

Richard Nixon Presidential Library
Contested Materials Collection
Folder List

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
19	1	10/26/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	Handwritten notes on state support of the President. Written to an Andrews. 2 pgs.
19	1		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign		Handwritten notes President's position on Ray Garland. Written to a Harlow. 1 pg.
19	1	10/26/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	Handwritten notes on senator race. 2 pgs.
19	1	10/26/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	Handwritten notes on the senator race. 1 pg.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
19	1	10/26/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From: Harry S. Dent To: The President RE: Updated reports on the political situation in Florida and New Jersey.3 pgs.
19	1	10/23/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From: Charles W. Colson To: H.R. Haldeman RE: President's Polling in 1970. 2 pgs.
19	1		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign		Template lined paper listing states. 1 pg.
19	1	10/6/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	Money sheet for states . Revised on 10/13/1970. 1 pg.
19	1	9/24/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	Notes on active states and their status. 1 pg.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
19	1	10/13/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	Notes on committed states. 1 pg.
19	1		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	V.P. Campaign State Stops. 1 pg.
19	1		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Report	The 1970 Campaign Fund. 2 pgs.
19	1	7/23/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	Conclusions of 7/22/70 meeting. 3 pgs.
19	1		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Report	The 1970 Campaign Fund. 4 pgs.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
19	1		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Letter	From: Senator George McGovern RE: Campaign monetary support for democratic candidates. 3 pgs.
19	1		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	Handwritten notes listing states that are winners, possible, questionable, and losers. 1 pg.
19	1	10/5/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	Decision sheet for senator states. 1 pg.
19	1	9/25/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	List of Inactive states. 1 pg.
19	1		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	List of states V.P. has visited for campaign. 1 pg.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
19	1		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	State Statistic Polls. 1 pg.
19	1	9/17/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	Committed states in senator race. 1 pg.
19	1	9/17/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	Senator campaign states plan bonus. 1 pg.
19	1	9/29/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From: Harry S. Dent To: H.R. Haldeman RE: Money received in key senate races. 1 pg.
19	1	9/20/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	Non active states. 2 pgs.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
19	1		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign		List of states on line paper template. 1 pg.
19	1	9/17/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	State budgets. 1 pg.
19	1	9/20/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	Notes on state funding for senate races. 1 pg.
19	1		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	Handwritten list of states and people running for senate. 2 pgs.

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Andrews 10/26



Taft - looking better - recent poll 8 days
+ 2 over Mealy.

feels Mealy heavy TV - levelly out now
Taft has energy on now. - pretty well set.

Real trouble on east of tick

20-25 undecided.

Gov. Wpt. - 1st in tick - looks bad

Hilligan is over 50 - in some polls

has 10-11 lead in one poll - 25 und.

No momentum -

but Hilligan is acting like Dewey

Cloud is fighting -

P. visit did great deal of good.

Taft coming on strong -

pub. is apathy - but is improving.

Q. working all chmn. etc - key workers.

Real morale prob. - cause of scandals etc.

now can flow picking up.

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

No evidence of strong union activity
they have apathy too.
except in Cleveland.
feels blacks won't vote -
reasonably optim. re our troops
Taft looks pretty good - going in right direction
ahead at the moment.

Harlow

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

VP endorsed Ray barland

press-off airplane in Raleigh

gave two-party in So's speech

incl Va. - ? yes

are you supp. barland - certainly

puts heat to P -

respond in kind & repudiate VP

I just say P isn't taking position.

Jr covered

Ohio

Ind

Ill

~~MEMORANDUM~~
Bulant 10/24

~~THE WHITE HOUSE~~
WASHINGTON



We've done all we can from here
prob is 10th - Dennis - Lousy org. - etc.
sending PN in weeks.
no prob. w/ Zion..

Ahead in Sen. race - ^{announcement before}
prob. is unemployment rate going to jump. ^{election.}
Huthe is getting big build-up. re This
nothing left undone -
feels standing still now - no momentum
but feel ads + TV will help

Zion won't lose re unempl. -
polled there 16 days ago -
indig. econom - but have lost 3rd layoffs
prob is 10th - 19th laid off - chs at union hall
Unions not really working -
Agnew will help w/ hard hats

2.

Hartke - Ind. Star.

Producing

Sept 5.5

by next week should have set fig
& all public signs point to another

Sharp & tragic increase.

think Hartke has inside track.

Shultz -

pub date is 5 or 6
stat won't occur before election.

info won't exist.

there is a pub set of rates on release
of stat. info -he may be using weekly info re named unemp.
diff from 5.57. fig - it's smaller
but can use to set trend.

MEMORANDUM

Civilie 10/26

THE ~~X~~WHITE~~X~~HOUSE
WASHINGTON



Sen - behind - chance to catch up
will win other 2 + legis.

Smith has prob. -

stay away from Court order

Not Prosper - well educ. high inc.

some Jewish - hit Mid East

need support

anything encouraging

Sen Times polls show closing gap.

can't understand losing 50:1

some doesn't seem logical.

feels there's def. still a chance

closer than it's being reported

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON



October 26, 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE PRESIDENT

Attached are updated reports on the political situation in Florida and New Jersey. I hope to have additional poll information available on Florida before the President leaves on Tuesday.

Harry Dent
(TLL)

Harry S. Dent

NEW JERSEY REPORT

October 26, 1970

Republican leaders, from the Governor to the Congressional candidates, agree that the Presidential visit has been a real boost to the Gross candidacy. However, State Chairman John Dimon believes that we are still behind, and that it is going to be a tough race. Still, he feels that Harrison Williams' low profile is doing nothing to slow up the momentum that the Gross camp feels is now on their side.

The Gross candidacy has received further boosts from a number of major editorial endorsements across the state. One and five minute film clips with the President endorsing Nelson Gross are being seen all over television in a further effort to capitalize on the President's visit.

