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ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

August 2, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. HALDEMAN
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: John Connally/Frank Sinatra

Chapin seeks the answers to two questions concerning John Connally and the Democrats for Nixon.

First, do you have any indication that Connally will participate? If he will participate, should Barry Goldwater introduce him?

Second, should Frank Sinatra be announced with the other Democrats for Nixon on Monday?

Yes, announce Sinatra.

No, delay announcing Sinatra.

Other.

GS/jb
Date: 7/31

TO: DWIGHT CHAPIN

FROM: GORDON STRACHAN

Per our conversation.
MEMORANDUM FOR:  MR. H. R. HALDEMAN
FROM:  DWIGHT L. CHAPIN

Bill Timmons indicates that Barry Goldwater is very concerned about what role he is going to play in the Convention. Evidently, he is getting a lot of static from the more Conservative element on the Hill as to whether or not the President is going to ignore him, or whether he will be participating this year.

Barry evidently called Timmons and suggested that since Nelson was doing the nominating speech perhaps Barry should do a seconding speech. Timmons asked our recommendation as to whether we could have Barry give a two-to-four minute seconding speech and then go with our eight other seconders. The thought here is that with Nelson nominating and Barry seconding with the first speech, we would have the President positioned in the middle. It was also a face-saving device for Barry. We think it destroys our whole seconding concept of using eight people and switching around the Hall.

The best slot for Barry is to have him introduce Connally – if indeed we get Connally. Question – do you have any indication that Connally will participate?
MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. HALDEMAN  
FROM: WILLIAM E. TIMMONS  
SUBJECT: '72 Convention - Barry Goldwater  

July 27, 1972

I believe I can convince the Arizona Senator to give a seconding address for the President, following Rockefeller's nomination. Goldwater has sent hard signals that he is being overlooked. I believe having Nelson -- representing the liberal wing -- and Barry -- as the conservative spokesman -- will show the unity deserved by the President. It will also take care of our problem finding a suitable slot for Goldwater. We could still go to the floor for other seconding speeches as we originally planned.

I gather some conservatives are concerned that their ole enemy Rockefeller has a post, but their standard bearer doesn't. If this recommendation is acceptable, we should lock it up and let Goldwater put it out soon to shortstop possible right wing complaints.

You will recall we are currently discussing Barry for a speech before the Democrats-for-Nixon or as the Introducer for the President or Vice President.

If you approve, I should set it up with Barry first and then ask the President to call the Senator personally to ask.

Please advise soonest.

Copy to:  
Dwight Chapin
Harry Dent called one of Wallace's chief aides, Charles Snider, on August 1. Dent was under the impression that Connally had approached Wallace about a possible appearance to testify on the platform at the Republican Convention. Snider indicated to Dent that the Governor would be very pleased to receive a formal invitation. He doubted Wallace would appear but might like to send a statement or representative.

Tom Turnipseed, who announced he would continue to press for a Wallace draft, has been completely ousted from the Wallace organization. Turnipseed and Peter Beater were receiving encouragement from Cornelia Wallace. Snider told Dent that George Wallace had "instructed Cornelia not to talk with Turnipseed or Beater again". Snider also said the Wallace people liked Dent very, very much and would continue to call him.

Snider is interested in working with Connally on the Democrats for the President. Should Colisen be asked to contact Snider through Dent?

______ Yes, Snider to be contacted.

______ No, Connally is handling alone.
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MEMORANDUM FOR:  H. R. HALEDEMAN
FROM:  GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT:  Eagleton's CBS AM
          News Appearance --
          August 1

Senator Eagleton was asked twelve questions by Barry Serafin,
Morton Dean, and Nelson.

The questions covered the following subjects with my notes on
the language used:

Q.  When did you throw in the towel?

A.  Didn't throw in the towel; I received hundreds
of calls from rich and poor.  I especially remember
the little lady from Columbus, Ohio

   -- As a lawyer I presented my case with
   statistics on telephone calls, political
   leaders (wouldn't disclose) who called supporting
   me.  Possible now I am an asset to the ticket; I
   have more places than minutes.

   -- "Judge Gallard Nelson was presiding."

   -- If at anytime my presence on ticket, I would
   be an independent, I would resign.

   -- According to Eagleton McGovern --
   You remain on ticket -- all debate would be on
   Eagleton's past medical history instead of need
   to discuss great issues -- Vietnam war, Economy,
   and credibility gap.

   -- McGovern could not have been finer.  I will
   work for him doubly hard.
Q. Were you forced off the ticket?

