

Richard Nixon Presidential Library  
Contested Materials Collection  
Folder List

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
53	11	6/12/1972	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Kehrlie to Haldeman RE: "McGovern" 2pg
53	11	1/20/1972	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Kehrlie to Colson and Haldeman RE: "Catholic Voting Attitude" 2pg
53	11	1/19/1972	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Kehrlie to Haldeman RE: "New Hampshire" 1pg

## DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD [NIXON PROJECT]

DOCUMENT NUMBER	DOCUMENT TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE OR CORRESPONDENTS	DATE	RESTRICTION
N-1 [148]	memo	Kehrli to Haldeman re: October 25 News Summary.	10/25/72	C (Nixon)
N-2 [149]	memo	Kehrli to Haldeman re: "Vermont Royster Comments".	10/10/72	C (Nixon)
N-3 [150]	memo	Price to RN re: "Vermont Royster".	9/29/72	C (Nixon)
N-4 [151]	memo	Moore to Kehrli re: "Presidential Posture During Next Six Weeks:...."	10/4/72	C (Nixon)
N-5 [152]	memo	"Political Media Analysis" re: Mr. McGovern.	9/25/72	C (Nixon)
N-6 [153]	memo	Kehrli to Haldeman re: "Munich Tragedy".	9/8/72	C (Nixon)
N-7 [154]	memo	Kehrli to Haldeman re: attached comment from July 28 News.	7/28/72	C (Nixon)
N-8 [155]	memo	Kehrli to Ehrlichman, Haldeman re: "GOP Poll".	7/25/72	C (Nixon)
N-9 [156]	memo	Kehrli to Haldeman re: "McGovern".	6/12/72	C (Nixon)

FILE GROUP TITLE

STAFF SECRETARY

BOX NUMBER

84

FOLDER TITLE

Presidential Memos - 1972 Haldeman

RESTRICTION CODES

- A. Release would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy.  
 B. National security classified information.  
 C. Pending or approved claim that release would violate an individual's rights.  
 D. Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy or a libel of a living person.

- E. Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information.  
 F. Release would disclose investigatory information compiled for law enforcement purposes.  
 G. Withdrawn and return private and personal material.  
 H. Withdrawn and returned non-historical material.

## DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD [NIXON PROJECT]

DOCUMENT NUMBER	DOCUMENT TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE OR CORRESPONDENTS	DATE	RESTRICTION
N-10 [157]	memo	Kehrli to Haldeman re: "News Summary Notes".	4/4/72	c (Nixon)
N-11 [158]	memo	Colson to RN re: "Comments from Louis Harris on Your China Trip".	3/2/72	c (Nixon)
N-12 [159]	memo	Kehrli to Haldeman re: "RN Phone Call Stories".	1/31/72	c (Nixon)
N-13 [160]	memo	Kehrli to Colson, Haldeman re: "Catholic Voting Attitude".	1/20/72	c (Nixon)
N-14 [161]	memo	Kehrli to Haldeman re: "New Hampshire".	1/19/72	c (Nixon)

FILE GROUP TITLE

STAFF SECRETARY

BOX NUMBER

84

FOLDER TITLE

Presidential Memos- 1972 Haldeman

RESTRICTION CODES

- A. Release would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy.
- B. National security classified information.
- C. Pending or approved claim that release would violate an individual's rights.
- D. Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy or a libel of a living person.

- E. Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information.
- F. Release would disclose investigatory information compiled for law enforcement purposes.
- G. Withdrawn and return private and personal material.
- H. Withdrawn and returned non-historical material.

Presidential Materials Review Board

Review on Contested Documents

**Collection:** Staff Secretary  
**Box Number:** 84

**Folder:** Presidential Memos - 1972 Haldeman

<u>Document</u>	<u>Disposition</u>
148	Retain Open
149	Retain Open
150	Retain Open
151	Retain Open
152	Retain Close Invasion of Privacy
153	Retain Open
154	Retain Open
155	Retain Open
156	Return Private/Political
157	Retain Open
158	Retain Open
159	Retain Open
160	Return Private/Political
161	Return Private/Political

[Item N-9]  
THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 12, 1972

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR: MR. H. R. HALDEMAN

FROM: BRUCE KEHRLI BK

SUBJECT: McGovern

Please note the attached comments from the June 12 Weekend News Review.

