 40% a week for temporary disability; 31% for permanent disability.
- Death benefit for widows and dependents boosted 161% to $20,500.
- Administration of workmen's compensation program improved.
- Greater doctor selectivity given injured workers.

PROTECTION WHEN UNEMPLOYMENT STRIKES

- Unemployment insurance payments increased—maximum benefits boosted 37 1/2% a week.
- Jobless benefits extended automatically to 39 weeks during periods of heavy unemployment.
- Improved job placement services, plus retraining benefits.
- Higher payments for non-work-connected disabilities.
- Public Employees
- Organizational rights secured.
- Farm workers
- Union rights
- Minimum Wages: Nixon voted to remove one million workers from the Fair Labor Standards Act and to scuttle enforcement.
- Social Security
- Nixon opposed amending Social Security to provide for an 18-year-old to work for a living wage.
- Higher taxes for the wealthy were voted in January against Nixon's opposition.
- Nixon voted to remove 625,000 workers from Social Security enforcement.
- Civil Rights
- Nixon opposed FEP and fair housing laws.
- Housing
- Nixon voted five times for real estate and bank interests against housing programs for low-and middle-income families. As Vice President, he cast a tie-breaking vote to increase interest rates on veterans' housing loans. Repeatedly he voted to kill public housing programs.
- Education
- Nixon cast the tie-breaking vote against aid to schools and teacher salaries.
- Nixon opposed federal aid to medical schools.
- Nixon even voted against providing scholarships for medical students and financial assistance to medical schools.
- Nixon voted to give Korean War profiteers a $500 million tax bonanza.

... and here is Nixon's sorry record
Endorsements

This is the list of candidates endorsed by the Los Angeles County COPE, AFL-CIO, for the November 6, 1962 General Election. They are recommended to you as the best candidates to meet your needs and represent your interests.

Governor—Edmund G. "Pat" Brown*
Lt. Governor—Glenn M. Anderson*
U. S. Senate—Richard Richards
Attorney General—Stanley Mok*
State Controller—Alan Cranston*
State Treasurer—Bert A. Betts*
Secretary of State—Don Rose
Supt. of Public Instruction—Ralph Richardson
Bd. of Equalization, 4th Dist.—Richard Nevins*

CONGRESS

District

17—Cecil R. King* 27—Everett Burkhalter
20—Glen Hollisfield 28—Robert J. Felixson
21—Augustus F. Hawkins 29—George Brown, Jr.
22—James C. Cornas* 30—Edward R. Roybal
23—Clyde Doyle* 31—Charles H. Wilson
25—Ronald Brooks Cameron 32—J. J. Johovich
26—James Roosevelt* 33—Richard Nevin

STATE SENATE

38th District—Thomas M. Rees

ASSEMBLY

38—Carley V. Porter* 56—Charles Warren
39—Bert Bond 58—Harvey Johnson
40—Edward E. Elliott* 59—Anthony C. Bellenson
41—Tom C. Carroll* 60—Milton J. Lear
42—Tom Baze 61—Lester A. McMillan*
44—Joseph M. Kenrick* 62—Tom Waite
45—Alfred H. Song 63—Don A. Allen, Sr.*
48—George E. Danielson 64—John C. Gunn
50—Philip L. Soto 65—Josie M. Urruh*
51—John Moreno 66—Joe A. Gonzales
52—George A. Wilson* 67—Clayton A. Dills*
53—Mervyn M. Dymally 68—Vincent Thomas*
55—F. Douglas Ferrell

Denotes incumbent

LOS ANGELES COUNTY

Assessor—John S. Gibson
Superior Court, Office No. 47—Judge Kathleen Parker
Municipal Court, Office No. 1—Pauline Nightingale

PROPOSITION

A—$17 Million Bond Issue for Juvenile Hall facilities—YES
B—Creating County Department of Regional Parks and Recreation—YES
C—Political Activities of County Employees—YES
D—Increasing Board of Supervisors to Seven Members—YES
E—Prohibiting draw poker, including low-ball poker, in Los Angeles County—NO