A. No, I was treated with great compassion and understanding. We were two adult politicians with Nelsen in the middle.

-- But by Sunday, when the Chairman of the party says get off, it was much harder to stay on.

-- I'm not bitter and not going to get bitter.

Q. At what point did McGovern's support start down hill?

A. On Saturday morning, after McGovern went from table to table and it became apparent to me 100% dropped a little.

Q. Weren't you distressed that McGovern said 100% and yet table hopping and asked for a drum beat of anti Eagleton statements?

A. Not distressed at George McGovern. His position was eminently reasonable. To mollify political leaders, McGovern had to leave impression the decision was still open.

Q. Were you personally embarrassed by McGovern's inconsistencies?

A. "Somewhat troubled momentarily", but McGovern staff was doing more backgrounding than he (or they) were originally.

Q. Wasn't health the issue?

A. No, that is past medical history.

-- Here's the scoop. I'll run for reelection in 1974. I am certainly no longer Tom Who. I'm in the top 20 names identifiable. I don't feel crippled as a politician.
Q. Any quid pro quo offered?

A. No, there is no appointive position I want; if I give up the Senate the only job I want is Commissioner of Baseball.

Q. Wasn't the pressure exasperating?

A. There was pressure, I am consoled that I came out stronger, not angry and on balance -- this may be most important week of my life --

Q. Do you think that McGovern vacillated and that his credibility suffered?

A. The pressure in the black hills of South Dakota was enormous. The press kept asking about Eagleton and McGovern kept receiving calls with pressure.

Q. What was the reaction of your family?

A. My wife took it like a trooper. I have one worry about the whole thing. It is the one son (age 13) at camp who seems to understand, but I am apprehensive.

Q. Can you ever go back to just the U.S. Senate?

A. Well, I'm not going out around the country giving lectures on mental health, but will continue to be a good Senator.
Q. Who will be your successor?

A. If Gaylord Nelson wanted the nomination, he would be strongly considered, but he doesn't want to be Vice President.

-- I will not be privy to discussion, though they will work from the list as used in Miami Beach.

Q. Do you believe the Vice President should go through trial by primary?

A. No, the Presidential nominee has the right to pick the Vice Presidential nominee so he (or she) can have some choice in who is politically compatible.
ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

August 1, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: R. R. Haldeman
FROM: Gordon Strachan
SUBJECT: Action Memorandum on Support Groups

You sent Colson the attached Action Memorandum on setting up a system that runs a complete check on individual Democrats' names who might join Democrats for Nixon. Each individual was to be checked personally for authority to use his name. Colson responded that "none will be used unless we have permission in writing". (See attached at Tab A)

You sent me the same memorandum that you sent Colson except that you added "and all other support groups". (See attached at Tab B)

Discussion with Fred Malek on July 27 indicated that there are approximately 500-600 national, state, and local Citizens Groups and Voting Bloc organizations. At the national level, there are nearly 30 different Citizens groups with 10-15 members each. There are also nine Voter Bloc groups. Each of the names on the national groups are checked by Malek's office. Permission is not required in writing of the members.

The question is whether you want me to personally call each of the members of the national Citizens and Voter Bloc groups and obtain their permission in writing. Malek strongly recommends against this approach because he is satisfied with the current arrangement.

Yes, Strachan call all national Citizens and Voter Bloc members.

No, rely on Malek's system.

Other.
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MEMORANDUM FOR: CHARLES COLSON
FROM: H. R. HALDEMAN

Be absolutely sure that in the process of setting up the Democrats for Nixon there is a complete check made on every name that is used. Regardless of how we've gotten the name and how much confirmation we have, no name should be used publicly until there has been a final follow-up, probably by phone, from our office, confirming for sure that the individual is agreeable to have his name used.
MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. HALDEMAN
FROM: CHARLES COLSON
SUBJECT: Democrats for Nixon

Rest assured on the use of names for Democrats for Nixon. None will be used unless we have permission in writing.
MEMORANDUM FOR: GORDON STRACHAN
FROM: H. R. HALDEMAN

Be absolutely sure that in the process of setting up the Democrats for Nixon there is a complete check made on every name that is used. Regardless of how we've gotten the name and how much confirmation we have, no name should be used publicly until there has been a final follow-up, probably by phone, from our office, confirming for sure that the individual is agreeable to have his name used. Make sure this word gets to all our campaign organizations.
Eagleton answered around 15 questions with these comments:

1) Did he consider a "Checkers' Speech"?
   
   Yes, a poor man's "Checkers' Speech" before the Press Club. Decided not to pursue it because all points had been covered on two TV shows on Sunday.