Several Congressional candidates also feel the momentum on their side as a result of the President's visit. One candidate summarized it when he said, "The visit came at a time when we were running out of ammunition." It seems evident to the State Chairman that if Gross wins, he will owe it to the President. The White House has done a great deal for Gross in the way of finances, pet projects, and endorsements. A cocktail party and dinner will be held at the White House this evening to help give a final financial boost to the race. Whether we will win this seat now is up to Nelson Gross.

FLORIDA REPORT

October 26, 1970

The feeling now seems to be in Florida that Cramer is losing his momentum, that, in fact, his campaign is winding down at a time when it should be peaking. Cramer's attacks on his Democrat opponent, Chiles, don't seem to be taking, and Jim Allison feels that Cramer should put more stress on his own record of accomplishment in the Congress. Chiles, known as the "walking candidate" because he walked the length of the state, seems to be capturing the imagination of many Floridians.

It is Allison's feeling that, as of today, the Senate race is a dead heat. The positive feeling about Cramer's record has been provided to the President's speech writers by Harry Dent, and Cramer's background and legislative experience will be stressed strongly in the closing days of the campaign.

In the Governor's race, it is generally thought that Kirk is definitely behind, but his Democrat opponent's campaign is slowing now while Kirk's accelerates. The Kirk-Cramer people continue to squabble and are now fussing over who gets top billing when the President comes into the state. Kirk has been told that, as in other states where there is both a Gubernatorial and a Senatorial contest, the Senate race is given more attention from Washington. The Governor has been advised that Cramer will introduce the President at all stops except Tallahassee.

Results from a follow-up survey are expected this evening and Harry Dent will have them available as soon as possible.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 23, 1970

5:15 p.m.

EYES ONLY

MEMORANDUM FOR H. R. HALDEMAN

John Becker is the best independent pollster in New England. He studied under Claude Robinson, who did most of the President's polling in 1960. He has been extremely accurate in New England over the years.

He reports the following, much of which is very sensitive because he is paid by newspapers and he has given me information in advance of newspaper releases so we cannot let figures out as to the Massachusetts and Connecticut races:

Vermont: A spot check this week shows that Prouty is well ahead. Davis is gaining and should squeak through. The latest Prouty figures based on a full field survey (53-37) are holding and even improving slightly. Davis will win the Governorship but by a very close vote.

New Hampshire: Peterson is slightly over the 50% mark; Thompson's independent candidacy is drawing away from the Democrats more than Peterson.

Maine: No reports.

Massachusetts: Sargent is ahead 43-34 but with 23 undecided. Becker said this is a volatile situation. There could be a big Sargent win or a close defeat. Kennedy, remarkably, is sliding. The poll to be published next week will show him down 5 points -- getting only 52% of the vote. Spaulding is holding constant at 29% and the undecided up to 19%.

Becker says this reflects dissatisfaction with Kennedy but no shift to his very weak opponent. Becker figures Kennedy will only get 60% which would compare very unfavorably with the 73% he got last time.

There are no Congressional races that will produce any surprises. Father Drinan will beat McClennan only because of Philbin's run on stickers. Our man could win in a two-way race. Phillips has been closing on Harrington but probably can't make it. Becker says he is a long shot but possible.


Rhode Island: Pastore is home in a walk. The Governorship is a toss up with the Democrat just slightly ahead. (This one could mean a Senate seat because with a Republican as Governor, Chaffee would be greatly benefited in a run against Pell in 1972.)

Connecticut: Meskill is gaining -- 4 points up from the survey four weeks ago. He now leads Daddario 44-32 with 24% undecided. Duffy is 3 points ahead of Weicker -- Duffy 30, Weicker 27, Dodd 16.

Becker's conclusion is that Dodd is getting all anti-Duffy Democrats, and that Duffy will win unless Meskill wins so big that he draws Weicker in. If Duffy wins, it is only because of Dodd. Weicker is not getting any Democrats which a Republican must do to win in Connecticut.

Becker's overall conclusion is that this is the hardest election he has ever seen to predict. The undecided has remained phenomenally large. His interpretation is that the voters are unusually apathetic and really don't believe that it makes any difference who wins. They are either not voting, or, if they do, will be very fickle in their last minute decisions.

Becker says that his brother pollsters around the country are discovering the same phenomenon.



Charles W. Colson

Connecticut

Florida

Indiana

Maryland

Minnesota

Missouri

Montana

Nevada

New Jersey

New Mexico

North Dakota

Ohio

Tennessee

Texas

Utah

Vermont

Wisconsin

Wyoming

Money sheet

10/6

10/13

P. Visit

	Def.	Rec	?		P. Visit
Connecticut	100	65 Weicker 35 Markell			✓ ✓
Florida	100		+100	after poll 10/4	✓
Indiana	150			don't need 200	✓
Maryland	200				
Minnesota	50		+50	if gaining + needed	VP
Missouri			?	wait for poll 10/10	?
Montana	No		?	wait for poll - ours 10/10	NO
New Jersey			200	if needed? + dinner WH	early ✓
New Mexico	100 Gov		?	Sen? poll - ours 10/13	?
Nevada	100			commitment + chance	?
North Dakota	90			ck poll re visit due	?
Ohio	50				✓
Tennessee	50 matching		+50		✓ East Tenn.
Texas	100 Gov 100 Bush			matching funds over 10/13	✓
Utah	40		+100-150	Chat ck needs	SLC
Vermont	30 Priority 50 Gov				✓
Wisconsin			?	wait for poll over 10/13	?
Wyoming	50		100-200	if poll shows chance due	NO
SD, Ga, SC	150	SD 27 Ga 50 SC 60		50 ea - Gov. 10 each SC - Senf	NO sup. Pa sub. Cash Co.
Ill, Calif	100	Burdick		no other money	
Maine, RI	50			25 ea - Gov.	NO
House	100			5 ea to 20.	NO
NH - Gov	10				

