

Richard Nixon Presidential Library
White House Special Files Collection
Folder List

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
31	12	12/18/1968	Letter	Haldeman to James Stoessel re: staff appointment. 2 pgs with attachment.
31	12	12/13/1968	Letter	Haldeman to Chauncey Starr re: appointment. 2 pgs (copy).
31	12	12/11/1968	Letter	Haldeman to Charles Stearns re: inaugural ceremonies. 2 pgs (copy).
31	12	01/02/1968	Letter	Lawrence Higby to Wayne Thompson re: Whittier Guild of Childrens Hospital. 1 pg.
31	12	N.D.	Other Document	Requesting Nixon a Honorary Patron of LA Children Hospital. 7 pgs.
31	12	11/21/1968	Letter	Frank Tysen to Haldeman re: notice of letter involving environmental crisis being sent. 4 pgs with attachments.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
31	12	01/03/1969	Letter	Haldeman to Harold Varney re: Pan American Headlines . 3 pgs with attachments.
31	12	01/02/1968	Letter	Haldeman to Vincent Velella re: Triboro Republican Club , Inc. 3 pgs with attachment.
31	12	11/14/1968	Letter	Louis Vynere to Haldeman re: Congratulations on election. 1 pg.
31	12	01/14/1969	Letter	Haldeman to Lloyd Waring re: T.V. chats with the nation. 4 pgs with attachment.
31	12	01/13/1969	Memo	Haldeman to Henry Loomis re: Hoover-type Commission in natural resources areas. 1 pg.
31	12	11/19/1968	Letter	Abbot Washburn to Raymond Price re: interaction with academic community. 3 pgs.

COMMITTEE ON PAN AMERICAN POLICY

60 East 42nd Street • Suite 1430 • New York, N. Y. 10017
YUkon 6-9359

Harold Lord Varney, President

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Jan. 2, 1969

Mrs. G. Turner Bailey
Paul Bakewell, Jr.
Maj. Gen. Robert Blake
William N. Blanton
George O. Blome
Claude Boring
Prof. Anthony T. Bouscaren
Comdr. Homer Brett, Jr.
Mrs. Robert B. Brinsmade
Donald E. Bruce
Taylor Caldwell
Brig. Gen. Wm. P. Campbell
Lt. Col. Fabio Carafa d'Andria
F. Gano Chance
Conrad Chapman
Admiral Charles M. Cooke
Ralph E. Davis
Lt. Gen. P. A. Del Valle
Benjamin F. Dillingham II
Prof. Lev E. Dobriansky
Prof. Donald M. Dozer
Robert B. Dresser
Mrs. Willard Edwards
Col. R. A. Ellsworth
Devin Garrity
Rodney Gilbert
J. Evetts Haley
Robert Harriss
Richard J. Haug
A. G. Heinsohn, Jr.
Prof. Harold Hughes
John Kenneth Hyatt
George F. Johnson
Joseph S. Kimmel
Granville F. Knight, M.D.
Prof. Anthony Kubek
Gordon Lamont
Rabbi Arthur B. Lebowitz
Mrs. William D. Leetch
Mrs. A. Lothrop Luttrell
Vern I. McCarthy, Jr.
Mrs. Robert R. McCormick
Lawrence J. Meisel
Col. Serge Obolensky
Rev. Dr. Harold J. Ockenga
Mrs. Bruce V. Reagan
Comdr. Frederick G. Reinicke
Col. William L. Rich
Dr. George S. Richardson
Robert R. Rodenberg
Stanley Ross
Louis Ruthenberg
Hugo Salinas Price
J. R. Schenken, M.D.
John Fred Schlafley, Jr.
George S. Schuyler
Edward B. Smith, Jr.
Talbot T. Speer
Lt. Gen. Geo. E. Stratemeyer
Edmund B. Thornton
Maj. Gen. Leigh Wade
Col. William E. Warner
Theodore S. Watson
Gen. Albert C. Wedemeyer
Wheeler Williams
Maj. Gen. Charles A. Willoughby
Alan S. Wilson
M. Truman Woodward, Jr.

Mr. H. R. Haldeman,
Nixon Headquarters,
Hotel Pierre,
New York, N.Y.,

Dear Mr. Haldeman:

I take pleasure in sending you a copy of our current issue of PAN AMERICAN HEADLINES, with our open letter to President Nixon.

Many of us believe that the enunciation of a new Nixon Doctrine for Latin America would be a crowning achievement of the Nixon administration. The suggestions which we have made for the content of such a Doctrine are, of course, only a starting point.

We invite your interest in this possibility.

Very truly yours,


Harold Lord Varney, President

December 18, 1968

Mr. James H. Stoessel
2126 La Mesa Drive
Santa Monica, California 90402

Dear Jim:

Thank you for your kind words regarding my appointment.

I am delighted to learn of your potential interest in joining our crew, and I will indeed follow your suggestion of keeping your name in mind for possible future use.

Offhand I can't think of any particular spot that would be of overriding interest to you but lots of things are developing, and as we move into the intensive staffing phase, I am sure some possibilities will come to light and when they do I will be in touch with you.

Thanks again for writing.

Best regards,

H.. R. Haldeman
Assistant to the
President-elect

HRH:ds

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT-ELECT

Richard M. Nixon

450 Park Avenue
New York, N.Y. 10022
(212) 661-6400

I dictated me

December 17, 1968

Mr. James H. Stoessel
2126 La Mesa Drive
Santa Monica, California 90402

Dear Jim:

Thank you for taking the time to write concerning my recent appointment, and for your interest in a position with the new administration.

I have forwarded your letter to Mr. Harry Fleming, who is handling the staffing phase of the transition period. Please send your resume to him at the Office of the President-elect, Federal Office Building #7, Seventh & H Streets, N.W., Washington, D.C.

You will hear further from us as soon as possible. My best to Deborah.

Best regards,

Cordially,

H. R. Haldeman

HRH/mc

December 13, 1968

Mr. Chauncey Starr
Dean, College of Engineering
University of California at Los Angeles
Los Angeles, California 90024

Dear Chauncey:

Thank you for taking the time to write concerning my recent appointment. I am sure it will hold many exciting challenges and opportunities that are as yet unthought of.

Thanks too for your generous offer of assistance during the forthcoming administration. As you know, Dr. Lee DuBridge has been named Science Adviser to the President, and I am sure he would be most interested in discussing with you ways to coordinate the contacts you have available with the new administration.