2) If he had made a so-called "Checkers' Speech", he would not have included his wife and dog, but instead would have given a factual, dignified account. After all, three American Presidents have had mental illness more than severe than mine — Abraham Lincoln, Theodore Roosevelt and Woodrow Wilson.

3) He would not discuss any Vice Presidential replacements or recommendations. This decision must be made by George McGovern. There should never be a repeat of a Franklin Roosevelt (liberal) and Garner (conservative) type ticket.

4) He had totally dismissed the thought of a head-to-head battle with McGovern because the President and his choice for running mate must have complete rapport.

5) Should he have been more candid with McGovern?

Wanted to make it "crystal clear" — then went into a review of McGovern's call asking him to be his running mate and then a discussion with Mankiewicz of the details of acceptance speech. Mankiewicz then asked if he had any skeletons in the closet, but since he views skeleton as a "dirty" word, he said
he had none. He was not trying to cover up or
deceive. McGovern lieutenants had been told by
his Missouri delegation that he had been hospitalised.

6) Didn't he feel his hospitalizations were political
skeletons? Hadn't his staff tried to hide his
hospitalisations in the past?

Yes, but they had simply said that he was in a
different hospital and for reasons that were
"half truths". He could not quarrel with such
retrospectively.

7) Didn't he feel McGovern had been hurt by this issue?

No, McGovern is a big man and will be a bigger man
when he is President. No one could have been finer.

8) Didn't the Jack Anderson piece hurt the issue more?

No, because it was a totally "fallacious, damnable lie".
Understands Anderson will step by his office today.
He'll talk to anyone today.

9) Did the Press overdo it?

No, after all it was a "slow news week". "If the
President had been in Peking, I would have been on
Page 1." The public has a right to know about the
issue. He's going to be around a long time and
expects to continue to be Senator from Missouri.

10) Is there any way the Democrats can carry Missouri with
him off the ticket?

It will be tough, but he'll do everything he can to
help carry it for McGovern. Will even go elsewhere
if McGovern wants him to.

11) His only concern is with his 13-year-old son --
teenagers can be so "vicious". I've talked to him
and he'll get over it.

12) Does he feel that his experience would preclude other
political figures from seeking professional help for
mental problems?

No, it shouldn't.
13) He was surprised that he drew support from the man on the street and that it was the people he would have hoped would "understand" — The New York Times, Washington Post, Los Angeles Times — who called for his withdrawal.

14) "I would have been an asset, I'm a good campaigner, enjoy making speeches and meeting people." "I'm not here to gripe." "McGovern and I made the decision together." He was not backed out of the Party.

15) The Vice Presidential candidate should be chosen soon. An announcement tonight would be premature.
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August 1, 1972

H. R. HALDEMAN

GORDON STRACHAN

Subject: MacGregor's Alan Emory Luncheon at National Press Club - July 27

A review of the newspapers represented at the Alan Emory luncheon produced only two articles which refer to MacGregor's remarks on George Wallace. The articles by Dick Ryan of The Detroit News and by Don Irwin of the Los Angeles Times are attached at Tab A.

The Los Angeles Times article does not mention MacGregor's statements regarding Wallace. However, The Detroit News article quotes MacGregor: "I know the President feels and feels strongly that Governor Wallace's position on maintaining a strong military defense is absolutely the right position." This seems to correspond with your July 13 Action Memorandum (attached at Tab B) suggesting MacGregor make a strong public statement praising George Wallace as a great patriot, and for his strong stand on defense.

GS/jb
The attached clipping from Don Irwin of the L.A. Times and the piece by Richard Ryan which appeared in the Detroit News are the only news stories that Mort Allin has been able to find.

It would appear that they are the only stories that came out of the Alan Emory luncheon group appearance by Clarke MacGregor.
Nixon Team May Spend $30 Million, Aide Says

MacGregor Envisions Bombarding Tapes; Strategy Calls for Loss Making Spending

BY DON HUNN

WASHINGTON — President Nixon's campaign manager said Thursday the Republican national committee will spend more than $20 million in radio and television commercials to stress the need for a moderate candidate, except for the two that cannot win, in the 1972 presidential contest.

The national committee, in addition to the two that cannot win, is considering two more candidates, according to a statement at a meeting of the executive committee.

The committee, according to a statement at a meeting of the executive committee, will spend about $20 million in radio and television commercials to stress the need for a moderate candidate, except for the two that cannot win, in the 1972 presidential contest.

MacGregor, director of Mr. Nixon's reelection committee, also told reporters he would continue his active campaigning for the President whoever is nominated in 1972.