STATE PROPOSITIONS

No. 1—$270 Million Construction Bond Issue—YES
No. 2—Compensation of Legislators—YES
No. 3—Veterans' Property Tax Exemption—YES
No. 4—Assessment of Agricultural Land—NO
No. 5—Workmen's Compensation—YES
No. 6—State Bonded Indebtedness—NO
No. 7—Revision of State Constitution—NO
No. 8—Legislative Rules of Procedure—NO
No. 9—General Obligation Bond Proceeds Fund—YES
No. 10—Property Taxation on Historic Landmark Areas—NO
No. 11—Aid to Widows of Wartime Veterans—YES
No. 12—Extension of College Property Exemption—YES
No. 13—Sale of Tidelands—YES
No. 14—County Tax Appeals Boards—YES
No. 15—Veterans' Property Tax Exemption—YES
No. 16—Elimination of Obsolete and Superseded Provisions in State Constitution—YES
No. 17—Compensation of Legislators—YES
No. 18—Alcoholic Beverage Control Appeals Board—NO
No. 19—Increasing Public Officers' Salaries During Term of Office—YES
No. 20—Election of Superior Court Judges—YES
No. 21—Amendment of Osteopathic Initiative Act—YES
No. 23—Senate Reapportionment—YES
No. 24—Louis Francis Amendment—NO
Citizens Committee
Against Prop. 24

Joseph A. Ball
Gardiner Johnson
State Co-Chairmen

Morse Erskine
Dr. Robert Kingsley
Morse Erskine
Bishop James A. Pike
Richard C. Maxwell
Northern California
Southern California
Co-Chairmen
Co-Chairmen

Executive Committee
Robert S. Ash
W. J. Bassett
Jefferson A. Beaver
Roger Boas
The Rev. John H. Burt
Dr. Arthur F. Cory
Morse Erskine
John Anson Ford
Georgiana Hardy
Dr. H. Claude Hudson
George W. Johns
Bishop Gerald Kennedy
Roger Kent
George Killion
Ruth Kodani
Daniel E. Koshland
Bert W. Levit
Rabbi Albert M. Lewis
Rollin L. McNitt
A. Downey Orrick
Thomas L. Pitts
Anthony P. Rios
Alvin J. Rockwell
Dr. Carroll L. Shuster
Dr. Lionel De Silva
Lloyd Smith
Jesse H. Steinhart
Dr. Forrest C. Weir

(Partial List)

It's the Eleventh Hour

But there is still time to alert the voters who are seeking information.
YOUR help is urgently needed!

CALL OR WRITE—NOW!
Against Proposition 24
Room 719
625 Market Street
San Francisco 5
Yukon 2-9897
Suite 917, Taft Building
1680 North Vine Street
Hollywood 28
Hollywood 6-4497

Gov. Edmund G. Brown: Nixon:

"... this proposal would strip away legal and constitutional rights after a secret session... These star chamber procedures are well-recognized weapons of suppression long practiced in Communist countries."

Oppose Communism
Preserve Liberty

VOTE "NO" on Prop. 24

"Unfortunately, there appears to be a fatal constitutional flaw in the Francis Amendment. ... I can neither sign nor support the Francis Amendment in its present form."
Americans Agree . . .

California Congress of Parents and Teachers (PTA): "Procedures as set up in Proposition 24 would infringe on civil liberties as guaranteed by the Bill of Rights."

California State Chamber of Commerce: "While the State Chamber of Commerce opposes communism in all its manifestations and supports a vigorous effort by all lawful means to stamp out Communist infiltration, it believes that enactment of this ill-conceived measure would, in the long run, only defeat its own purpose."

California Labor Federation (AFL-CIO): "Proposition 24 replaces present wholly adequate constitutional protections against subversion with a totally irresponsible new article, containing vague, uncertain and ambiguous terms and procedures that would gravely jeopardize cherished American freedoms."

Los Angeles Times: "The odious feature of Section 3 lies in the privileged irresponsibility of the whole array of denouncers. The accused has no recourse against them, even though an accusation of communism can be a deadly charge. It can ruin the accused even though it is unproved. . . . Section 3 . . . not only confers new and incredibly dangerous authority on grand juries, but gives the same triple power of accusation, conviction and in effect sentencing to certain individual state officers and to a host of federal officials and agencies."