Best wishes.

Sincerely,

H. R. Haldeman

HRH/mc

December 11, 1968

Mr. Charles Stearns
Boyle, Atwill, Catterlin & Stearns
1900 State Street
Santa Barbara, California 93101

Dear Mr. Stearns:

Thank you for your letter recommending the inclusion of the Dos Pueblos High School (Santa Barbara) Band and Pep Girls in the inaugural ceremonies.

We have forwarded your letter to Mr. Robert McCune in Washington, D.C., and you will be hearing from him as soon as possible.

Cordially,

H. R. Haldeman
Assistant to the
President-elect

HRH/mc
cc R. McCune

January 2, 1968

Mrs. Wayne E. Thompson
Chairman, Patron's Committee
Whittier Guild of Childrens Hospital
14030 E. Marsha Lane
Whittier, California 90602

Dear Mrs. Thompson:

Mr. and Mrs. Nixon have asked that I reply to your invitation to join you by becoming honorary patrons of the Whittier Guild of Children's Hospital. They are deeply interested in the work of the hospital. However, they feel that at this time it will be impossible for them to formally support this activity.

I want to thank you for your kind offer and I know the President-elect and Mrs. Nixon join me in extending their best wishes to you and your fine organization during this holiday season and throughout the year.

Cordially,

Lawrence Higby

LH/mc

T.D. ✓

Request ~~H.P.H.~~ ^{RN} Honorary

Patron of LA Childrens
Hospital.

This is an issue

Bill

Time one 7 year
people turn down
I think Rm

Bob Goldeman

WHITTIER GUILD OF CHILDRENS HOSPITAL

December 1, 1968

ACTIVE MEMBERS

- MRS. BRUCE BAUMANN
- MRS. ERIC L. BURTON
- MRS. EDWARD J. DAVIDSON
- MRS. ELBERT D. DIEBOLD
- MRS. DOUGLAS W. FERGUSON
- MRS. CARL H. FRICKE, JR.
- MRS. EDMUND A. GIBBS
- MRS. ROBERT B. GORDON
- MRS. LEONARD A. GRANDY
- MRS. KURT M. GUNDELL
- MRS. ROBERT K. HALLIDAY
- MRS. H. WILLIAM HARLAN
- MRS. RICHARD HOLMGREN
- MRS. GEORGE P. JOHNSON
- MRS. ALBERT T. LARSON
- MRS. RAY P. LIVINGSTON
- MRS. ALBERT C. LYLES
- MRS. G. SCOTT MILLER
- MRS. JOHN M. MUELLER
- MRS. WILLIAM F. PARKER
- MRS. DAVID W. PICKARD, JR.
- MRS. ROBERT RAMSING
- MRS. RICHARD SALTER
- MRS. ALBERT A. SANDBERG
- MRS. RICHARD STEBBINS
- MRS. WAYNE E. THOMPSON
- MRS. WALLACE S. WIGGINS
- MRS. WALTER J. WOOD

PROVISIONAL MEMBERS

- MRS. PETER W. BALCH
- MRS. WILLIAM L. SPRAGUE

ASSOCIATE MEMBERS

- MRS. JOHN ANDERSON
- MRS. MARLOWE C. ANDERSON
- MRS. PAUL BATSON
- MRS. THOMAS BERRY, JR.
- MRS. EDWARD BLANCHARD
- MRS. HOWARD S. BODGER
- MRS. LAWRENCE F. BOLLINGER
- MRS. PAUL BURKHALTER
- MRS. EDWARD L. BUTLER
- MRS. ALEXANDER CAMPBELL
- MRS. MCGEE M. CARLISLE
- MRS. HOWARD J. COFFEY
- MRS. WILLIAM P. CRILLY
- MRS. JOHN E. DEWITT
- MRS. FLOYD GARDINER
- MRS. PAUL H. GARDNER
- MRS. LOUISE GILLETTE
- MRS. JOHN A. GRIFFITH
- MRS. THEODORE A. HALFHILL
- MRS. JOHN F. HECK
- MRS. R. SEWALL HENDERSON
- MRS. ARTHUR T. HOBSON
- MRS. HEBER HOLLOWAY
- MRS. ELIZABETH G. HOUGH
- MRS. JACK N. HUIE
- MRS. MELVIN KENNEDY
- MRS. OTTO KRUSE
- MRS. JOHN LASSALETTE
- MRS. JOHN F. LEWIS
- MRS. VICTOR A. LOPEZ
- MRS. THOMAS LOWRY
- MRS. RODERICK LYNCH
- MRS. DONALD C. McMILLAN
- MRS. CHARLES S. MELVIN
- MRS. THOMAS J. MENALO
- MRS. VICTOR A. MILLER
- MRS. BREENE MURPHY
- MRS. LAURENCE R. PELLISSIER
- MRS. ROBERT E. PELLISSIER
- MRS. C. RICHARD RICKER
- MRS. FRED SCHRODER
- MRS. LEON SHARPLESS
- MRS. ROSS N. STAMBLER
- MRS. WILLIAM STEWART
- MRS. KENNETH SWART
- MRS. EDWARD J. TILL
- MRS. HAROLD E. WEST

DEC 8 1968

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Nixon,

The Whittier Guild of Childrens Hospital cordially invites you to join in its support of Childrens Hospital of Los Angeles and the Rehabilitation Center by becoming Honorary Patrons for the year 1969.

Last year 595 free clinic visits, 137 hospital admissions and a total of 993 days care were made available to children of the Whittier Area.

A response from you by December 31 will assure your name being included on our roster of Honorary Patrons, of whom Whittier Guild is justly proud.

Sincerely,

Wayne E. Thompson
Chairman, the Patrons Committee

ACTION
TID
DATE RECEIVED
DEC 9 1968
RMN MESSAGE
SPEAKERS BUREAU
OTHER
APPOINTMENT OFFICE

Hold —
Haven't we already
replied to this
letter -

... ..
... ..
... ..



URBAN SEMESTER
PROGRAM

Frank J. Tysen
Program Director

November 21, 1968

Mr. H. R. Haldeman
465 Muirfield Road
Los Angeles, California 90005

Dear Mr. Haldeman:

I am sending you a copy of my letter to the President Elect at both your California and New York addresses in the hope that you may find time to digest its contents and relate them to Mr. Nixon. I have also sent a copy to Mr. Robert Finch.

