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EXCERPTS OF REMARKS OF THE VICE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA, SEPTEMBER 27, 1960

I think that Senator Kennedy, in the interest of the country, should correct his false statement to the effect that 17 million people go to bed hungry every night in the United States.

I think he should do this while Mr. Khrushchev and his Communist colleagues are in this country. This statement has been grist for the Communist propaganda mill. Just last week the Chinese Communist paper, "The Peoples' Daily", cited it as proof of the fact that in America, a land of plenty, millions of people were starving.

The Senator cannot remain silent on this issue. He claims that he based this statement on a Department of Agriculture report in 1955. This report indicated that one out of ten Americans had an unbalanced diet. But it specifically stated that "this does not mean that those families were poorly fed or subject to malnutrition."

The Senator should put his country above his partisan politics on this issue and tell Mr. Khrushchev and the world that he was wrong in making such a statement. He also should state the truth - that while there are people in this country who do not share in our unprecedented prosperity as we would like, and while we must move forward at all possible speed to see that this situation is corrected, that all in all, the 180 million people of this country are the best-fed, best-clothed, best-housed people in the world; that we have come closest to the ideal which Mr. Khrushchev claims as his own but has never been able to even approach -- of prosperity for all in a classless society; that a lower percentage of people suffer from malnutrition in the United States than in any major country in the world.

* * * *

When Senator Kennedy was here two weeks ago, he charged Republicans with being coldly "indifferent" to unemployment and distress in West Virginia.

(more)

What he neglected to say was this:

First, that the idea of special legislation to help distressed areas was originated by the Republican Administration, not by the Congress.

Second, that for five long years this administration has been trying, but without success, to get Democratic-controlled Congresses to act sensibly on this legislation.

Third, that the two bills rejected by the President which Congress finally did pass were, in effect, a Congressional veto of the basic concept the President had been urging all along. The Congress vetoed the President's bill, passed something entirely different, then cried foul when the wanted President firmly replied that he still had a law that made sense.

Fourth, that when the President rejected the bill that the Democratic leaders rammed through, over his protest, for political purposes, he made a sincere appeal for sound legislation to be passed at once so this state and similar troubled areas could quickly have the help they need. My opponent did not mention that the Congress refused to act.

Fifth, Mr. Kennedy failed to point out something else when he was here. I cite these important facts:

The Democratic bill he bragged about would have helped Charleston by making $589,000 available. The Republican bill that the Democrats vetoed would have provided $961,000 -- almost twice as much.

The Democratic bill would have helped the major areas in West Virginia by $2.4 million.

The Republicans whom he complains about had not $2.4 million but $3.9 million for West Virginia in the bill the Democrats vetoed.

In total, Republicans tried to make $8 million available to West Virginia. But the Democrats said no. They wanted to give West Virginia only $5.7 million.

I believe that legislation to help distressed areas is absolutely vital. This help could be here right now if the Democrats in control of Congress
had chosen to act responsibly instead of cynically vetoing the President's recommendations in order to build a fraudulent political issue rather than provide the needed help.

The distressed areas of West Virginia need assistance and they need it fast. Senator Kennedy's leadership in the special session of Congress gives little hope that he would be able to get an effective bill through the next session if he were elected President.

The best hope for action, rather than glib promises designed to win votes rather than help people, is to elect not only our national ticket but to give us the articulate support Cecil Underwood could bring to this problem in the Senate.