

Richard Nixon Presidential Library  
 Contested Materials Collection  
 Folder List

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
55	2	10/11/1972	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Letter	From Tod R. Hullin to Daniel M. Slane RE: Ohio campaign. 1pg.
55	2	10/18/1972	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Personal	Memo	From Jane Hruska to Maury Sutter RE: Knights tickets. 1pg.
55	2	10/24/1972	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Letter	From Gilbert E. Carmichael to John Ehrlichman RE: Editorial in the Delta Democracy Times. 1pg.
55	2	10/31/1972	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Personal	Letter	From John D. Ehrlichman to V.H. Monette RE: Congressman Fisher campaign. 1pg.
55	2	10/31/1972	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Personal	Letter	From John D. Ehrlichman to Lee L. Newman RE: Speaking tour and assistance. 1pg.
55	2		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	Advertisement and Masonic members. 1pg.
55	2	10/8/1972	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Newspaper	"Ehrlichman shaping up as top Magnuson opponent" by Richard W. Larsen. The Seattle Times. 2pgs. Set 1 of 2.
55	2	10/17/1972	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Lee L. Newman to John Ehrlichman RE: newspaper clipping from Seattle Times. Set 2 of 2. 1pg.
55	2	10/17/1972	<input type="checkbox"/>	Personal	Memo	From Nick Thimmesch to John D. Ehrlichman RE: Personal Matters. 1pg.

Presidential Materials Review Board

Review on Contested Documents

Collection: WHSF:WHCF:Subject Files  
Box Number: 12

Folder: Gen. FG 6-11-1/Ehrlichman, [John D.] 10/1/72 - 10/31/72

<u>Document</u>	<u>Disposition</u>
73	Retain Open
74	Retain Open
75	Retain Open
76	Retain Open
77	Retain Open
78	Retain Open
79	Retain Open
80	Retain Open
81	Retain Open
82	Return Private/Political LTR. <i>Holmes to Slane 10/11/72</i>
83	Retain Open
84	Retain Open
85	Retain Open
86	Retain Open
87	Retain Open
88	Return Private/Personal LTR. <i>Thompson to JDE 10/16/72</i>
89	Retain Open
90	Retain Open
91	Retain Close Invasion of Privacy LTR. <i>JDE to Dept 10/17/72</i>
92	Retain Open
93	Retain Open
94	Return Private/Personal LTR. <i>Hruska to Sutter 10/18/72</i>
95	Retain Open
96	Retain Open

Presidential Materials Review Board

Review on Contested Documents

Collection: WHSF:WHCF:Subject Files  
Box Number: 12

97	Retain	Open
98	Retain	Open
99	Retain	Open
100	Retain	Open
101	Retain	Open
102	Return	Private/Political LTR. Carmichael to JDE 10/24/72
103	Retain	Open
104	Retain	Open
105	Retain	Open
106	Retain	Open
107	Retain	Open
108	Retain	Open
109	Retain	Open
110	Return	Private/Political LTR JDE to Monahan 10/31/72
111	Retain	Open
112	Return	Private/Political LTR. JDE to Newman 10/31/72
113	Retain	Open



CG  
GENERAL

FL 6-11-1/Ehrlichman, John

October 18, 1972

Dear Maury:

Enclosed is a check for \$15 from the Knights who enjoyed "Godspell" last night. They asked that I thank you for being able to get them the tickets -- they really liked the show.

Sincerely,

(Miss) Jana Hruska  
Secretary to John D. Ehrlichman

Mrs. Maury Sutter  
Ford's Theatre  
511 Tenth Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C.

JH

October 18 check from Dalwyn N. Knight, 4620 N. E. 189th Place, Seattle 98155 - check #447



October 31, 1972

GENERAL

PH/Mason

PH/STH

F06-11-11

Shompson, Charles

Dear Val:

Thank you very much for your letters of October 25.

I appreciate your expression of <sup>P.C.</sup> concern over the campaign in Congressman Fisher's district and I will bring it to the attention of those staff members most directly involved.

Also, many thanks for your thoughts on the Winter-Berger book, which as you can imagine, I have not had a chance to read. I'll keep your ideas in mind when I do get the opportunity.

Best personal regards.

Yours sincerely,

John D. Ehrlichman  
Assistant to the President  
for Domestic Affairs

Mr. V. H. Monette  
Smithfield  
Virginia 23430

bcc: Clark MacGregor (w/copy of incoming) FV: 4

NOV 1 1972  
CENTRAL

GENERAL

FL6-11-1/Ehrlichman  
John

PL/ST47

October 31, 1972

Dear Lee:

Many thanks for your note of the 17th.