Sincerely yours,

Frank J. Tysen

FJT/lis
enc:

November 21, 1968

President Elect Richard Nixon
Key Biscayne, Florida

Dear Mr. Nixon:

I would like to take this opportunity to write you regarding the nation's environmental crisis. I have both a deep personal involvement in this area as well as a professional concern having just completed for publication a three year intensive study on environmental ugliness and what might be done about it.

The little attention which this issue received during the recent Presidential election made it appear as if, in this respect, all of us had become forgotten Americans. Yet, perhaps like no other issue the deterioration of the quality of our environment deeply affects everyone old or young, rich or poor, Republican or Democrat.

You briefly addressed yourself to this problem during the TV marathon the night before the election when you mentioned the difficulty of loving an unlovely country and stressed the need for a quality environment. I would like to remind you of this statement to-day and make some specific suggestions how to promote this goal for the problem is severe.

During the next four years of your administration at least four million acres of America's natural beauty will be bulldozed to make way for new residential, commercial, and industrial developments. The way the cards are currently stacked these four million acres will most likely be developed in the same ugly "slipshod, slovenly" manner which has been customary during the postwar years. I hate to think about the amount of water pollution that will be pumped into our streams, lakes, and oceans during the next four years. As an ex Southern Californian you hardly need to be reminded of the air pollution that will be discharged during this period. As a matter of fact, as I am writing this letter even Santa Monica beach and the ocean is engulfed by smog. I could go on mentioning

the third pollution (solid waste disposal), noise pollution, thermal pollution, and so on. And I haven't even referred to our existing urban areas which are bound to further deteriorate, producing environments conducive to crime and violence.

The point simply is that unless a strong environmental concern is built into your appointments and your public policies things will not only become worse but it will become more difficult to retrieve the situation. "God's Own Junkyard" may well become our permanent American heritage. We desperately need strong Presidential leadership to help us combat the despoilation of our land. The crisis cannot do with less for as a recent Los Angeles Times editorial put it: "The primary goal of conservation is no longer to keep America beautiful but just to make it livable."

Many environmentally concerned citizens doubt whether you indeed will rise to the challenge. They point at the eight years of the Eisenhower administration during which no new national parks were created contrasted with twelve new ones during the Kennedy and Johnson administrations. They remember President Eisenhower's 1960 veto of water pollution control measures based on his belief that this was not a proper federal responsibility. They recall the strong Republican opposition to much of President Johnson's Natural Beauty legislation such as the 1965 Highway Beautification Act.

There is a certain irony in these events since around the country community battles against ugliness are led more often than not by Republicans. It appears to be an unfortunate fact that as the environmental problem has rapidly taken on nationwide proportions the national Republican party has been slow in responding which accounts for the gap between public concern and national party policies.

Given your view of the Presidency as an active office responsive to all the people, I, for one, am encouraged that you will help bridge the gap and give the nation the environmental quality leadership it so desperately needs and desires. Meanwhile, may I be so presumptuous as to offer the following recommendations for operationalizing this leadership.

(1) It is imperative that particularly those Cabinet officers to head the Department of Housing and Urban Development, the Department of Transportation and the Department of the Interior possess strong environmental consciousness and concern. Secretary Udall demonstrated that environmental sensitivity during his eight years in office and

I would hope that the new Secretary of the Interior will be at least equally so oriented.

(2) It is extremely important that at least one White House staffer will be placed in charge of environmental matters and will perform a role similar to the one Lee White had under President Kennedy and Richard Goodwin had under President Johnson. I might add that Laurence Rockefeller is acquainted with lots of environmentally concerned persons who might be of assistance.

(3) The President's Advisory Council on Recreation and National Beauty should be strengthened as a Cabinet level coordinating device on environmental matters and it would seem desirable for the Vice President to continue to head the Council.

(4) At the earliest possible time some of the nation's best minds on environmental quality problems should be assembled for several brainstorming sessions on how the White House might best lead the nation in this regard.

(5) The nation's grassroot concern ought to be enlisted through an early White House Conference on the American Environment.

The community battles which have been raging with an ever increasing intensity throughout the land are a clear index of the growing anger over the vanishing beauty and livability of the nation. This anger need not be allowed to increase. At the same time the crisis offers a fine opportunity for broadening the support base of the national Republican party by making it more responsive to a larger portion of the population.

This opportunity was well illustrated in San Mateo County, California when bright young Republican Paul McCloskey ran for Congress a few years ago. McCloskey was well known for having championed several conservation causes and when he decided to run for Congress Republicans and Democrats joined together and worked hard to deliver him an overwhelming victory first over Shirley Temple in the primary and later over his Democratic opponent. The environmentalists are rapidly gaining political strength. Incidentally a person of McCloskey's caliber would make an excellent Secretary of the Interior as well as greatly add to the quality of the Cabinet.

If there is any way in which I might be of help to you in this area, I would be happy to do so.

Sincerely yours,

Frank J. Tysen

FJT/lis

January 3, 1969

Mr. Harold Lord Varney
President
Committee on Pan American Policy
60 East 42nd Street - Suite 1430
New York, New York 10017

Dear Mr. Varney:

Thank you very much for your letter and
the accompanying issue of PAN AMERICAN
HEADLINES.

I appreciate your taking the time to bring
this to my attention and your interest in
helping the new administration.

Cordially,

H. R. Haldeman
Assistant to the
President-elect

HRH:ds

(The Story Behind The Story In Your Headlines)

Published By The Committee On Pan-American Policy

60 EAST 42nd STREET

NEW YORK, N. Y.

VOL. 7 — NO. 3

NOVEMBER-DECEMBER 1968

One of the truly harrowing tasks of your new administration will be to clean up the mess in Latin American policy.

You have inherited from your predecessors a Latin American policy which is in shambles. The giddy amateurism of the Kennedy "thousand days", and the day-to-day improvisations of the Johnson five and a half years have reduced State Department policy to a mere holding operation. It is your inescapable duty to restore direction and sane leadership in this vital but neglected area.

You will have the enthusiastic support of millions of informed Americans who have become heart-sick over the continuing Washington blunders. Foremost among these yearners for new and positive leadership will be the members of this Committee.

We have no illusions that your task will be easy. If you inaugurate a new day, you will find, pitted against you, a self-perpetuating bureaucracy of "Latin American experts" whose careers are intertwined with a perpetuation of the discredited past. They will surround you with their advice and their blandishments during the weeks before January 20th. They will counsel you, with their well stuffed briefcases and attache cases, not to make any abrupt changes. They will attempt to frighten you with "commitments" by your predecessors, which have to be honored. They will deluge you with slanted and meaningless statistics to prove that everything is going well.