BISHOP JAMES A. PIKE: Proposition 24 "would hand over to the extremists in this state the legal weapons to destroy our precious American heritage of constitutional liberty."

STATE SENATOR HUGH BURNS, Chairman of the Senate Fact Finding Committee on Un-American Activities: "We have made no recommendations (for new anti-subversive laws) because our studies show that federal laws, enforced by federal officers, are coping with the problem."

...Defend YOUR Freedom

YES, IT COULD HAPPEN HERE! False witnesses could brand individuals and organizations subversive without even a trial—cause loss of employment, affect property rights, impair citizenship and jeopardize security of person. Charges could be brought in secret, in a far county, or even in a remote state. The action could be completed before the accused even knew that it had been instituted. Under Proposition 24, an accusation of subversion made by any of a host of federal, state or local bodies—operating without judicial safeguards or the restraints of constitutional due process—could automatically bring down upon the innocent devastating consequences.

PENALTIES UNDER PROPOSITION 24 could include loss of: State and local tax exemptions, all opportunity for public employment, the right to hold public office, the use of public buildings. Proposition 24 could wreck careers, businesses, reputations, and even the lives of private, patriotic citizens.

FOR EXAMPLE, PROPOSITION 24 WOULD VEST GRAND JURIES WITH ASTOUNDING POWERS. Grand juries are not trial juries. Their function is to present complaints. Grand juries operate behind closed doors, without judge or defense counsel the opportunity to confront witnesses. Yet under Proposition 24, a grand jury could try, convict and subject its victims to automatic sentence.

Proposition 24 would destroy the very constitutional guarantees which are our strongest bulwark against Communism.

LET'S NOT IMPORT THE METHODS OF THE "PEOPLE'S" COURTS OF COMMUNIST CHINA, RUSSIA, HUNGARY OR CUBA TO CALIFORNIA!

VOTE "NO" ON PROP. 24

They Summon You To Vote "NO" on Prop. 24
Hon. Edmund G. Brown
Hon. Richard M. Nixon
Caspar Weinberger, California State Chairman of the Republican Party
Eugene Wyman, California State Chairman of the Democratic Party
Rt. Rev. Gerald Kennedy, Bishop of So. Cal.-Ariz. Conference of the Methodist Church
Rt. Rev. James A. Pike, JSD, Bishop of the Episcopal Diocese of California
United Presbyterian Church, Synod of Calif. Congregational Conference of So. Calif. and the Southwest
California State Chamber of Commerce
San Diego Chamber of Commerce
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
San Francisco Central Labor Council (AFL-CIO)
California Congress of Parents and Teachers (PTA)
Los Angeles County Federation of Labor (AFL-CIO)
California Teachers Association
California Commonwealth Club
Los Angeles Times
Pasadena Star News
Sacramento Union
San Francisco Chronicle
San Francisco News-Call Bulletin
Santa Ana Register
San Diego Union
Riverside Press Enterprise
and many others
EVERY CALIFORNIAN SHOULD VOTE

YES on #23

The six urban areas of California have grown to huge proportions and the problems of schools, water, transportation and narcotics have grown likewise. It is difficult for a Senator representing a small mountain or agricultural district of a few thousand people to understand the complex problems of the huge metropolitan areas of the state. Urban problems need urban representatives in the Senate.

The Governor and the Legislature appointed a blue ribbon commission to study reapportionment and the commission recommended reapportionment of the State Senate. The bill was passed by the Assembly but was killed in a State Senate Committee.

The United States Supreme Court as well as other federal and state courts during the last few months have rendered decisions ordering reapportionment in many states.

Candidates of both major political parties in this election have spoken vigorously in favor of Senate Reapportionment.