It was good hearing about your speaking tour, and I appreciate your offer of assistance should I ever undertake a campaign in my own behalf. However, I have no intentions of doing so. *Thanks, anyway -*

Best personal regards.

Yours sincerely,

John D. Ehrlichman  
Assistant to the President  
for Domestic Affairs

Mr. Lee L. <sup>X</sup>Newman  
4001 West Mercer Way  
Mercer Island, Washington 98040

7

NOV 1 1972  
CENTRAL FILE

What are we doing about advertising such as car window strips, Bumper strips, outdoor advertising, etc. I am this week and next week on a speaking tour of the state \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ Lake, Spokane, Winnewich?, Walla Walla, etc., and will be glad to pass out these things for our Masonic members to distribute to the public. When we ~~met~~<sup>saw</sup> our president three years ago, I believed? backed? him. The Masonic potential in the country is not less than 25 million. Don't overlook it.

# Campaign '72

PRESENT

Richard W. Larsen



Political Writer

## Ehrlichman shaping up as top Magnuson opponent

Will it be John Ehrlichman, as the Republican candidate, challenging Senator Warren G. Magnuson in the Senate race in 1974?

There has been increasing speculation that could be a matchup. Within the Magnuson camp, which already is gearing up for '74, Ehrlichman is considered a possible opponent. At times Ehrlichman sounds like just that.

**SPEAKING AS** President Nixon's chief domestic-affairs adviser, often focuses on this state's senior senator, mixing criticism of Magnuson into his public remarks.

Talking to a Washington-delegation caucus at the G. O. P. national convention in Miami Beach, Ehrlichman quipped that Magnuson has "round heels" when it comes to federal spending.

Yesterday, speaking to a breakfast session of the Associated Press Managing Editors Association, Ehrlichman got around to Magnuson again.

He suggested that newspa-

water" bill, he again referred to Magnuson.

A veto could have its political liabilities. It could, Ehrlichman said, allow Magnuson to run around and charge that the Nixon administration "is against clean water."

But the program coming from Congress could be inflationary and could actually be so massive as to overload the work capacity of the nation's designers and contractors, he said.

**INEVITABLY**, Ehrlichman was asked directly: Has he thought about leaving the White House to return home to Seattle and to run for the Senate?

Return to Seattle, hopefully, Ehrlichman replied.

But to run for the Senate? "I have no intention of doing anything like that at all."

"I have absolutely no inclination to run for anything, except maybe town councilman of the Town of Hunts Point," he added.

The Ehrlichman replies,



John Ehrlichman

Seattle University's "Political Awareness Week."

Meeds, Everett Democrat, in the 2nd District, last week wrapped together McGovern, Meeds and other Democrats in Congress.

**REAMS SAID** they have "a strange attitude toward the working man and toward employment."

"First (Congress) votes against defense expenditures, against the S. S. T. and to cut the space budget. Then it turns around and demands so-called public-

service jobs to make the federal government employer of the unemployed." Reams said.

In the 4th District, Republican Stewart Bledsoe, running against Democratic Representative Mike McCormack, has attacked McGovern's welfare and defense policies, asking:

"How long can he (McCormack) defend the policies of his party's leader in Washington's 4th Congressional District?"

Either sensing or gambling on an anti-George McGovern mood in the state, a trio of Republican congressional candidates are energetically painting their Democratic opponents as McGovernites.

Tom Lowry, the G. O. P. candidate in the 6th District, said that Representative Floyd Hicks, the Tacoma Democrat, has been "standing still" in the face of McGovern proposals "to dismantle our defense."

**HICKS**, a four-term and

PRESERVATION COPY



Political Writer

# top Magnuson opponent

Will it be John Ehrlichman, as the Republican candidate, challenging Senator Warren G. Magnuson in the Senate race in 1974?

There has been increasing speculation that could be a matchup. Within the Magnuson camp, which already is gearing up for '74, Ehrlichman is considered a possible opponent. At times Ehrlichman sounds like just that.

**SPEAKING AS** President Nixon's chief domestic-affairs adviser, often focuses on this state's senior senator, mixing criticism of Magnuson into his public remarks.

Talking to a Washington-delegation caucus at the G. O. P. national convention in Miami Beach, Ehrlichman quipped that Magnuson has "round heels" when it comes to federal spending.

Yesterday, speaking to a breakfast session of the Associated Press Managing Editors Association, Ehrlichman got around to Magnuson again.

He suggested that newspapers keep an eye on the debt-ceiling extension and a White House proposal to tie onto it a spending limitation of \$250 billion for this fiscal year.

"We think it's got a good chance of passage," Ehrlichman said of the limitation.

If it forced this state's "two estimable senators" — Magnuson and Henry M. Jackson — to cast a vote "yes" or "no" on high spending, that would be "a turn of events devoutly to be hoped for," Ehrlichman said.

