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Dear Dick:

When we visited briefly on March 25th at your White House dinner - which I enjoyed very much - I apologized for not acknowledging your letter of November 18, 1970 in which you discussed the results of the earlier November election. I was not able to accept the result thereof with any feeling other than disappointment and I determined to endeavor to seek reasons for such a result. In 1968 I undertook to organize Congressman Tom Curtis's campaign for Senator from Missouri financial responsibilities. The campaign was moving along quite well until about ten days before election when organized labor contributed a substantial sum of money for prime T.V. and radio time in support of Tom's opponent, Tom Eagleton. This was the turning point in Tom Curtis' campaign and resulted in his defeat by about 30,000 votes. I make this explanation to explain my action following the 1970 election as I determined to have the political action of labor related to the 1970 election studied in the belief that much of the success of the elected candidates was due to the political acts of labor. Accordingly I arranged with Ronald Crawford of F/P Research Associates to make a study in depth.
of the financial contributions of labor, especially in the states
you and I regarded as critical and most promising for us to
obtain a majority of the Senate.

I am pleased to send you herewith a condensed
summary of the more important findings of the study which to
me is very informative and brings into rather sharp focus the
tremendous and alarming political power of labor which if not
curbed must necessarily result in a labor controlled country —
if this has not already occurred.

The Crawford complete to date report is available
to you if you wish to have it - I have had Crawford give a
copy to Harry Dent and I have also asked Ron Crawford to
brief Maury Stans on the report and also to give a copy to
John Mitchell. Strategy with respect to its findings and use
thereof obviously should be carefully evolved and coordinated
and I do hope you will lend your tremendous knowledge, ability
and leadership to organizing an effort hopefully to overcome
the tremendous and advantageous political position labor has
so successfully attained. My own appraisal of labor's present
political power leads me to the conclusion their power and success
stems from the preferred position they occupy to collect dues
and assessments upon a tax exempt basis from their members
who lose all control of the expenditures politically and which
gives a very sophisticated political organization money and
influence to accomplish a result moneywise and influence-wise denied to any other group in this country. It occurs to me the remedy is to eliminate the tax exempt status of labor politically.

I enclose the October 26, 1970 release of COPE, also a copy of a letter from George Meany to Alexander Barkan, Director of COPE and a clipping from the Atlanta Constitution January 1, 1971 stating Meany's views re you and your Administration which I send only to refresh your memory.

Because my companies operate some forty to fifty plants in this country you can well understand I do not wish to be known as the sponsor of this study. I have been motivated by a recognition of a serious fundamental problem facing our country requiring prompt correction.

I welcome suggestions to further study as suggested in the memo of May 6, 1971 attached from Ron Crawford.

I regret the length of this personal letter to you - my object is to not repeat in 1972 the experience of 1970.

With my warm regards as always,

Sincerely,

s/John (Olin)
May 15th, 1971
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