MacGregor, however, said he believed that Mr. Nixon's re-election chances were still uncertain, adding that two or more weeks will be required before the President can again.

Shifting Goals

"If I were surprised if the President doesn't say, 'I'll be your man,"' MacGregor said, "but if he doesn't, I'll just pull harder.

MacGregor said published reports of a possible Nixon run had not altered his strategy, but had indicated he expected Nixon to be re-elected in 1972.

Sets Volunteer Goals

MacGregor said he had set a goal of 500 volunteers in action by Labor Day in all states.

He said about 300 volunteers had been signed up, with 1,000 to be in the three top states.

The focus of the campaign in the next three months, MacGregor said, will be a "national volunteer effort" to reach a total of 500 volunteers in all states, with the most effort to be in the top states.

President Nixon on Oct. 6, 1971, announced a $1 million radio and television commercial to stress the need for a moderate candidate, except for the two that cannot win, in the 1972 presidential contest.

Under the "nuclear option" outlined by President Nixon, the only voting entity we don't have a chance to win, but he needed any hard assurance of the election campaign at this point.

Every time it is probable that Nixon will win by his campaign in all states sooner or later, the Western White House at 250 East, MacGregor said, he will thought the President should run again.

"If I were surprised if the President doesn't say, 'I'll be your man,'" MacGregor said, "but if he doesn't, I'll just pull harder.

MacGregor said published reports of a possible Nixon run had not altered his strategy, but had indicated he expected Nixon to be re-elected in 1972.

Sets Volunteer Goals

MacGregor said he had set a goal of 500 volunteers in action by Labor Day in all states.

He said about 300 volunteers had been signed up, with 1,000 to be in the three top states.

The focus of the campaign in the next three months, MacGregor said, will be a "national volunteer effort" to reach a total of 500 volunteers in all states, with the most effort to be in the top states.

President Nixon on Oct. 6, 1971, announced a $1 million radio and television commercial to stress the need for a moderate candidate, except for the two that cannot win, in the 1972 presidential contest.

Under the "nuclear option" outlined by President Nixon, the only voting entity we don't have a chance to win, but he needed any hard assurance of the election campaign at this point.

Every time it is probable that Nixon will win by his campaign in all states sooner or later, the Western White House at 250 East, MacGregor said, he will thought the President should run again.

"If I were surprised if the President doesn't say, 'I'll be your man,"' MacGregor said, "but if he doesn't, I'll just pull harder.

MacGregor said published reports of a possible Nixon run had not altered his strategy, but had indicated he expected Nixon to be re-elected in 1972.

Sets Volunteer Goals

MacGregor said he had set a goal of 500 volunteers in action by Labor Day in all states.

He said about 300 volunteers had been signed up, with 1,000 to be in the three top states.

The focus of the campaign in the next three months, MacGregor said, will be a "national volunteer effort" to reach a total of 500 volunteers in all states, with the most effort to be in the top states.
Michigan ‘critical’ in GOP plans

Nixon team trying to get Wallace into its lineup

By ROBERT B. RYAN

The issue of Wallace’s position within the GOP remains unresolved, with some Nixon aids suggesting that if Wallace were to run as a third-party candidate, he would be detrimental to Nixon’s chances of winning the presidency. However, Nixon’s team is reportedly trying to persuade Wallace to join their ranks and support Nixon instead.

The situation is complex, as some within the Nixon camp believe that Wallace’s presence as an independent candidate could cost Nixon the election. On the other hand, others argue that Wallace’s support would be valuable in a divided electorate.

The ultimate decision rests with Wallace, who is reportedly considering a third-party run. His choice could have significant implications for the outcome of the 1972 election.
ACTION MEMO

Somebody, probably Clark MacGregor, must make a strong public statement praising George Wallace as a great patriot, and for his strong stand on defense in contrast to those who are trying to hamstring the President in this area. He should be congratulated for putting the country above party and for the concrete contribution that he's made to the debate, and that while his voice was not heard at the Democratic Convention, it will receive a full hearing at the Republican Convention.

The basic thing is to get across to him the fact that he has been heard.

[Signatures and date]

HRH:pm
7/26/72
The White House
Washington

July 28, 1972

Administratively Confidential

Memorandum for: Gordon Strachan

From: L. Higby

Make sure you follow-up on the MacGregor talk. Let's ride this to see what the situation is.