If you heed this willful Latin American "Liberal" camarilla, you are lost.

Let us point out a few of the unresolved Latin American problems which will grow seriously worse unless they are promptly faced.

- (1) The Alliance for Progress, which is daily becoming a bad joke on the United States, must be liquidated. In 7 years, after the squandering of \$9 billion of tax-payers' money, it has failed in its promised objectives. We can no longer continue to beat this dead horse, and pretend that it is a viable policy.
- (2) We must rescue the promising idea of a Latin American common market from the hands of socialist-minded United Nations "experts" who would distort it to economic (and eventually) political integration. Instead, attention should be focused upon the real Latin American need—access for their primary products, on more favorable tariff or quota terms, to the markets of the U.S. and of Western Europe. We cannot impose a common market upon Latin America and yet disdainfully stay out of it ourselves. The common market aim is too good an idea to be left to the "One World" muddlers.
- (3) We must reface the problem of Cuba. The permanent presence of a fortified Russian army and naval base only 90 miles from our frontier makes a joke of our whole hemispheric defense status. It nullifies the Monroe Doctrine. The Nixon administration should demand that all secret agreements made by President Kennedy with Castro and Khrushchev in 1962 be published. This should include the unknown agreements which Castro revealed in his PLAYBOY interview. After they are disclosed, and subjected to public discussion and scrutiny, a terminal date should be announced by the administration when all these so-called agreements should lapse.
- (4) We must scrap the three proposed new Panama treaties, drafted by President Johnson's negotiators in 1967, and reassert our status in the Isthmus under the Treaty of 1903, as amended under the Eisenhower Administration. We have over \$4 billion invested in the Canal Zone on military installations, to protect the hemisphere, in accordance with our obligations under the Monroe Doctrine. We cannot place our security establishment in jeopardy, by a treaty which would make our presence in the Isthmus dependent upon the permission of such a politically unstable government as that of the Republic of Panama.
- (5) We must discontinue the policy, inaugurated in 1961 by President Kennedy, of propping up and favoring governments in Latin America which meet the specifications of "social revolution", defined by American "Liberals". This policy exploded in our faces under Kennedy. It should be buried. In place of this purely ideological approach, we should establish close working relations with strong anti-Communist governments, such as those of President Ongania in Argentina and President Costa e Silva in Brazil, to evolve a security system for Latin America which will protect it against Communist infiltration, either from Russia's Kosygin or China's Mao.

These are some of the most pressing "musts" for a workable, sane hemispheric policy. Will you give us the leadership to make them possible?

Harold Lord Varney, President

One of the inevitable deadfalls for a new administration is the selection of its advisers.

Latin American policy, throughout the last three administrations, has been the closed monopoly of a mutual admiration circle of Latin American "experts", mostly academic. Since they have a vested interest in all the mistakes of the past, they will join ranks to continue them.

President Nixon will find himself the sitting duck target of a cluster of organizations in the Latin American field which will furiously endeavor to guide and control his appointments. These organizations are almost identical in their outlook. They enthusiastically approve all the State Department boo boos of the last ten years, and they will continue to repeat them.

Among the organizations which will give him gratuitous and uniformly bad advice are:

- (1) *The Council on Foreign Relations*. This organization has seen eye-to-eye with all the mistaken State Department policies since the Roosevelt administration. It will be profuse in its recommendations of personnel.
- (2) *The Ford Foundation*. This power-hungry organization maintains a Latin American division, with staff members in most Latin American countries. Its policies are those of the over-rated McGeorge Bundy. It will know just the right man for every State Department and Foreign Service post.
- (3) *Center for Inter-American Relations*. This new entrant in the field is headed by William D. Rogers, law partner of Abe Fortas, and Deputy U.S. Coordinator of the Alliance for Progress under Kennedy.

However, there is a rich field of Latin American talent and experience which will never be mentioned by these organizations. It comprises men who were not consulted during the Kennedy-Johnson years, and who had no part in past mistakes. We submit a partial list of such names. We have not consulted any of these distinguished men before listing. They are our own suggestions of men who could be extremely useful to President-elect Nixon, either as counselors, or appointees.

They include:

Maj. Gen. Robert Blake, Ret.
Spruille Braden (former Ambassador and Assistant Secretary of State)
Ellis O. Briggs (former Ambassador)
Dr. Donald C. Dozer (Prof. Univ. of Cal.)
Walter Harnischfeger (industrialist)
Frederick E. Hasler (financier)
Robert C. Hill (former Ambassador)
J. Kenneth Hyatt
James S. Kemper (former Ambassador)
Admiral Ben Moreell
Jefferson Patterson
William D. Pawley (former Ambassador)
Harold Ransburg (industrialist)
Philip A. Ray (former Undersecretary of Commerce)
R. Douglas Stuart (former Ambassador)
Dudley Swim (industrialist)
John L. Tappin (former Ambassador)
Fletcher Warren (former Ambassador)
Richard H. Whitehead

Also, we suggest, from the Kennedy and Johnson administrations, the name of Thomas C. Mann. His record, as Undersecretary of State, and in other posts, was inspiring.

Four years ago, Eduardo Frei Montalva, newly elected President of Chile, was the hero of all the confused intellectuals who believe that the way to beat Communism is to embrace democratic Socialism.

Frei had defeated Salvador Allende, joint Socialist-Communist candidate for President in the elections. Although Frei's own record was spotted with collaboration with the Chilean Communists, he suddenly loomed as the great alternative to Communism, backing the Aprista Romulo Betancourt off the admiration stage. Frei even fooled some hitherto knowledgeable anti-Communists. After his election, one of the top anti-Communist organizations in the U. S. came out in its organ with a banner headline, "We Win in Chile".

Unhappily, "we" didn't win. Frei started with a publicity bang. He was going to beat inflation, which was corroding the Chilean economy. He was going to "Chileanize" the U. S.-owned copper mines—a mouthful which seemed to take the wind out of the sails of Allende, with his "nationalization", but which turned out to be the same thing except that it was done with gradualism.

He won an early flurry of headlines by visiting Europe and securing Russian financing of some of Chile's economic difficulties. He joined with Raul Prebisch, Jose Mayobre (the Venezuelan Communist) and U Thant in a highly publicized drive for "economic integration" of South America, a program which is still buried in its verbiage.