Magruder and John Mitchell are aware of this comment from Lyn Nofziger and will reprimand him for it.

cc: Alexander P. Butterfield

Semple, in NY Times, writes that "the men who are running RN's re-election campaign seem to worry about the prospect of a McG candidacy the closer they get to McG himself". While nat'l aides are confident they can pin 'radical' tag on George, Semple says others in primary states are cautious. One Calif. RN worker said: "Contrived or real, McG is projecting an impression of freshness, seriousness of purpose and candor, building himself for a direct confrontation with the old politics in Nov." *H. It is good to run scared - but it is stupid to put out their line*

Semple, in earlier piece, says "the suspicion is that RN is richly enjoying the commotion" caused by his refusal to specify VP. He adds that new Connally mission, tho, has prompted some to ask if they aren't being conned "by a Pres whose taste for little surprises and grand theatre is beginning to make even the great Lyndon look like an amateur. Semple says WH staff feel VP Agnew will be on the ticket, but writers feels that the VP's ambiguity about whether he really wants the top job may affect RN's choice."

Ferguson writes that primary results "say clearly that moods and personalities matter far more to the voter in 1972 than issues and events". Thus RN, whose doing all he can to influence issues and events, may still face problems. RN "will be open to just the same kind of damaging contrast with McG" as HHH was in Calif. Says Ernest.

NY Times magazine has article by Rice on Sen. Smith of Maine. ~~She~~ faces a tough primary and/or reelection campaign, says author, as many feel she's old or hasn't done enough for Maine.

C.S. Monitor's Strout writes that the "much-criticized US presidential primary system seems once more to have vindicated itself". Its cruel but effective ordeal by publicity has cut down the supposed front-runner, and brought another from obscurity into lead. And further, primaries have delineated the "major issue of the campaign -- the depth of the current of unrest and dissatisfaction running through US". "Many criticize Presidential primaries but it's hard to find an alternative", he concludes.

MEMORANDUM

Item N-13]

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 20, 1972

*Chrichma*  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
✓

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR:

CHUCK COLSON  
H. R. HALDEMAN

FROM:

BRUCE KEHRLI *BAK*

SUBJECT:

Catholic Voting Attitude

It was requested that you note the attached information on a recent Cambridge Marketing Poll.

Agreement was indicated with the underlined portion and it was noted that much of Muskie's strength is due to his Catholic support.

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

~~THE PRESIDENT HAS SLEPT~~

Supplement to Agenda and Brief  
for Cardinal Cooke Meeting

RE: Catholic Voting Attitudes

The "Bailey memorandum" circulated at the 1956 Democratic Convention in support of Jack Kennedy's candidacy for the Vice Presidency had as a basic thesis that Catholics vote for Catholics and would for some years to come. 1960 proved the thesis, but many question whether or not it will be true in the future.

Plesser of Cambridge Marketing has shown me the results of a just completed poll of 1500 likely voters. Shown below are the results broken down by all voters and by Catholic voters in a three-way race with both Muskie and Lindsay.

	<u>All Voters</u>	<u>Catholic Voters</u>
Nixon	38	34
Muskie	34	42
Wallace	10	6
Undecided	18	18
Nixon	42	43
Lindsay	30	29
Wallace	11	11
Undecided	17	17

I asked if Lindsay was not a special case, but Plesser assured me the same pattern pertained in the figures for the other non-Catholic Democratic candidates. Other data indicate that in objective reactions to Nixon, not related to other candidates, Catholics break down in the same proportion as "all voters".

From the above, it appears clear that many Catholics who would not otherwise vote for a Democrat will do so if he is a Catholic. Because of this vulnerability, we should continue to give special emphasis in our campaign and legislative strategy to winning back these votes.

January 20, 1972



[Item N-14]

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 19, 1972

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR:

H. R. HALDEMAN

FROM:

BRUCE KEHRLI BAK

SUBJECT:

New Hampshire

The January 19th, 1972 News Summary contained the following paragraph:

'McCloskey predicts lagging support in New Hampshire will force RN to campaign there before the primary. McCloskey again said he wouldn't be surprised to see RN stop off in New Hampshire enroute home from Peking, but said, "I don't think he will win." Pete said anti-RN sentiment is running strong in N. H. and that he doubted that RN would come even close to the 78% of '68. "The key issue... is that people no longer believe their Pres., whether on the war, inflation, unemployment or pollution control," said McCloskey.'

It was requested that efforts be stepped up to reduce the estimate of 78% in New Hampshire.

Gordon Strachan will relay this request to the appropriate staff member at the committee.

cc: Alexander P. Butterfield

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL