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MEMORANDUM TO: H. R. HALDEMAN
FROM: PAT BUCHANAN

Attached is a letter from William F. Buckley which is somewhat self-explanatory. What I think they are asking for is not our "contributors list" but the "direct mail" list of small contributors. And what they have in mind is a "run-through" of that -- at cost -- in order to solicit for National Review subscriptions. I personally think no harm would be done -- and as these small contributors would not be dunned for funds, but for subscriptions.

What do you think?

Buchanan
December 19, 1972

Dear Pat:

Let me be plain: we would deeply appreciate it if we could get the list of contributors for the purpose of NR subscriptions.

Helplessly,

Wm. F. Buckley, Jr.

Mr. Patrick Buchanan
The White House
Washington, D.C.