Active States - Status 9/24

	P	P	P	Addr #	Total #		Pollo		
	J/R	Visit	Calc	\$	To Date	Outside	Early JJA	Current Sept	Due days
Connecticut	X	✓	✓	X	⊖	32.5	—	26-33-22	9/27
Florida	?	✓	✓	X	10.	50.	—		?
Indiana	?	✓	✓	✓	32.5 [†]	67.3	A 35-46	39-39	19/2
Maryland	?	✓	✓	✓	50.	80.	—	37-48	✓ 10/2
Minnesota	✓	X	✓	✓	62.	84.6	J 34-52		10/1
Missouri	X	X	P	✓	10.	20.5	A 24-43		10/10
Montana	X	X	X	X	25.	30.			?
New Jersey	✓	?	✓	?	25.	31.	J	23-25-3 [†] (4)	✓ 10/2
New Mexico	X	26 [†]	✓	✓	50. [†]	77.6	A 37-54		10/5
Nevada	X	?	✓	✓	100.	145.	A 19-69 <small>Clark Co</small>	31-59	✓ 10/2
North Dakota	X	?	✓	✓	110. [†]	163.5	A	33-53	? 9/25
Ohio	X	✓	✓	X	⊖	44.4	J 32-37	37-31	
Tennessee	X	?	✓	✓	100. [†]	148. [†]	J 45-37	48-37	
Texas	X	✓	✓	X	5.	133.	Jun 32-47	37-49	10/1
Utah	X	X	✓	✓	50.	92.	Jun 39-51	37-40	10/2
Vermont	X	?	X	?	50. [†]	112.2	S	45-45	?
Wisconsin	X	?	✓	X	⊖	⊖	A		9/25
Wyoming	X	X	✓	✓	50.	88.	J 34-51		10/1

Blackwell

Status

10/13

Committed Adttl

State	Committed	Adttl	Notes	Range
Connecticut	+ 100	65 Weicker 35 Meskell		25-26-21 (27)
Florida	+ 110	?100	after poll	-
Indiana	+ 185.5	?50	if hot says needed	39-40
Maryland	+ 250			37-48
Minnesota	110	?50	if gaining + needed	
Missouri	- 10	?140	after poll	-
Montana	X 25	No		19-64
New Jersey	+ 25	?200	if needed	23-25-3 (4)
New Mexico	155	55 Carter 100 Downer	if needed? + a chance	40-51
Nevada	- 200			31-59
North Dakota	- 200			-
Ohio	+ 50			37-31
Tennessee	+ 200			48-37
Texas	- 200	100 Bush 100 Gov		-
Utah	- 90	?50-100	if can use	-
Vermont	+ 185	85 Privately 50 Gov		53-38
Wisconsin		?	poll	-
Wyoming	100	?50-100	after poll	-

SD. Ga. SC. NH + 55 18² Govs.
 Pa, Me RI 150 Govs

SD 50, Ga 50, SC 70, NH 10
 NO Pa
 25 La Me + RI

Calif, Ill
 NY

V. P. Campaign Stops

Connecticut	10/23 - F.R. (Hartford); 10/24 - Statewide TV.
Florida	10/15 - Noon Rally & F.R. luncheon (Jacksonville); Even. Rally (Orlando).
Indiana	9/23 - F.R. on live T.V. (Indianapolis)
Maryland	10/20 - F.R. (Prince Georges Cty).
Minnesota	Nothing
Missouri	Nothing
Montana	Nothing
Nevada	9/14 - Airport Rally (Las Vegas); 9/14 - F.R. (Las Vegas); 10/30 - Noon Rally (Reno).
New Jersey	Nothing
New Mexico	9/15 - F.R. (Albuquerque)
North Dakota	9/29 - F.R. & Rally (Minot); 9/30 - Statewide T.V..
Ohio	Nothing
Tennessee	9/22 - F.R. Reception (Memphis); 9/22 N.R. (Col.); 9/23 -Statewide TV.
Texas	10/12 - Noon Airport Rally (Lubbock); 10/12 - F.R. & Rally (Amarillo); 10/13 - Statewide TV (Dallas); 10/13 Luncheon for Eggers.
Utah	9/30 - Rally (Salt Lake); 9/30 - F.R.; 10/1 - Statewide TV.
Vermont	Nothing
Wisconsin	9/25 - Recep. & F.R. (Milwaukee)
Wyoming	9/10 - F.R. Recep. & Rally (Casper); 9/11 - Statewide TV.

THE 1970 CAMPAIGN FUND

This is a follow-up to the July 1970 report.

For the period 6/4/70 - 8/31/70 this Fund raised \$218,998.49 and had expenditures of \$194,651.30. Since this "McGovern" group started on 5/29/69 they have raised \$730,217.56 and had expenditures of \$701,768.74.

This Committee reimbursed the Committee for a Democratic Process, New York, \$5,000 on 6/16/70 and \$5,000 on 8/18/70. This brings the grand total to \$20,000. We can find no information on this Committee.

A new name has been added to the list of recipients from this Fund. On 7/27/70 the Fund made contributions of \$5,000 to Democrats for Duffey (Connecticut) and \$5,000 to Lots of Democrats for Duffey.