San Francisco Examiner:
"THE ARCHAIC SENATE—The senate should be reapportioned. It is too heavily weighted against the big metropolitan areas." 1-14-62

Los Angeles Herald-Examiner:
"EVERYBODY'S BATTLE—This [Proposition #23] is truly a fair reapportionment measure of the California State Senate to give voting equality and justice to the burgeoning population centers, which will affect all families."

Los Angeles Times:
"SENATE REFORM UP TO THE PEOPLE—The people of California are being asked to take a hand in reforming the State Senate [Proposition #23] and we urge them to do so. For if they do not reapportion the Senate membership, the job will not be done. The senators themselves stubbornly refuse to do justice to the populous areas of the state."

President John F. Kennedy:
"The principle that each vote counts equally is basic to democracy. There is no sense of a senator representing five million people sitting next to a senator representing 10,000 people."

Governor Edmund G. Brown:
"I have indicated my support for Senate Reapportionment by appointing the blue ribbon commission on Senate Reapportionment. When this commission rendered a report recommending additional senators for Los Angeles County, I supported its findings and I included Senate Reapportionment in the call of the 1962 Special Session. I have consistently maintained that heavily populated counties are entitled to greater representation in the Senate."

Ex-Vice President Richard M. Nixon:
"A matter of simple justice [Proposition #23]. Nine urban counties which now have 73 percent of the state's population and only 22.5 percent of the senate representation would have 20 senators or 40 percent. But they would not dominate the upper house in that the rest of the state would have 30 senators. The six leading counties, receiving the ten new senators would have 16 voices in the Senate or 32 percent of the total. Today they have only 15 percent of the total although the people in those six counties pay some 80 percent of the state taxes."

Now it's Up to the People of California to Protect Their Voting Rights!

Frank G. Bonelli  Felix S. LeMarinel  John W. Quimby
Supervisor  Exec. Secretary-Treasurer  Supervisor
Los Angeles, County  Orange County, Past President, California  San Diego County
Past President, California  State Junior Chamber of  Central Labor Council
Commerce
YES on #23

PROPOSITION #23 for equitable State Senate Reapportionment will be decided by the voters of California at the general election in November (1962). This measure was sponsored by John W. Quimby, Secretary-Treasurer of the San Diego Labor Council AFL-CIO, Supervisor Frank G. Bonelli of Los Angeles County and Felix S. LeMarinel of Orange County, former President of the California State Junior Chamber of Commerce.

The Senate Reapportionment Constitutional Amendment provides for more adequate representation for the people of urban areas by increasing the membership of the State Senate from 40 to 50, the 10 additional senators to be apportioned among the most heavily populated counties on the following basis: counties between 600,000 and 1,200,000 population would be entitled to two (2) senators; above 1,200,000 to one (1) additional senator for each additional unit of 1,000,000 up to a total of six (6) senators. It protects the rural area population by providing a 6-senator limit to any one county and a 3-county limit to any one district. The first reapportionment, based on the 1960 census, would give 2 senators each to Alameda, San Francisco and Santa Clara Counties in the northern Bay Area, 2 each to Orange and San Diego Counties and 6 to Los Angeles County in the Southland. This would go into effect in 1963, with reapportionment to be made thereafter every 10 years after each decennial census starting in 1970.

On both a rural-urban basis and a north-south basis, this reapportionment measure maintains an eminently fair geographical balance, while giving more equitable representation to heavily populated urban areas, as the following figures show. It is interesting to note that, under Proposition #23, Northern California with slightly more than ⅜ of the state’s area would have more than ⅜ of the Senate Seats, while Southern California with slightly less than ⅜ of the area would have less than ⅜ of the Senate Seats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POPULATION</th>
<th>PRESENT REAPPORTIONMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 Urban Counties</td>
<td>10,066,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other 52 Counties</td>
<td>5,650,657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE TOTAL</td>
<td>15,717,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Southern Counties</td>
<td>9,025,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other 50 Counties</td>
<td>6,691,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE TOTAL</td>
<td>15,717,204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA</th>
<th>NORTHERN CALIFORNIA</th>
<th>STATE TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45,278 sq. mi.</td>
<td>111,295 sq. mi.</td>
<td>156,573 sq. mi.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VOTE YES on #23