Dr. Irwin article
Diet Ryan article
The White House
Washington

Date: 7/28

To: H.R. Haldeman

From: Gordon Strachan

Jeb Magruder obtained this description of MacGregor's remarks at the Alan Ensmore luncheon yesterday. There was no transcript as it violates the rules of the luncheon, a review of the papers represented...
at the luncheon will be conducted to determine if any stories differ from this account.
The meeting at which Clark MacGregor delivered his remarks on the Wallace Campaign took place during a session with the Alan Emory luncheon group at the National Press Club. At the present time, there have been no stories filed although there may be stories in the morning papers since Lou Cannon of the WASHINGTON POST and Bob Semple of the NEW YORK TIMES were present.

There was no transcript since part of the ground rules of such meetings preclude any taping of the remarks and the group usually excludes staff members. Van Shumway indicated that he was only included in the meeting because of his friendship with those present and background in the press. He was not able to take notes and what follows is from his memory.

MacGregor got into the discussion of Wallace when he was asked whether Secretary Connally had visited Governor Wallace in Birmingham -- mention of the visit had been made on the radio -- to ask him to join the Democrats for Nixon. MacGregor answered that, to his knowledge, Connally had not asked him and that such a request was not the purpose of the visit. Connally had gone down, however, to get some indication of the direction of Governor Wallace's thinking. MacGregor indicated that he did not have any private conversation with Connally since the meeting in Alabama and, therefore, he did not have any positive indication of Governor Wallace's intentions. Shumway believes that MacGregor left the firm impression that he did not want to get into any speculation as to the Governor's likely course of action, although it would have been hard to construe the impression that it was MacGregor's belief that Wallace would run.

In answer to the question of whether MacGregor found any major areas of agreement between the Governor and the Administration, he outlined the kinds of support that the Governor had given the President in the areas of national defense. When asked how he knew that there was such an agreement he said that he had asked for an outline of the Governor's positions on various issues and the papers on national defense certainly demonstrated that there were some broad areas of similarity. When asked whether there was similar reason to believe that there were policy agreements in the area of bussing, MacGregor admitted that he frankly did not know the Governor's position on bussing. Papers on bussing
had been requested but had not arrived in time. At the time of the luncheon, MacGregor stated that he had only had an opportunity to focus on the issue of national defense and his study in that area clearly showed that there was considerable similarity of views.

One of those present questioned whether there would be some support at the Republican Convention for the platform planks submitted to the Democrats by Wallace. He stated that he was not familiar with the precise planks that were submitted, but he knew that there was some agreement with the Governor.

Throughout the luncheon Van indicates MacGregor tried to stay clear of any speculations that the Governor would get into the race. To Van's knowledge the specific phrase "deliver a message" was not mentioned though there was considerable discussion of the Governor's views.
MEMORANDUM FOR THE HONORABLE CLARK MACGREGOR

FROM: DEVAN L. SHINNAY

SUBJECT: Alan Emory's Luncheon Group Meeting - July 27, 1972

This luncheon will be held in the President's Room of the National Press Club at 12:00 p.m. Mr. Emory will meet you in the lobby of the Press Building a few minutes before noon and escort you upstairs. There will be a quick drink and then luncheon will be served. He has assured me that this event will be over by 1:00 p.m. so you can go on to your next appointment.

This group is very informal and no prepared speech is required -- there will be a general Q and A session following lunch.

Following is a list of those who will be present:

Alan C. McConagha, Minneapolis Star and Tribune - 3-4 days
John J. Farmer, Philadelphia Bulletin - 1 day
Tom Littlewood, Chicago Sun-Times - 1 day
Jules J. Itzcover, Los Angeles Times - 1 day
Dick Ryan, Detroit News - 3 days
Dick Frank, National Journal - 7-11-72
Fred Emery, London Times - No response
Bill May or Bill Connelly, Newark News - No response
Roland Powell or Ronald Maleska, Buffalo News - 1-2
Alan Emory, Watertown Times, New York - No response
Someone from Newday - 1 day
Someone from the New York Times - 1 day
No definite answer yet from either the Washington Post or the St. Louis Post-Dispatch - 2-3 days
Al McConagha, Minneapolis Tribune
John Farmer and Bob Roth, Philadelphia Bulletin
Tom Littlewood, Chicago Sun-Times
Don Irwin, Los Angeles Times
Bill Connelly, Newark News
Dick Ryan, Detroit News
Dick Frank, National Journal
Fred Emery, London Times
Bill Wyant, St. Louis Post-Dispatch
Don Bacon, Newhouse
Warren Berry, Newsday
Alan Emory, Watertown (N.Y.) Daily Times
Bob Semple, New York Times
Lou Cannon, Washington Post
Ron Maselka, Buffalo Evening News