But Frei didn't seem to get anywhere in solving the nightmarish economic problems of Chile. Formerly, Chile had been bailed out by U. S. foreign aid hand-outs (\$983 million from 1945 through 1964). Frei came back to Washington for more and, by June 30, 1968, he had increased this grand total to \$1,242 billion. At first, his alibi for failure was that the Communists and Conservatives had a controlling majority in the Chilean Congress and were blocking him. But in 1965, in the Congressional election, he secured a Frei majority in the lower House, and he was on his own.

His record since 1965 has been one of almost continuous failure. His Christian Democratic Party was captured by a Leftist majority who wanted to go even further into Socialism, than Frei. By a supreme effort, he regained the leadership but it left him a captive to his Left Wing.

The Chilean economy was next rocked by a series of paralyzing strikes launched by the Central Workers Federation (CUT), controlled by the Socialist-Communists. To Frei's consternation, the labor department of his own Christian Democratic Party, which was set up to build a non-Communist labor movement, took this occasion to desert Frei and call upon all Christian Democratic unions to unite with the CUT.

Although in his "Chileanization" coup, the American copper companies gave Chile the immensely valuable 51% ownership of the major copper mine properties for a pittance. Frei's regime has been a lukewarm supporter of the U. S. in world policy. A key U. S. test in the United Nations is the annual vote to exclude Communist China. In 1967, Chile was the only Latin American nation which did not vote with the U. S. Its vote was recorded as an "abstention".

Chile has another Presidential election in 1970. It is a certain bet that the anti-Communist nominee will not be another Eduardo Frei.

THE PRESIDENTS' MEN. By Patrick Anderson. Doubleday, New York. 420 pp? \$6.95.

Richelieu had his Father Joseph, Louis XI had his Oliver, Don Quixote had his Sancho Panza. In the modern manner, these parasites of the mighty would be called "Presidential advisers". The story of these shadowy men, who manipulate the levers of power, is the real story of many of our administrations.

Patrick Anderson, a back-stage member of the Kennedy administration, tells part of this tale. His book is a chatty, gossipy reprise of much of the material which we look for pruriently in the "now it can be told" columns of Drew Pearson and Evans and Novack.

Where do these "advisers" come from, before they emerge in the white Presidential light? Most of them are sycophants who have shrewdly attached themselves to their Presidents in the early stages of their ascent. Such odd characters as Louis M. Howe, or Sherman Adams, would never have risen from obscurity if they had not gotten in at the right time. Every public man has yes men trailing him. Even Harold Stassen has such hopefuls, revolving around his orbit. The trick is to pick a winner.

General Harry Vaughan had the good fortune to know Harry S. Truman while he was a captain of artillery in World War I. Not even, in the wildest flight of the imagination, could he have dreamed that this casual friendship would lead to the White House, but Vaughan held on through the years. Richard N. Goodwin, in the Kennedy regime, first cultivated Ted Sorensen, and then wormed his way into the good graces of the then Senator Kennedy, behind Sorensen's back. Of course, as the author points out, Ted didn't like it. Emmett J. Hughes, with a Luce TIME-FORTUNE background behind him, insinuated himself into the Eisenhower household by doing speech-writing chores in the 1952 campaign. He later cashed in his inside information and joined the Left.

Mr. Anderson has his heroes. First, among his crushes is Clark Clifford, whom he characterizes as "the golden boy". Second, is McGeorge Bundy whom he describes with awe as a man of all talents. However, there is a credulity gap here. He expatiates on the extraordinary influence which Bundy exercised over Kennedy in foreign policy decisions. He fails to point out that foreign policy was Kennedy's greatest failure. If Bundy was the mastermind, he masterminded us into some pretty smelly situations, both in his service under Kennedy and under Johnson.

This is a book which is strong on characterization, but often woefully weak on interpretation. But it explores a little known field.

If anybody harbors the notion that AID is single-mindedly devoted to the cure of poverty in Latin America, he had better take a second look. He should look at Haiti.

Most of us are pretty much fed up with foreign aid, but if it is to be continued, it should at least go to the nations which need it the most.

Haiti is the most abysmally impoverished nation in the Americas. The average yearly income of its 4,500,000 people is \$70—the lowest in the hemisphere. But, for questionable political reasons, Haiti has been virtually cut off from U.S. aid since 1962. Last year, its only help from the U.S. was \$2 million for malaria control (a United Nations project), and \$1.3 million in surplus food. During the last 7 years, the U.S. has poured out to other Latin American countries, in Alliance for Progress give-aways alone, \$9 billion.

Haiti's troubles go back to President Kennedy who started out in 1961 to "reform" Latin American nations under dictatorship. To get rid of President Duvalier became a top project. When Johnson became President, he softened the policy in most countries, but made little change in Haiti.

President Duvalier's rule is disliked by many Americans, but there is no reason to believe that Haiti would secure a better President if he fell. On the plus side, it must be remembered that Duvalier rejected a Russian offer of development aid, when he found that it had political strings. He is an anti-Communist shield against Castro's Cuba, of which he is the nearest neighbor.

Certainly, non-approval of Duvalier should not be used as an excuse for penalizing the needy poor of Haiti. Such penalization is happening now.

A little noted instance of Johnson administration flabbiness in the face of Leftist protest was the shelving of Project Camelot last year, by Presidential order.

The Department of Defense, deeply concerned over the Castro-supported guerrilla activities in Colombia, Guatemala and other vulnerable countries, came up in 1967 with the proposal that there should be an authoritative on-the-ground study by recognized sociologists of how to cope with guerrillas—a study which would be helpful to American officials.

Before the program could get underway, it was greeted with a snarling and apparently planned attack by U. S. Leftists and their counterparts in Latin America. Even in such a remote spot as the annual meeting of the American Sociological Association, a group of Marxist-minded younger sociologists raised the demand that their colleagues should boycott the project. The loudest outcry in South America was in Chile. Ralph A. Dungan, a Kennedy protege, was then U. S. Ambassador to Chile. Supporting the clamor, he enlisted support in the State Department. To still the protests, President Johnson, instead of backing his own Defense Department, complacently ordered the termination of Project Camelot. Apparently, the Leftists were afraid of some of the truths which a searching inquiry into guerrillism might bring to light.

The incident prompts the conjecture how many other worthwhile anti-Communist efforts are quietly smothered in Washington, when the Leftists and their backers put in the needle.

PRESIDENT JOHNSON'S GIVE-AWAY PANAMA TREATIES are in real trouble. Nobody can predict the attitude of the two-man Military Junta which seized the government from President Arias's jittery hands on October 11th. Arias's fall was Johnson's loss. It is now clear that Arias was ready to sign the Johnson treaties, as his reward to Washington for aiding him to be certified as President. As a part of the plan, General Bolivar Vallarino, long-time Commander of the National Guard, resigned his command and was slated to be Arias's envoy to Washington to negotiate the ratification of the treaties. All these plans went up in the air when Col. Jose M. Pinilla and Col. Bolivar Urratia deposed Arias in a 1-hour bloodless revolt. Arias and 128 of his followers fled to the Canal Zone, thence Arias later went to Washington. The incompetence of the U.S. staff in Panama is shown by the fact that they were taken completely off guard by the revolt.

Nobody takes seriously Arias's charge that the Junta is Communist-infiltrated. Arias made a ludicrous spectacle of himself in Washington, calling upon the U.S. to intervene militarily in Panama "just as it did in the Dominican Republic". His plea was treated with cold indifference.

So far, a large number of the Latin American governments, and Spain, have recognized the Pinilla government. Our own State Department grimly fell into line on Nov. 14th.

NIXON'S CAMPAIGN DEMAND FOR "TRADE INSTEAD OF AID" sent cold chills down the backs of the Alliance for Progress bureaucracy. Already dismayed by the Congress cut of Alliance appropriations for next year from \$625 million to \$270 million, the Latin American "experts", who have no other program for the Americas except U.S. handouts, are a demoralized crowd. They are afraid that the day of reckoning is not far ahead.

WHEN PUERTO RICO LIQUIDATED the 28-year-old Munoz Marin regime in its gubernatorial election, the last survivor of the Kennedy "social revolutionary" coterie in Latin America disappeared from the scene. Munoz Marin began his career as the leader of the Puerto Rican Socialists and an advocate of independence from the U.S. He made many shifts and turns during his long reign, but he was always a master politician.

This year he split his own party by refusing to renominate Governor Sanchez, and Louis C. Ferre, his long rival, won the Governorship. Ferre, one of the richest men in Puerto Rico, is a responsible supporter of free enterprise, and a proven friend of the U.S. Puerto Rico has taken a decisive step forward.

McNAMARA. If any Americans were under the illusion that we were going to get rid of old 'Edsel car' McNamara by shifting him to the Presidency of the World Bank, they had better change their thinking. McNamara is all set for the job of bossing the economy of the world. He is going to continue Johnson's staggering anti-poverty program from the eminence of the World Bank. By next July, he announces, he will be lending money to countries at the rate of \$2 billion a year. Latin America will be getting between \$700 and \$800 million a year, as contrasted with a present \$350 million. This money will go into development, on McNamara specifications. McNamara has taken time off to engage in an acrimonious debate with President Ongania of Argentina, over birth control. He proposes to make loans for "population control." This may have some validity for

overpopulated nations like India. But in the thinly populated countries of Latin America it all sounds rather pointless. There are vast undeveloped interiors in most Latin American countries. What Latin America needs, more than birth control, is a back-to-the-land movement such as the U. S. experienced after the Civil War. They need to get the descamisados out of the cities. Increased welfare for the descamisados isn't going to do this.

THE FORD FOUNDATION is getting ready to build bridges to Castro's Cuba. It has already allocated \$125,000 to send U. S. scholars to Cuba for "research". It has authorized Richard Adams, Texas University sociology professor, to contact the State Department and Cuba's Capt. Antonio Nunez Jimenez to launch such a program. In promoting this plan, the Ford Foundation is cooperating with the Latin American Studies Association, which got a Ford grant of \$100,000 in 1968. The project looks harmless at this stage, but it is the thin opening wedge to further collaboration with Castro. Why doesn't Bundy try to find cooperating Cuban intellectuals among the 200,000 anti-Castro exiles in Miami? The cream of the Cuban intelligentsia is not in Cuba but in the United States.

A GREAT LOSS TO THE U. S. is the retirement from Congress of Armistead I. Selden Jr. of Alabama. For ten years, Selden has headed the House Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on Inter-American Affairs. In his final report to Congress, Mr. Selden issued a timely warning against the increased volume of Communist subversive activities in Mexico City. The only nation in Latin America still recognizing Castro's Cuban Regime, Mr. Selden disclosed that Mexico City has become a "vital transfer point" for both Cuban and Soviet agents who come and go to the various Latin American countries on the 70 daily international air-flights to and from Mexico City. The Soviet Embassy in Mexico is overstaffed with 100 attaches who, it is suspected, are mostly engaged in subversive work.

AMERICA'S CRACK-UP IN PANAMA

Single copies	\$.10
12 copies	1.00
60 copies	5.00
125 copies	10.00

WHAT ARE WE AFRAID OF IN PANAMA?

(A longer booklet, giving the backgrounds of the present situation.)

Single copies	\$.25
10 copies	2.00
50 copies	8.00
100 copies	15.00

January 2, 1969

Mr. Vincent J. Velella
Triboro Republican Club, Inc.
247 East 116th Street
New York 29, N. Y.

Dear Mr. Velella:

It was a pleasure to receive your letter telling us of the fine work that the Triboro Republican Club, Inc. carried out during the recent campaign. We value the great effort and dedication to our cause put forth by you and your colleagues.

Needless to say, the challenge of the next four years looms large, but fortunately we finally have a President who will be able to meet it.

Mr. Nixon has been apprised of your letter, and has asked that we extend to you and your fellow members his appreciation of your fine work.

Cordially,

H. R. Haldeman
Assistant to the President-elect

HRH/mc



TRIBORO REPUBLICAN CLUB, Inc.



REGULAR ORGANIZATION

16th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT (NORTH)

247 EAST 116th STREET

New York 29, N. Y.