The Fund has given contributions to the following:

SENATOR QUENTIN BURDICK of NORTH DAKOTA

8/28/70	Fargoans for Senator Burdick Committee	\$ 5,000
8/28/70	Committee of Concerned Citizens for Sen. Burdick	5,000
	July report	<u>29,000</u>
	Total	\$39,000

SENATOR ALBERT GORE of TENNESSEE

8/28/70	Reelect Gore Committee	\$ 5,000
8/28/70	Friends of Gore Committee	5,000
8/28/70	Consumers for Gore Committee	5,000
8/28/70	Tennesseans for Progress	5,000
	July report	<u>40,000</u>
	Total	\$60,000

SENATOR FRANK MOSS of UTAH

8/28/70	Inter-regional Civic Association	\$ 5,000
8/28/70	Senator Moss Testimonial Committee	5,000
8/28/70	Moss Television Fund	5,000
8/28/70	Utah Committee for Moss	5,000
	July report	<u>40,000</u>
	Total	\$60,000

SENATOR JOSEPH TYDINGS of MARYLAND

8/28/70	Tydings for Senate in '70 Campaign Committee	\$ 5,000
	July report	<u>3,000</u>
	Total	\$ 8,000

ADLAI STEVENSON -- ILLINOIS

8/28/70	Committee for Stevenson for Senate Campaign	\$ 5,000
8/28/70	Citizens for Stevenson Fund	5,000
	July report	<u>25,000</u>
	Total	\$35,000

HOFF -- VERMONT

8/28/70	Conservationists for Hoff Committee	\$ 5,000
8/28/70	TV Friends of Hoff	5,000
	July report	<u>15,000</u>
	Total	\$25,000

METZENBAUM -- OHIO

8/28/70	Citizens for Metzenbaum	\$ 5,000
	July report	<u>10,000</u>
	Total	\$15,000

JOHN V. TUNNEY -- CALIFORNIA

8/28/70	Lawyers for Tunney Committee	\$ 5,000
8/28/70	Tunney Los Angeles TV Fund	5,000
8/28/70	Tunney for U.S. Senate San Francisco Committee	5,000
8/28/70	Tunney for Senate San Diego Committee	5,000
	July report	<u>10,000</u>
	Total	\$30,000

Contributors of over \$1,000 to this Fund are:

H. Hermes, West Redding Connecticut	\$5,000
R.A. Hermes, West Redding, Connecticut	\$5,000
L. Collins, New York, N.Y.	\$1,000
J. Parten, Houston, Texas	\$1,000

(There is a Jubal Parten in Houston. He is Chairman of Minnesota Pipe Line Company and a Director of Pan Am Sulphur Company and a Director of Pure Oil Company.)

P. Sperry, New York, N.Y.	\$1,000
I. Pfeffermann, Washington, D.C.	\$1,000
V. List, Byram, Connecticut	\$1,000
M. McCurdy, Concord, Massachusetts	\$1,000
J. Faulkner, Brookline, Massachusetts	\$1,000

(There is a Dr. James Faulkner living in Brookline. He was an Overseer at Harvard and a Trustee of M.I.T. at one time.)

--September, 1970

Following are the conclusions of the meeting 7/22/70.

35 states elect a Senator in 1970.

States which undoubtedly should not receive dollar assistance -- 13:

Arizona	Illinois	New York	West Virginia
California	Michigan	Pennsylvania	
Delaware	Mississippi	Virginia	
Hawaii	Nebraska	Washington	

States to watch -- possible dollar assistance -- need more information -- 9:

Connecticut	Maryland	Texas
Florida	Missouri	Wisconsin
Indiana	Ohio	Wyoming

States to assist -- 13 -- We agree that:

1. No state will receive more than the total outside need of that state as assessed by our group.
2. Timing will be geared to avoid the problem of candidates' financial committees becoming lazy. Big money shouldn't go before September -- Emphasize media.
3. Money may be allocated whether or not the primaries have been completed, if necessary.

<u>STATE</u>	<u>COMMIT- MENT</u>	<u>CONFI- DENTIAL PLAN</u>	<u>ALLO- CATION</u>	<u>DELIV- ERED</u>	<u>COMMENTS</u>
Alaska	0	25M.	10M	0	
Maine	0	25M	5M	0	25M is ceiling
Mass.	9M	25M	19M	9M	10M goes on 7/29/70
Minn.	0	50M	35M	0	Plan 15M in Sept. (secret)
Mont.	12,500	25M	12,500	12,500	
New Jersey	0	100M	25M	0	Go on 25M (cons. 200M)
New Mexico	50M	100M	2,500	2,500	(cons. 200M)
Nevada	200M	200M	50M	49,500	Absolute max. 200M
N. Dakota	200M	200M	50M	44,000	Absolute max. 200M
Rhode Island	10M	10M	10M	0	Send 5 now
Tennessee	150M	150M	50M	3,500	Send up to 50 now
Utah	50M	50M	12,500	12,500	Probably more
Vermont	50M	50M	22M	22,000	50M absolute max.
	<hr/> 731,500	<hr/> 1,010,000	<hr/> 303,500	<hr/> 155,500	

S.C. Gro.
Mass. Cong.

10M 10M
5 5

<u>STATE</u>	<u>ALLOCATION</u>	<u>DELIVERED</u>
Alaska	10	6
Delaware	2.5	2.5
Indiana	7.5	7.5
Maine	5	--
Maryland	2.5	2.5
Massachusetts	19	19
Minnesota	35	6
Montana	12.5	12.5
New Jersey	25	10
New Mexico	27.5	27.5
Nevada	50	50
North Dakota	50	46.5
Rhode Island	10	--
Tennessee	50	33.5
Utah	37	37
Vermont	35	24.5
Wyoming	21	21

August 6, 1970

THE 1970 CAMPAIGN FUND

This committee is the follow-up to the liberal 1968 Campaign Fund, which raised money outside the Democrat Party with great success. This Fund's major contributions in 1968 were \$40,000 to Senator McGovern and \$40,000 to Senator Church.

The 1970 Campaign Fund was first noticed when they reported that they had raised \$118,873.46 and had expenditures of \$37,800.81 for the period of 5/29/69 to 8/31/69. Several of their expenditures are worth noting. They reimbursed the 1968 Campaign Fund \$9,100 on 6/17/69 (no one seems to know what happened to the 1968 Campaign Fund) and they also reimbursed \$3,000 to the Committee for Democratic Process, New York. The Committee for Democratic Process received further reimbursements on 9/1/69, \$3,000 and \$4,000 on 10/8/69.