When Ehrlichman defended President Nixon's wariness of the inflationary effect of a \$6 billion "clean

water" bill, he again referred to Magnuson.

A veto could have its political liabilities. It could, Ehrlichman said, allow Magnuson to run around and charge that the Nixon administration "is against clean water."

But the program coming from Congress could be inflationary and could actually be so massive as to overload the work capacity of the nation's designers and contractors, he said.

**INEVITABLY**, Ehrlichman was asked directly: Has he thought about leaving the White House to return home to Seattle and to run for the Senate?

Return to Seattle, hopefully, Ehrlichman replied.

But to run for the Senate? "I have no intention of doing anything like that at all."

"I have absolutely no inclination to run for anything, except maybe town councilman of the Town of Hunts Point," he added.

The Ehrlichman replies, however, probably won't discourage anyone from continuing the speculation.

The two candidates in the 1st District congressional race will have their most significant confrontation so far, when they appear in what is billed as "a debate" Tuesday.

Joel Pritchard, the Republican, and John Hemplemann, the Democrat, will square off at 1 p. m. at the A. A. Lemieux Library Shaffer Auditorium.

It will be one of a series of major campaign events — including speeches by statewide candidates during



John Ehrlichman

Seattle University's "Political Awareness Week."

Either sensing or gambling on an anti-George McGovern mood in the state, a trio of Republican congressional candidates are energetically painting their Democratic opponents as McGovernites.

Tom Lowry, the G. O. P. candidate in the 6th District, said that Representative Floyd Hicks, the Tacoma Democrat, has been "standing still" in the face of McGovern proposals "to dismantle our defense."

**HICKS**, a four-termer and member of the House Armed Forces Committee, hasn't been portrayed in the past as a dove, soft on defense policies.

But Lowry charged that Hicks is a McGovern follower and has "repeatedly voted for Vietnam deadlines to undercut the President's bargaining position in Vietnam."

Lowry said McGovern "meat ax" defense cuts would cause deep injury to the 6th District payrolls at the Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Fort Lewis and McChord Air Base.

Bill Reams, the Republican running against Lloyd

Meeds, Everett Democrat, in the 2nd District, last week wrapped together McGovern, Meeds and other Democrats in Congress.

**REAMS SAID** they have "a strange attitude toward the working man and toward employment."

"First (Congress) votes against defense expenditures, against the S. S. T. and to cut the space budget. Then it turns around and lemands so-called public-

service jobs to make the federal government employer of the unemployed." Reams said.

In the 4th District, Republican Stewart Bledsoe, running against Democratic Representative Mike McCormack, has attacked McGovern's welfare and defense policies, asking:

"How long can he (McCormack) defend the policies of his party's leader in Washington's 4th Congressional District?"

RESERVE

OCT 23 1972



GRAND LODGE  
FREE AND ACCEPTED MASONS  
OF WASHINGTON

LEE L. NEWMAN, CHAIRMAN  
BI-CENTENNIAL COMMITTEE  
4001 W. MERCER WAY  
MERCER ISLAND, WASHINGTON 98040

October 17, 1972

*Handwritten note:*  
File  
for  
admission  
at  
Washington

Mr. John Ehrlichman  
The White House  
Washington, D. C.

My dear John:

I tried desperately and to reach you on the phone while you were here in Seattle. I am sorry I was unsuccessful.

I am enclosing a Seattle Times clipping. If there should be any fact in this, please let me know as soon as you make the decision. I would tour the state in your behalf and expose the fellow I have always felt was a fraud: Magnuson.

I will be in Washington in about the middle of February of next year and would like to have the opportunity to visit with you.

Very sincerely yours,

Lee L. Newman

*Handwritten note:*  
What are we doing about a dirty  
sub as car window strips bumper strips  
outdoor adobe etc. I am this week  
and next week on a <sup>speaking</sup> tour of the state  
Wentworth, Moss Lake, Spokane, Krummrich  
Walla Walla etc and will be glad to  
pass out these things for our Masonic  
members to distribute to the public.  
When we pass are president - 3 pages I  
held him - the Masonic political in this country  
is not less than 25 million. Don't overlook this!

2  
cc

GENERAL

FC6-11-1

EHRICHMAN, JOHN D.

OCT 16 1972

6301 Broadbranch Road,  
Chevy Chase, Maryland,  
Oct. 14, 1972

John---

The conversation I had hoped to have with you over a personal matter isn't necessary now. I thank you for trying to reach me as you did. I appreciate your consideration. If you are curious about all this, check with Peter Flanigan who is aware of what I had in mind. Thanks again.

Best

*Nick Shirnesch*

OCT 17 1972