

Richard Nixon Presidential Library
Contested Materials Collection
Folder List

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
49	21	2/5/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Egil Krogh to Harry Dent. RE: Washington State Fee Attorneys. 1pg.

DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD [NIXON PROJECT]

DOCUMENT NUMBER	DOCUMENT TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE OR CORRESPONDENTS	DATE	RESTRICTION
N-1 [DOC 24]	memo	Krogh to Timmons	2/5/70	C (Nixon)
N-2 [DOC 25]	memo	- to Dent	2/5/70	"
N-3 [DOC 26]	memo	Krogh to Henry Kearns	2/5/70	"
N-4 [DOC 27]	memo	Krogh to Magruder	2/5/70	"
N-5 [DOC 28]	memo	Krogh to Hullin	2/9/70	"
N-6 [DOC 29]	memo	Krogh to Haldeman	2/23/70	"
N-7 [DOC 30]	memo	Krogh to John Lehman	2/27/70	"
N-8 [DOC 31]	memo	Ehrlichman to R N	2/27/70	"

FILE GROUP TITLE
 WHSF: SMOF: Krogh

BOX NUMBER
 2

FOLDER TITLE
 [Memos, February 1970]

RESTRICTION CODES

- A. Release would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy.
- B. National security classified information.
- C. Pending or approved claim that release would violate an individual's rights.
- D. Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy or a libel of a living person.

- E. Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information.
- F. Release would disclose investigatory information compiled for law enforcement purposes.
- G. Withdrawn and return private and personal material.
- H. Withdrawn and returned non-historical material.

Presidential Materials Review Board

Review on Contested Documents

Collection: Egil Krogh
Box Number: 2

Folder: [Memos, February 1970]

<u>Document</u>	<u>Disposition</u>		
24	Retain	Open	
25	Return	Private/Political	
26	Retain	Close	Invasion of Privacy
27	Retain	Open	
28	Retain	Close	Invasion of Privacy
29	Retain	Open	
30	Retain	Open	
31	Retain	Open	

February 5, 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR HARRY DENT

SUBJECT: WASHINGTON STATE FEE ATTORNEYS

My reaction is that it would be most preferable to work out a compromise situation at this point. As you know, there is substantial tension between the Seattle/King County group and the State Chairman/Evans/Gorton group.

With Pelly running for re-election this year, and no other candidate in sight, I think it would be very wrong to cut him out of this picture so decisively at this point. Besides a fundamental reluctance to do anything to subvert the campaign of an incumbent Republican, I don't think we gain anything at this stage by hinting at a weakening of our support for Tom Pelly. Accordingly, I would try to add some of Johnson's people recommended by the Patronage Committee on a gradually increasing basis.

If Johnson wants to shoot it out, I think he ought to start on Pelly, not us.

Incidentally, I have been apprised of a movement to get another young Republican candidate to oppose Pelly this year. There are some young turk types who don't think he is serving his district or the state well, and they would like some new blood. When I get the name, I'll pass it on to you.