For the year 5/29/69 to 6/3/70 this committee raised \$511,219.07 and had expenditures of \$507,117.64. This sum was raised primarily by the attached fund raising letter. This letter was signed by Senator McGovern and speaks for itself. McGovern, in his bid for the 1972 nomination, is becoming the liberal Democrat's main "fund raiser".

Some Republicans are being lulled into a false sense of security when they look at the disarray of the Democrat Party and its finances. The liberal wing of the party is going outside of the party structure to raise money. Make no mistake about it -- the ultra liberal wing of the party is going outside of the party machinery to raise big money.

This fund has given contributions to the following Senators through various campaign committees:

SENATOR QUENTIN BURDICK of NORTH DAKOTA

9/25/69	Burdick Campaign Committee	\$ 5,000.---
12/5/69	Citizens Committee for Burdick	2,500.---
12/10/69	Citizens Committee for Burdick	2,000.---
12/10/69	North Dakotans for Burdick	500.---
3/6/70	Burdick Campaign Committee	3,500.---
4/10/70	Burdick Campaign Committee	3,000.---
5/28/70	Burdick Campaign Fund	2,000.---
5/28/70	Burdick Campaign Committee	1,500.---
5/28/70	Citizens Committee for Burdick	5,000.---
5/28/70	North Dakotans for Burdick	4,500.---
	Total	\$29,000.---

SENATOR ALBERT GORE of TENNESSEE

9/25/69	Volunteers for Gore Committee	\$ 5,000.---
12/10/69	Upper Cumberland Committee for Gore	4,000.---
12/23/69	Tennesseans for Good Government	5,000.---
3/6/70	Volunteers for Gore Committee	5,000.---
3/6/70	Upper Cumberland Committee for Gore	1,000.---
4/10/70	Tennesseans for Good Government	5,000.---
5/28/70	Citizens for Gore	5,000.---
5/28/70	Gore for Senate Committee	5,000.---
5/28/70	Taxpayers for Gore Committee	5,000.---
	Total	\$30,000.---

SENATOR HART

9/25/69	Hart for Senate Committee	\$ 5,000.--
12/10/69	Friends of Senator Hart Committee	2,500.--
12/10/69	Friends of Senator Hart Committee	2,500.--
12/10/69	Reelect Senator Hart Committee	500.--
3/6/70	Hart for Senate Committee	3,500.--
4/10/70	Reelect Senator Hart Committee	5,000.--
5/28/70	Reelect Senator Hart Committee	5,000.--
5/28/70	Hart for Senate Committee	1,000.--
	Total	\$25,000.--

SENATOR JACKSON of WASHINGTON

12/69	Jackson for Senate Committee	\$ 1,000.--
-------	------------------------------	-------------

SENATOR VANCE HARTKE of INDIANA

9/25/69	Hartke for Senate Committee	\$ 1,000.--
---------	-----------------------------	-------------

SENATOR EDWARD KENNEDY of MASSACHUSETTS

12/69	Robert P. Fitzgerald, escrow agent Boston, Massachusetts	\$ 1,000.--
-------	---	-------------

SENATOR MIKE MANSFIELD of MONTANA

4/10/70	D.C. Montanans for Mansfield Committee	\$ 1,000.--
---------	--	-------------

SENATOR GALE MCGEE of WYOMING

9/25/69	Senator McGee Campaign Committee	\$ 5,000.--
11/5/69	McGee for Senate Committee	2,500.--
11/24/69	McGee for Senate Committee	2,500.--
1/21/70	Senator McGee Committee	4,000.--
3/6/70	Senator McGee Committee	1,000.--
4/13/70	Gale McGee Committee	5,000.--
5/28/70	Senator McGee Dinner Committee	5,000.--
	Total	\$25,000.--

SENATOR MOSS of UTAH

9/25/69	Reelect Senator Moss Committee	\$ 5,000.--
11/5/69	Reelect Senator Moss Committee	2,500.--
11/24/69	Reelect Senator Moss Committee	2,500.--
12/10/69	Senator Moss Appreciation Dinner	4,000.--
3/6/70	Reelect Senator Moss Committee	5,000.--
3/6/70	Senator Moss Appreciation Dinner	1,000.--
4/10/70	Utah Committee for Good Government	5,000.--
5/28/70	Salute to Moss Committee	5,000.--
5/28/70	Volunteers for Moss	5,000.--
5/28/70	Utah Committee for Voter Registration	5,000.--
	Total	\$40,000.--

SENATOR HOWARD MUSKIE of MAINE

9/25/69	Muskie for Senate Committee	\$ 1,000.--
---------	-----------------------------	-------------

9/25/69	Citizens for Proxmire Committee	\$ 5,000.---
11/24/69	Citizens for Proxmire Committee	2,500.---
12/10/69	Citizens for Proxmire Committee	3,000.---
3/6/70	Citizens for Proxmire Committee	3,500.---
	Total	\$14,000.---

SENATOR STUART SYMINGTON of MISSOURI

9/25/69	Missourians for Symington	\$ 1,000.---
---------	---------------------------	--------------

SENATOR JOSEPH TYDINGS of MARYLAND

9/25/69	Maryland Friends of Tydings	\$ 1,000.---
12/10/69	Maryland Friends of Tydings	2,000.---
	Total	\$ 3,000.---

SENATOR HARRISON WILLIAMS of NEW JERSEY

9/25/69	National Committee to Reelect Harrison A. Williams	\$ 5,000.---
11/24/69	Reelect Harrison A. Williams Committee	2,500.---
12/10/69	Reelect Harrison A. Williams Committee	3,000.---
3/6/70	National Committee to Reelect Harrison A. Williams	3,500.---
6/3/70	National Committee to Reelect Harrison A. Williams	1,000.---
6/3/70	Citizens for Williams Committee	5,000.---
6/3/70	D.C. Friends of Williams	5,000.---
	Total	\$25,000.---

SENATOR MONTOYA of NEW MEXICO

9/25/69	Friends of Montoya Committee	\$ 1,000.---
---------	------------------------------	--------------

The 1970 Campaign Fund also gave contributions to the following Democrat candidates for the United States Senate:

ADAM STEVENSON -- ILLINOIS

12/10/69	Stevenson for Senate Committee	\$ 2,500.---
12/10/69	Stevenson for Senate Committee	2,500.---
3/6/70	Stevenson for Senate Committee	5,000.---
4/10/70	Friends of Stevenson Committee	3,000.---
6/3/70	Friends of Stevenson Committee	2,000.---
6/3/70	Stevenson for Senate Fund	5,000.---
6/3/70	Illinois Citizens for Stevenson Fund	5,000.---
	Total	\$25,000.---

HOFF -- VERMONT

5/20/70	Hoff for Senate Committee	\$ 5,000.---
5/20/70	Vermonters for Hoff Committee	5,000.---
6/3/70	Concerned Citizens for Hoff	5,000.---
	Total	\$15,000.---

METZENBAUM -- OHIO

6/3/70	Metzenbaum for Senate Committee	\$ 5,000.--
6/3/70	Cuyahoga County Citizens for Metzenbaum	<u>5,000.--</u>
	Total	\$10,000.--

JOHN V. TUNNEY -- CALIFORNIA

6/3/70	Friends of John V. Tunney	\$ 5,000.--
6/3/70	John V. Tunney Testimonial Dinner	<u>5,000.--</u>
	Total	\$10,000.--

This committee gave \$17,500 to the Texas race which Senator Yarborough lost. They also gave \$5,000 on 4/10/70 to the Committee for Progressive Government, Washington, D.C. (we are not able to identify this committee yet).

SENATOR GEORGE McGOVERN

February-March, 1970

Dear Friend:

The alternatives facing our country today are more urgent than at any other time since I entered public life.

We are in a period of fundamental transition that will determine our national direction for decades to come.

On one side, Richard Nixon, a skilled and deeply committed political tactician, is moving with Spiro Agnew and John Mitchell to create a new and dominant conservative coalition in American politics -- a basic realignment that would assure permanent conservative control of the White House, the Supreme Court, the regulatory agencies and, as he hopes, the Congress.

On the other side, a growing and increasingly sophisticated electorate is demanding a new public humanism consistent with the knowledge, wealth and power now available for the realization of America's basic goals and values.

The issue could go either way, and the 1970 elections will be critical to this choice. An alarmingly large number of the Senate's ablest members are up for re-election in 1970. But if we can maintain liberal strength through the second half of Mr. Nixon's term as President, we will have an excellent chance of preventing the emergence of his new conservative coalition -- and of turning America in an affirmative direction once more.

Among the Senators whose seats are at stake in 1970 are: Quentin Burdick, Albert Gore, Philip Hart, Vance Hartke, Edward Kennedy, Mike Mansfield, Eugene McCarthy, Gale McGee, Frank Moss, Edmund Muskie, William Proxmire, Stuart Symington, Joseph Tydings, Harrison Williams, Ralph Yarborough, Stephen Young, and others.

You will recognize that this is a list of progressive-minded Senators who have taken strong stands on the controversial issues before the country. Their conservative opponents, many personally recruited by President Nixon, have already raised and are continuing to raise enormous sums to defeat these liberals.

Every effort must be made to save these seats -- even in cases where the incumbent may not run for re-election, and to win as many as possible of the few opportunities to gain new seats for progressives such as Adlai Stevenson, III in Illinois. The basic composition of the Senate is at issue in these campaigns, and with it the direction of our country.


May I call upon you to give much needed support to these costly Senate campaigns? They will need contributions now and throughout the election year of 1970.

Thoughtful citizens, aware of the speed and force of change in this climactic era of human history, know that even small or well-intentioned governmental errors can have disastrous effects upon the lives of millions of people, and even upon the livability of the earth itself. They know also that perhaps the most irretrievable error of private citizens is that of non-concern and non-participation.

Won't you participate now with a contribution as generous as the importance of this cause?

Checks should be payable to the 1970 Campaign Fund. An envelope is enclosed for your convenience.

Sincerely yours,



George McGovern

P.S. Not all of the elections will be equally difficult or important. Allocations from the 1970 Campaign Fund are based upon continuing evaluation of relative need and political urgency.

These allocations are made by a special committee consisting of:

Paul H. Douglas, former Senator from Illinois,
Maurine Neuberger, former Senator from Oregon,
Brooks Hays, former Member of Congress from Arkansas
and special assistant to President Kennedy,
Bayard Rustin, Director, A. Philip Randolph Institute, and
George E. Agree, Director, Committee for the Democratic Process.


THE UNUSUALLY HIGH STAKES OF THE 1970 SENATE ELECTIONS

More than half of the liberals in the United States Senate are up for re-election in 1970, and fewer than a fifth of the conservatives. There is a statistically unusual but nonetheless imminent threat that the election could leave the liberals substantially weaker in the Senate than at any time since the early 1950's--and possibly even the 1920's.

The effects of such reverses, if they occur, would last through the full four year term of the man who will be elected President in 1972.

This possibility arises from the fact that recent Democratic domination of the Senate stemmed almost entirely from one fortuitous election--the Democratic sweep of 1958.

Election Years of the Class of 1958



Recent attrition of Democrats in the other two Senate classes has increased the already disproportionate importance of the class of 1958. It now contains 25 of the 57 Democrats in the Senate.

	1970	REPUBLICANS	DEMOCRATS
Number of	8		25
Senators	19		14
Whose Terms	16		18
End In	43		57

Thus, loss in 1970 of all that was gained in 1958 would put the Democrats back, not to the 1950's, but to pre-New Deal days. And even losses as moderate as those of last year would permit the Republicans to organize the Senate and give conservatives of both parties a commanding long-term majority. Not least of the stakes will be the ability of surviving Democrats to keep the chairmanships of committees and subcommittees in such vital areas as foreign relations, education, civil rights, poverty, hunger, conservation, pollution, tax reform, consumer protection and urban development.

3.

Winners

Indiana Ohio Tenn Vt. Ill Pa

Possible

Conn md NJ Calif

Questionable

Fla Mo. ND. Tex Utah
? x? x?

Losses

Miss. Mont. N.M. Neor. Wis. Wyo
x x x? x? x? x

Inactive - States 9/25

	\$?	Poll	
Alaska	10	→	✓	more \$?
Arizona	0	X		
Calif	2.5	→		P. visit
Del	2.5	→	✓	
Hawaii	0	X		
Illinois	0	→		P. visit
Maine	15	X		go for Gov?
Mass	34	X		
Mich	0	X		
Miss.	0	X		
Neb	0	X		
N. Y.	0	→	✓	?
Penn	0	?	✓	P. visit - (Gov)
Rhode I	11	X		Go for Gov.?
Virginia	0	X		
Wash	0	X		
W. Va.	0	X		
S.C. Gov	10	?		money?
S.D. Gov	25	?		P. visit

Vice President's Campaign Stops

Connecticut	Oct 23 - F.R. (Hartford) ; Statewide TV
Florida	Oct 15 - Noon Rally and F.R. luncheon (Jacksonville) ; 10/16 Statewide TV ^{10/16 Evening Rally and}
Indiana	✓ 9/23 - F.R. on live TV. (Indianapolis)
Maryland	10/20 - F.R. (Prince George's)
Minnesota	Nothing
Missouri	Nothing
Montana	Nothing
New Jersey	Nothing
New Mexico	✓ 9/15 - F.R. (Albuquerque)
Nevada	9/14 - Airport Rally (Las Vegas), F.R. (Las Vegas) ; 10/30 State Rally (Reno)
North Dakota	✓ 9/29 - Fund raise & Rally (Minot) ; 9/30 Statewide T.V.
Ohio	Nothing
Tennessee	✓ 9/22 F.R. reception (Memphis), Night Rally at Coliseum ; 9/23 Statewide TV.
Texas	10/12 - Airport Rally (Lubbock), F.R. and Rally (Amarillo) ; 10/12 St. TV, Eggenbush
Utah	✓ 9/30 - Rally (Salt Lake), Fund raise (Salt Lake) ; 10/1 Statewide TV.
Vermont	Nothing
Wisconsin	9/25 - Recept. and F.R. (Milwaukee)
Wyoming	9/10 - F.R. and recept, and Rally (Casper) ; 9/11 - State wide TV.

Polls

Harlow

mid

Latent

	<i>Harlow</i>	<i>mid</i>	<i>Latent</i>
Connecticut	+		26-33-22 (19) ^{Dodd}
Florida	?		
Indiana	+	A 35-46	39-40 (21)
Maryland	+ money		37-48 ✓
Minnesota	- duty	J 34-52	
Missouri	+	A 24-43	
Montana	?		19-64
New Jersey	?		23-25-3 (49) ✓
New Mexico	--	A 37-54	40-51
Nevada	-		31-59 ✓
North Dakota	+	A 33-53	
Ohio	-	J 36-37	37-31
Tennessee	+	J 45-37	48-37
Texas	? duty	Jun 32-47	37-49
Utah		Jun 39-51	
Vermont	? duty	45-45	53-38 45-45
Wisconsin			
Wyoming		J 34-51 36-43	

9/17

	Orig Commit	7/1 Days off	7/23 Plan	9/17 Del +study	H. 9/17 New Plan	Rec Income	RN
Alaska	0		25	10	-10	-	
Conn.	0		?	-	-	-	
Florida	0	25	?	-	10	10-20 (have 10 more)	
Indiana	0	25	?	32.5	75	not satisfied w/ campaign	
Maine	0	30	25	5	15	5-10	
Ind.	0	35	?	2.5	200*	25	at least - better 100+
Mass. 1	0	8	25	10	25	15	committed to Melody deficit
Maine	0		50	37	100	25	
Miss.	0	12	?	-	25	10	
Mont.	12.5	11	25	12.5	25	2.5	close out commit.
N.J.	0	94	100	25	100*	100	need a poll + analysis
N.M.	50	31	100	25	100*	100	2.5 net R
New.	200	14	200	50 + 18.5	200*	100	badly needed now
N.D.	200	63	200	70	200*	100	"
Ohio	0	20	?	-	-	-	serious problem - deficit
R.I.	10		10	6 + 4	-10	-10	closed out at 10
SS							
Tenn.	150	92	150	50	150	75	50 now + 50 more if you hold badly needed now
Tex.	0		?	5	-5	50	
Utah	50	88	50	37 + 18.5/9	100	6.5	to get to 50
Vt.	50	56	50	35	50	15	"
Verm.	0	50	?	-	-	-	
Wyo.	0	60	?	21 + 18.5/9	100	12.5	to get to 50
	7315		1,010	463	1,500	450	
			+31.4	494			
			95.5	529.5			

* if poll shows a chance

9/17
Plan Bonus

Connecticut	0	
Florida	10	
Indiana	75	
Maryland	200	100
Minnesota	100	
Missouri	25	100
Montana	25	
New Jersey	100	
New Mexico	100	100
Nevada	200	
North Dakota	200	
Ohio	0	
Tennessee	150	100
Texas	0	
Utah	100	100
Vermont	50	?
Wisconsin	0	
Wyoming	100	

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 29, 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR

BOB HALDEMAN

Lee Nunn of the Senatorial Campaign Committee reports that Labor is pouring money heavily into some of our key Senate races. Here are the Labor contributions to date in these key states:

Vermont	\$ 80,000
Maryland	\$ 68,000
Tennessee	\$167,000
Massachusetts	\$147,000
Wyoming	\$128,000
New Mexico	\$ 99,000
Indiana	\$ 94,000
Ohio	\$ 12,000
California	\$143,000

An opinion research poll in Ohio shows Taft with 37%, Metzenbaum 31%, and 32% undecided. For Governor, Cloud 26%, Gilligan 35%, with 39% undecided. We are still trying to get poll information out of New Jersey. The partial report indicates Gross has 39% and his opponent has 40-odd %. Senator Case thinks this is possible but an outside chance.

The Nevada situation has settled some.



Harry S. Dent

Non active

9/20

	Inc	Write <u>Off</u>	Cash		get poll
Alaska	R			maybe more financial help	✓
Ariz	R	X		✓	
Calif	R			visit - for - ?	
Del			✓		✓
Hawaii	R	X		✓	
Ill	R				✓
Maine	D	X		✓	
Mass	D	X		✓	
Mich	D	X		no	
Miss	D	X		✓	
Neb	R	X		✓	
NY	<u>Ap.</u>		✓		✓
Penna	R				✓
RI	D.	X		no chance	
Va		X		visit oppose Byrd	
West	D	X		" Jackson	
W Va	D	X		" Byrd	

President Visits

Sure

Indiana

Ohio

Tenn

Vt

Ill

Pa.

Probable

Conn

Md

NJ

Calif

Questionable

Fla - poll due Oct. 12

Tex

Doubtful

Mo.

N.D. - hold for poll Oct 14

Miner

Losers - Long shots

NM

Nev

Utah

Alaska

Arizona

Calif

Del

Hawaii

Illinois

Maine

Mass

Mich

Miss

Neb

N. Y.

Penn

Virginia

Wash

W. Va.

9/17

Budget

	9/17 Plan	Bonus	
Alaska	10		Stevens OK - with help
Conn	0		use local \$ - WH help.
Fla	10		"
Ind	75		"
Maine	15		X
Ind	200	100	good chance - short on \$
Mass	25		X
Maine	100		long shot
Mo.	25	100?	good chance?
Mont.	25	?	real sleeper?
NJ	100		?
NM	100	100?	only if polls come up
Nev	200		this is errand
ND	200		"
Ohio	0		use local \$ - WH help?
RI	10		"
Tenn	150	100	good chance - short on \$
Tex	5		use local \$ - WH help?
Utah	100	100	good chance
Vt.	50	?	this is errand?
Wis.	0		X
Wyo	100		This is errand.
	<hr/> 1,500	<hr/> 500	

Funding 9/20

	RN	SCC	ACA	
Con	0	31.5	1	32.5
Fla	10	25. +15		50.
Ind	32.5	26.8 +5	3	67.3
Md	50	15. +15		80
Minn	62	22.6		94.6
Missouri	10	10.5		20.5
Mont	25	5.		30
N. J.	25	5.6	.5	31
N. M.	50	20.6 +5	2	77.6
Nev	100	30. +15		145
N. D.	110	43.5 +10	.5	163.5
Ohio	0	39.4 +5		44.4
Tenn	100 ⁺ 50	31.9 +10	3	148. + 50
Texas	5	117.9 +10		133
Utah	50	34 +5	3	92
Vermont	50	62.2		112.2
Wisc	0	0		0
Wyo	50	28. +10		88.

4 Losses
Calif
Illinois
New York
Vermont

Senate

7 Gains
Indiana
N. Mexico
N. Dakota
Ohio
Tenn.
Utch
Nevada

8 Hopfuls
Connecticut
Florida
Maryland
Minnesota
Missouri
N. Jersey
Texas
Wyoming

- Alaska \$ Stevens - should hold OK
- Arizona Fannin - good shape
- *California Murphy - problems
- Connect. ? ~~primary Sept 2 Weicker vs Supton~~ - Dodd as Ind.
- Delaware Roth - should win
- Florida ? primary in Sept - Gamer vs Carwell - Bryant as Sen
- Hawaii Fong - OK
- *Illinois Smith - tough race vs. Stevenson
- Indiana ? Rondebusch - good chance vs. Hartke
- Maine \$ St. Sen. Neil Bishop - to harass Murkie
- Maryland ? Beall vs Tydings - scandal may help in Sept.
- Mass. \$ primary Sept 15 Spaulding vs. McCarthy - odds for Kennedy
- Mich L. Romney - close primary - uphill against Hart
- Minn. \$ primary Sept 15 - will be Mac Boga vs Hubert - tough
- Miss ? no chance cand. against Stennis
- Missouri ? Danforth - outside chance vs Symington
- Montana \$ Wallace - to harass Mansfield
- Nebraska Hruska - no problem
- N. Jersey ③ Bross - tough race vs. Williams
- N. Mexico ③ Carter - even chance vs. Montoya
- *N. York Buckley vs. Ottunja vs Goodell
- N. Dakota ③ Kleppe - good chance vs. Burdick
- Ohio ? Taft - good chance vs Metzenbaum
- Penn. Scott - no problem
- R.I. \$ primary Sept 15 - Fr. McLaughlin vs. Pastore
- Tenn ③ Brock - good chance vs one - primary today
- Texas ? Bush - tough race against Bentsen

Utah \$ Burton - good chance vs Max
Vermont \$ Proaty - tough fight vs Hoff - should squeak in
Virginia Garland - sure loser vs Byrd
Wash. no chance against Jackson
W. Virginia no opposition against Byrd
Wisconsin? Erickson - little chance vs. Proxmire
Wyoming \$ Wold - pretty good chance against Mcbee
Nevada \$ Raggio - fair chance against Cannon