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Dear Rosemary:

This letter is confidential and meant for you alone to read - that is why I will mark it "personal". If, therefore, your secretary opens it I would appreciate very much if she would treat this confidentially and see that you get it to read personally.

You will recall the circumstances under which we met and my deep concern at that time for the campaign methods being used. It was this concern which made me persevere until I attained an appointment with you in order that I might share the thoughts I had as to the strategy being used.

Rosemary, I have a theory concerning what has happened and is happening thus far in the campaign and I want to make very sure that you have given or do give thought to this. It might be a justified concern or may prove to be false - I don't think we can afford to overlook it knowing the tactics which have been used in the past.

Could it be that the opposition actually planned to have the 2nd spot on the ticket filled by a man whose health record is one with psychiatric care involved?

Do you recall the Bailey report? Do you remember - recall - its purpose? I would not be surprised that there is some such existing report which is the result of long research for the express purpose of cornering a large slice of the electorate. If your candidate needed a "miracle" to be elected and he had all the readily recognized bloc votes and he wanted the largest existing group irrespective of party - where do you find this group. I believe statistics, prove that an unusually high percentage of the American families - if not all - have had at least one member under psychiatric care. Regardless of your party, you could be sentimentally drawn to such a man.
You're almost casting a vote of confidence for yourself -

In other words could the strategist have come up with a means of emotionally "controlling" the election?

You remember J.F.K. was elected because he was a Catholic and the American people never realized they were used via the Bailey Report predictions.

Could this be the exact same thing?

It's almost like being against Motherhood to be against one who has come through this medical history and become a Senator.

Another point - the news commentators stated that this was information that Pres. Nixon and Rep. had had since the campaign in Mo. Can it be supposed that the Dem. didn't have this same knowledge? Can we believe that a man running for Pres. could so carelessly choose his running mate that he wouldn't examine his history, his last campaign? If you say, "Yes, it could be" then here's another - can you believe that the Kennedy strategists, who are running this show and who overlook nothing, didn't know about this? This I can hardly believe. These people have been running the show from the start. Ted K. has been present at all the top secret meetings (a local delegate stated that to a friend and it was repeated to us). Are we supposed to believe that this man, winning all the primaries, still hadn't researched his candidates for the 2nd spot? Inefficiency of the highest degree, if this be so. I'd rather believe that this was done knowingly - without the subject knowing he was being used. He's more convincing that way and he really is!

One other point -- all this, even though it is never meant to win (but rather a dress rehearsal for '76) will make the tarnished record of the '76 candidate look unblemished by comparison and we will have fully tasted the "emotional backlash" of the American public.

Just suppose this is true - there is only one way to handle it and that is head on.
There’s only one thing the American public loves more than truth and that is to be let in on all the truth and we have it as we did in '60 - we are most foolish if we don’t use it this time.

Paid prime time with our highly respected President exposing the Bailey report, the stolen election (with proof from sources like Chicago Tribune, etc.) and are they up to the same thing all over again?

Another reason for it? Lack of funds and the President’s refusal to debate. TV exposure is costly. With this they are getting it free from every imaginable source. It was a calculated risk and a good one knowing the American people.

These are all suppositions, I agree -- I wanted you to know my thoughts because they are both logical and true to their past performance.

Excellent to hear Vietnam vet and POW wives speaking for the Nixon policies - should be more.

Hope this reaches you.

Most sincerely,

s/June Cox
(Mrs. W. R. Cox)
Dear Rosemary:

This letter is confidential and meant for you alone to read. This is why I will mark it "confidential.

If, therefore, you consider this open, I would appreciate any work you could do to treat this confidentially and not see that you get it to read personally.

You will recall the circumstances which led to my deep concern at that time for the campaign work at home and all these times when I spoke with you of my concern with your personal condition. I attained an opportunity to speak with you in order that I might show you the thought I had of the situation.
strategy they used.

Vesuvius. I have in thought
concerning what has happened.
I am trying to make very sure that
you have spoken of the same thought
I have. It might be a justified
concern or may relate to the feeling
I don't think we can feel. To
consider it knowing the factors which
have been used in the past?

Consider if the opposition
critically planned to have the book
get in the hands of the Government
and how would it be read by the
psychiatric case concerned?

Do you recall the Irving report?
Do you remember, recall it perhaps?
Wasn't it supposed that this
is some sort of Irving report which
to the result of long research. Fo the
To believe that this man, running all the primaries, still hasn't received any candidates for the 2nd spot? Insufficing of the highest degree of this Our

I'd rather believe that this was done knowingly—without the subject knowing he was being used. He's more cunning than we ever realized. He's really the best

One the point. All this, even though it is never meant to win (let rather a vote reduced for '76) will make the lamed duck of the '76 candidates look in unadorned by comparison. We will have fully tested the instinctive cockade of the American Public.

Just suppose this is true—there is only one way to handle it, that is to demand—

This, only am I that the American Public投注 more than truth in that is to be let in our minds to truth that we have it as cursed in '60. We are most foolish if we don't use it at this time.
Paid from time with our highly respected President, having the Bailey report, the stolen election (with graft from sources like Chicago John etc) and they up to the same they all once again?

Another reason for it? Lack of funds or the President refused to debase? TV response is costly. With this they can get it free from every imaginable source. It was a calculated risk vs a good one known by the American people?

This is all conjecture. I agree. I wanted you to know my thought because they are both logical vs true to their past performance.

Excellent to hear Vietnam Vet & POWs was speaking for the 12th June policy should be more.

Hope this makes sense.

Most Sincerely,

June Cot

(Mrs. W.R.)