LEhigh 4-9379

EXECUTIVE MEMBERS

REGALADA TORRES VINCENT J. VELELLA

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

- ANNA ACCARDO
- RALPH ARRICHIELLO
- RICHARD BAIR
- CARRIE BENEDEUCE
- DR. ROBERT BAIRD
- ED BERARDI
- LUCY BRADSHAW
- FRANK CALIANDRO
- NICK CASSIERI
- JOSEPH CHIAVARO
- FRED P. CINCOTTI
- RICHARD COLLURA
- ANDREA E. COPPOLA
- PASQUALE CRUZ
- NANCY CRUZ
- JOHN CURCIO
- DANIEL DE PETRIS
- RAYMON TORRES FIGUEROA
- CARMELO TORRES FIGUEROA
- VICTOR TORRES FIGUEROA
- MARY FIGUEROA
- AMERICO GONZALEZ
- JOSEPHINE GUAPIO
- INRE KADJI
- LLOYD JOSEPH
- ALEX LEPORE
- HARRY LIFRIERI
- MICHAEL MAGLIANO
- CARLO J. MARANO
- DR. CARMEN MARRERO
- JOSEFINA MATOS
- AGNES MASON
- CARLOS MORALES
- RAMIRO MOURE
- ANGELO NIGRO
- MARGARET PALUMBO
- FELICE PELLEGRINO
- LUCIA PEPE
- JOHN PERROTTA
- MINNIE PUGLIESE
- MARY PUGLIESE
- ANTHONY RAIMONE
- ESPERANZA SEMEDEI
- GLADYS SEMEDEI
- PINKIE SMITH
- MICHAEL STONA
- PAUL TANTILLO
- FANNIE TESTA
- CARMEN TORRES
- JAMES TESTA
- FRANK TRINCHESE
- GAETANO TUDISCO
- ARTHUR VOLPE
- GUY VELELLA

December 4, 1968

Hon. H.R. Halderman
Pierre Hotel
Fifth Avenue & 61st Street
New York, N.Y.

Dear Mr. Halderman

As is the custom at the conclusion of each election, I report on the activities conducted on behalf of our organization.

Among the usual chores of canvassing in person and by telephone, addressing social, fraternal and veteran organization, we sent out a direct mail appeal to all the voters in our assembly district.

Altho our district is 90% Negro and Puerto Rican, and finding extremely strong resistance to our ticket, we continued a constant stream of campaigning in attempts to soften the resistance.

Our campaign to the white voters was geared towards convincing them that a vote for the Third Party candidate was a wasted vote and really a vote for the opposition.

I personally sent out 7500 letters throughout New York State to groups with whom I am active such as , clients, veterans and various Italo American groups , asking for their vote as a Residential Elector. Being active in the aforementioned groups, I was able to channel volunteers to various local campaign committees.



TRIBORO REPUBLICAN CLUB, Inc.



REGULAR ORGANIZATION

16th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT (NORTH)

247 EAST 116th STREET

New York 29, N. Y.

LEhigh 4-9379

EXECUTIVE MEMBERS

REGALADA TORRES VINCENT J. VELELLA

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

- ANNA ACCARDO
- RALPH ARRICHIELLO
- RICHARD BAIR
- CARRIE BENEDEUCE
- DR. ROBERT BAIRD
- ED BERARDI
- LUCY BRADSHAW
- FRANK CALIANDRO
- NICK CASSIERI
- JOSEPH CHIAVARO
- FRED P. CINCOTTI
- RICHARD COLLURA
- ANDREA E. COPPOLA
- PASQUALE CRUZ
- NANCY CRUZ
- JOHN CURCIO
- DANIEL DE PETRIS
- RAYMON TORRES FIGUEROA
- CARMELO TORRES FIGUEROA
- VICTOR TORRES FIGUEROA
- MARY FIGUEROA
- AMERICO GONZALEZ
- JOSEPHINE GUAPIO
- INRE KADJI
- LLOYD JOSEPH
- ALEX LEPORE
- HARRY LIFRIERI
- MICHAEL MAGLIANO
- CARLO J. MARANO
- DR. CARMEN MARRERO
- JOSEFINA MATOS
- AGNES MASON
- CARLOS MORALES
- RAMIRO MOURE
- ANGELO NIGRO
- MARGARET PALUMBO
- FELICE PELLEGRINO
- LUCIA PEPE
- JOHN PERROTTA
- MINNIE PUGLIESE
- MARY PUGLIESE
- ANTHONY RAIMONE
- ESPERANZA SEMEDEI
- GLADYS SEMEDEI
- PINKIE SMITH
- MICHAEL STONA
- PAUL TANTILLO
- FANNIE TESTA
- CARMEN TORRES
- JAMES TESTA
- FRANK TRINCHESE
- GAETANO TUDISCO
- ARTHUR VOLPE
- GUY VELELLA

I am enclosing photograph of the very First sign that was erected for our candidates. (Local Newspapers reported that this sign was the First in the Nation as it was erected 3 days after the Nixon nomination.)

It is my considered opinion from 35 years experience in politics that our activities were largely responsible for holding down the opposition vote in our district and reducing the usual Democratic plurality.

Respectfully Submitted

Vincent J. Velella

VJV:I.A.

VYNER INTERNATIONAL PROPERTIES, inc.



NEW YORK OFFICE
475 FIFTH AVENUE
NEW YORK, N. Y.
10017

P. O. BOX VV
HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA
33022

EUROPEAN DIVISION
COMENIUSSTRASSE 5
85 NUERNBERG
GERMANY
TEL. 446114

Mr. Robert Halderman
Chief of Staff - Nixon Headquarters
Hotel Willard
Washington, D.C.
U S A

November 14, 1968

Dear Sir,

You may perhaps recall the telephone conversation which we had prior to my departure from our home in Florida during the convention period, with regard to my contact with General Hickey in furtherance of Mr. Nixon's candidacy abroad. No doubt you received my letter of Oct 24, 1968 from Germany.

May I take this opportunity on behalf of Mrs. Vyner and myself to extend our heartiest congratulations on the well earned and gratifying successful campaign and victory and also upon your selection by Mr. Nixon for the important tasks ahead.

The trust and confidence, as expressed by the President-elect upon your recent appointment as Chief of the White House staff, bespeaks the ability, leadership and confidence in you, so vital to a successful and inspiring administration.

My personal observation during the past several years indicates how much can be done to improve the American image abroad. I would be pleased to offer, if you so desire, certain specific comments and suggestions in this regard.

Please feel free to request such help as may be rendered in any capacity in which we may be privileged to serve.

Respectfully,

VYNER INTERNATIONAL PROPERTIES, INC.
LOUIS W. VYNER
PRESIDENT

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'Louis W. Vyner', is written over the typed name and title.

January 14, 1969

Mr. Lloyd B. Waring
Kidder, Peabody & Co.
75 Federal Street
Boston 1, Massachusetts

Dear Mr. Waring:

Rose Woods passed to me your note and Mr. Brad Washburn's comments concerning his proposal that the President-elect institute a series of TV chats with the people of the nation.

I am taking the liberty of passing this correspondence on to Bud Wilkinson, Special Assistant to the President-elect, for his perusal. I am sure Mr. Washburn will hear further from Mr. Wilkinson's office in the near future.

Cordially,

H. R. Haldeman
Assistant to the
President-elect

HRH:ds

cc: Rose Woods
Bud Wilkinson (w/correspondence)

from

LLOYD B. WARING
Kidder, Peabody & Co.
Incorporated

75 Federal Street, Boston 1, Mass.
Liberty 2-6200

January 7, 1969

Dear Miss Wood:

I have today received the enclosed from Brad Washburn, head of the Museum of Science, Boston.

It seems to me to contain some constructive thoughts.

Sincerely,



lbw/rtn

Enclosure:

~~Bob Feldman~~
Bud Wilkin

Since the era of F.D.R., no president has managed to establish close personal rapport with a large proportion of the electorate. Ike was loved and respected by many --- but a great many of his strong supporters still were not very excited about his ability as an administrator and his grasp of that aspect of the presidency.

Roosevelt's fireside chats could be repeated, but such a move would instantly draw all sorts of Democratic backfire. They also were indeed chatty, never involved anyone else but F.D.R. and didn't give in-depth feel of the ability of the key people in the administration, the president's respect for them and his understanding of their jobs.

Everyone (even many who voted for Dick Nixon) now wonders how the new president will perform in office. This is not only a challenge to Dick Nixon and his team, but a unique opportunity as well.

In order to establish the new president's likeable and trustworthy personality, to fortify the public's opinion of him as an administrator (as well as of those to whom he is now delegating so much authority) I'd suggest that he inaugurate a brand-new series of TV chats with the people of the USA --- quarterly at the start, more if they appear to be successful.

Some meetings would be held at the White House. Others might be held at other key offices in Washington --- or indeed at exciting points of focus in the operation of the government: as at an Apollo launch, a government laboratory where thrilling work was being done, etc.

The President would open each session alone with a brief resume of who were his guests and the importance of their work in the whole national perspective --- and how important this work is to you, the citizen. He would then introduce his principal guest and, supported by maps, graphs, or even movies in the field, he would present the vital work in which he and his departments are involved --- with appropriate remarks from time to time by the President. Occasionally, other experts could be brought in to fill in exciting detail. This should present our government as a team of competent human, people --- people of quality, ability and dedication, doing a complex and important job. They should show why it is important, reveal exciting accomplishments, difficult problems, hopes and occasionally describe a failure to emphasize that this is all a human business. Behind the scenes at the State Department, Defense, Education, Agriculture, etc. could be fascinating and convincing.

No president since F.D.R. could possibly have done this until now, as it requires an MC (the President) who is completely relaxed and able to ad lib effectively and convincingly on TV. Dick Nixon has shown that he can be superb at this. The professional Democrats hate him because he is good at it.

Everyone (except possibly Ken Galbraith!) will come to trust him and respect him if he shows how our government is being run and who is running it. In departments which don't have convincing TV personalities at the top, the focus of the show could be borne more heavily by the President or speedily switched to people further down the line who are tops --- and a top executive is always judged by the public's respect and confidence in those to whom he

is delegating the work. Every department in the federal government has plenty of exciting people in it and lots of understandable and, indeed, thrilling material for a show of this sort.

This country is in critical need of confidence in and enthusiasm for those at the top. This show might do more to reduce the cynicism of youth than anything else that could be done at this time. It could also point out the important opportunities in the federal government of which few citizens are aware. Few have had much faith, respect and confidence in those aloft in the USA for quite a while. It must be reestablished mighty fast and mighty firmly or we will be in for serious trouble.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Henry Loomis

FROM: Bob Haldeman *HK*

RE: Letter from J.J. Wuerthner, Jr.
of January 6, 1969 concerning
Hoover-type Commission in natural
resources areas

January 13, 1969

Do you have any thoughts on this one?

HRH/mc
encl.

bbc;
Ken Cole **(W/LTR)**

Thomas W. Evans
General Counsel

IEW
Campaign Committee

Staff of Richard M. Nixon
450 Park Avenue
New York, N.Y. 10022
(212) 661-6400

November 11, 1968

Mr. Abbott Washburn
4622 Broad Branch Road
Washington, D.C.

Dear Abbott:

The proper direction for the original of
the Duhl letter is to Ray Price, with copies
to Henry Loomis and Bob Haldeman.

Keep up the good work, and very best wishes.

Sincerely yours,



Thomas W. Evans

TWE/jb

→ Copy for [unclear]
WASHBURN, STRINGER ASSOCIATES, INC.
40 1/2 BROAD BRANCH ROAD, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20008

ABBOTT WASHBURN

November 19, 1968

CALLE RIO SENA NO.63-A
MEXICO 5, D. F., MEXICO
PHONE: 14-55-21

Dear Ray,

Len Duhl sent this to me primarily, I judge, because we are good friends and he was our neighbor here before moving to Berkeley.

The idea is a good one, don't you think? But probably somewhat premature. Certainly the new Administration, in due course, will have to establish a dialogue with the academic community.

Yours,

Abbott

Abbott Washburn

Mr. Raymond K. Price, Jr.
Office of the President-Elect
450 Park Avenue
New York City, N. Y.
10022

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA · SANTA CRUZ

COLLEGE OF ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN
DEPARTMENT OF CITY AND REGIONAL PLANNING

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

November 5, 1968

Mr. Abbott Washburn
4622 Broad Branch Road, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20008

Dear Abbott:

I have been empowered by the Committee on Lectures at the University of California to begin to explore with you the possibility of a series of public lectures on the evolving National policies of the Nixon Administration.

What we would hope--dependent on today's results--is that we could have four or five major statements of public policy on:

- international,
- domestic,
- urban, health, housing, etc.,
- economic policy.

Feeling that the new administration would want both a public forum and an opportunity for interaction with the academic community, we would hope that you could give this a top priority.

Surely much of this has come out in position papers for the campaign. However, once the campaign is over, a clarification of direction, goals and immediate priorities would be important, both for the nation, and to the administration itself.

Cordially,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'L. Duhl', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Leonard J. Duhl, M.D.
Professor of Public Health
and Urban Social Policies

LJD:cm

cc: Professor William B. Fretter