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<td>Retain Open</td>
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<td></td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>Return Private/Political Memo Strachan to HRH 4/26/72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>Return Private/Personal Memo Strachan to HRH 4/24/72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>Return Private/Political Memo Strachan to HRH 4/25/72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>Return Private/Political Memo Strachan to HRH 4/17/72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>Return Private/Political Memo Strachan to HRH 4/17/72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>Return Private/Political Memo Strachan to HRH 4/15/72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>Return Private/Political Memo Strachan to HRH 4/13/72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>Retain Close Invasion of Privacy Memo Strachan to HRH 4/10/72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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April 27, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. HALDEMAN
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: Gallup Surveys

Mr. and Mrs. John Davies joined me for lunch in the White House Mess today with John Scali and Harry Dent. The discussion developed several matters of interest:

1) The Gallup trial heats testing the President against Humphrey Kennedy and McGovern revealed the following "approximate" figures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Nixon</th>
<th>Humphrey</th>
<th>Wallace</th>
<th>N.O.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G-Apr 22-23</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nixon</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGovern</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No release date has been set.

2) In the Wall Street Journal on Monday, May 1, there will be a lead article on Kennedy based on a survey conducted by "one of Gallup's affiliates." The article will indicate that in spite of the very high awareness of Chappaquiddick (95%) more people are forgiving Kennedy as time goes by. Women more easily forgive Kennedy for his actions than men.

Gallup has been under extreme pressure not to conduct its Chappaquiddick Anniversary poll this year. R. W. Apple and Tom Wicker have been especially insistent in trying to get Gallup not to conduct the poll this year.

3) Gallup is currently conducting an "image" study of all the Democratic candidates and President Nixon. Several of the questions will focus on
sincerity, truthfulness and experience. The results will be available about one month from now;

4) Davies believes that the amnesty question is analogous to bussing in the sense that very few (less than 15%) favor amnesty. Davies believes that it could become an important vote-getting issue for the President, especially in light of McGovern's position;

5) The President's basic approval level of around 50% puts him in a strong position for re-election. There has not been the erosion all other Presidents have suffered. The President's public relations operation has convinced the people that his views correspond with theirs thereby negating the importance of charisma;

6) Davies believes Gallup's unpublished research shows that the First Lady is probably his strongest untapped resource. She is more valuable than any Vice Presidential running mate. She has emerged after the Africa and China trips;

7) McGovern's support is weaker than the 15 point position below the President indicates because his policy stands are so out of touch with the American people;

8) In discussions about the recent Gallup bombing questions, Scall made several suggestions to Davies regarding follow-up questions on the invasion, domino theory and secret missions. Davies seemed receptive and I will prepare questions for your review to send to him.

9) Davies expressed some concern about the political season and the increased pressure for information by Democrats and Republicans. I assured him that I would continue to be his only contact at the White House and that information would be kept very close. He seemed pleased and invited me to Princeton to meet George Gallup, Jr. and to examine their facilities. He asked me to say hello to Don Rumsfeld because he was George Gallup's classmate at Princeton and an old friend.
Magruder proposes a new campaign strategy meeting to Mitchell in the memorandum attached at Tab A.

Mitchell has approved the meeting and participants (Miller, Magruder, LaRue, Marik, Dailey, Teeter, Finkelstein). The first meeting will be this week on Key States. There is currently no agreement on Key States within the Committee to Re-Elect the President, as Magruder's memorandum attached at Tab B indicates.

In light of the importance of getting Mitchell's views on Key States and campaign strategy for you, I asked Magruder if I could attend. Magruder said he was opposed and would not raise the question of my attendance with Mitchell. Magruder's reasons for objecting to my attending are:

1) The meeting must be kept small;
2) Marik can brief me afterwards on the decisions, and
3) The meeting should be purely campaign people without any White House Staff (hence, Malek’s exclusion).

You agreed last July to ask Mitchell if I could attend a much higher level meeting (that was eventually cancelled) on your behalf. To reassert in Magruder's mind the importance of keeping you fully informed, I believe it is an important matter of principle for you to intervene with Mitchell on my behalf. A memorandum for your signature to Mitchell is attached at Tab C.
MEMORANDUM FOR: JOHN MITCHELL
FROM: H. R. HALEMAN

One of Magruder's memoranda to you suggests a meeting of Magruder, Miller, LaRue, Marik, Dailey, Teeter, and Finkelstein to work on campaign strategy. Jeb mentioned that the first subject would be Key States. Would it be possible for Gordon Strachan to attend these strategy meetings on my behalf?

HRH/JS/jb
F/U - 4/28
Subject: Strategy Planning for the Campaign

Campaign strategy is now developed in several areas:

Campaign Strategy Group (Monday evening meetings). Brings together the thoughts of key White House personnel and those on the Re-Election Committee. Reviews and develops recommendations on broad strategy, with particular emphasis on advertising and the media.

Strategy Planning Group (Saturday meetings). The directors responsible for pertinent campaign activities meet with each state chairman to develop a detailed strategy and operating plan for conducting the campaign in that state. The resulting recommendations in the form of a state plan are presented to you for approval.

November Group. Develops an advertising strategy consistent with the overall strategy of the campaign.

Polling. Provides the basic research data for all of the strategy groups described above.

In addition, the political division and the directors of the functional activities develop strategy relating to their own areas of responsibility, consistent with the overall campaign strategy.

All of these groups perform a useful function in developing elements of the strategy for the campaign. However, they do not perform the essential function because:

1. They do not work closely enough with you.

2. Their membership is too large to make effective strategy recommendations in a timely manner when the campaign is in full swing.
Therefore, we recommend that another group be formed, under your chairmanship, to develop the overall strategy from which state plans, advertising, budget, etc., will flow. The suggested membership would be:

- Cliff Miller (Communications)
- Jeb Magruder (Administration/Coordination)
- Fred LaRue (Political)
- Bob Marik (Planning/Vail/Telephone)
- Peter Dailey (Advertising)
- Bob Teeter (Polling)
- Arthur Finkelstein (Demographics)

Recommendations

That you approve the creation of the group described above.

Approve_________ Disapprove_________ Comment________________

That a meeting be scheduled within the next two weeks to start the group on its task of developing strategy for the general campaign.

Approve_________ Disapprove_________ Comment________________
MEMORANDUM FOR: MR. DWIGHT CLAYTON
FROM: JLB S. MACAULAY
SUBJECT: Key States

As you know, we have been using a number of lists of key states for different purposes such as surrogate scheduling, early primary strategy development, and media planning. The groupings of states have not been completely consistent, however, and we feel that in order to plan effectively for the general campaign we should establish one firm list. Therefore, during the course of the next week, we will analyze alternative selections of key states, consider the implications of each, and adopt a consolidated list.

cc: Mr. Larry Kirby
    Mr. Gordon Strachan
ITEM REMOVED FROM THIS FOLDER

A RESTRICTED DOCUMENT OR CASE FILE HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS FILE FOLDER. FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THE ITEM REMOVED AND THE REASON FOR ITS REMOVAL, CONSULT DOCUMENT ENTRY NUMBER _____1_____ ON THE DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD IN THE FRONT OF THIS FILE FOLDER.
Memorandum for:

H. R. Haldeman

From:

Gordon Strachan

Subject:

Massachusetts and Pennsylvania Primary Returns

Magruder's memorandum for John Mitchell on the Massachusetts and Pennsylvania primaries today is attached. There will be an election watch at 1701, which will rely on the wires and network coverage.

The President's name is on the Massachusetts preferential ballot with Ashbrook and McGloskey. The President is expected to win easily. In Pennsylvania only delegates will be selected.

The Massachusetts polls close at 8:00 p.m. EST. Network projections are not expected until 10:00 p.m. because there are few machine polling booths. The Pennsylvania results are expected earlier, and CBS and NBC have announced shows on the results beginning at 10:30 p.m. ABC has not announced election shows. I will be in touch with Magruder from 8:30 p.m. on.

John Mitchell is not expected to be at the Committee tonight, and Magruder expects him to watch the results on T.V. in D.C.

For New Hampshire, Florida, and Wisconsin, Harry Dent has prepared a one page summary of the results for the President. This summary has been delivered to the President at 7:30 a.m. the next morning.

Recommendation

That Dent be asked to prepare his analysis of the Massachusetts and Pennsylvania Primaries for the President.

Agree

Disagree

Comment
MEMORANDUM

April 25, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR THE HONORABLE JOHN N. MITCHELL

THROUGH: JEB S. MAGRUDER

SUBJECT: Election Night

Here is a review of the key facts about tonight's primaries in Massachusetts and Pennsylvania and a description of our planned response.

Massachusetts

Both parties have preferential and delegate contests. Registered voters may not crossover. The polls are open until 8:00 p.m. Results may come in slowly because of wide use of paper ballots. The Secretary of State estimates that about 800,000 people will vote -- most of them on the Democratic side. The Democrats have twelve names on their ballot and 102 delegates will be elected. The delegates will be bound on the first Convention ballot to the candidate who wins the preferential. The latest Boston Globe poll shows McGovern with 43%, Muskie 19%, and Humphrey at 14%.

In the Republican race, The President's name is on the preferential ballot along with Ashbrook's and McGloskey's. A light turnout, heavily in favor of The President, is expected. Thirty-four Republican delegates will be selected.

Pennsylvania

Both parties have delegate races, but only the Democrats have a preferential contest. Voters may not crossover. The polls are open until 8:00 p.m. Results should come in faster than Massachusetts since more machines are used. There are 2.8 million registered Democrats, and turnout estimates range from 30 to 50 percent of this. The Democrats have five names on the ballot (Jackson, Humphrey, McGovern, Muskie and Miller). They are selecting 137 delegates. Muskie and McGovern have fielded full slates, while Humphrey...
has only 128 delegates. The press predicts Humphrey will get most delegates, with Muskie a close second, and then McGovern far behind. In the preferential race Humphrey is expected to win his first primary. The order after that is in question: Muskie could finish second, but may fall behind both McGovern and Wallace. The preferential results have no bearing on the Convention delegates.

On the Republican side there are 2.5 million registered Republicans. Those who vote will do so only for the 50 GOP delegates.

Our Response

We are preparing a statement for you in which we comment on Kennedy's obvious support for McGovern in Massachusetts. Senator Dole's statement will follow this same approach. Frank Dale's will emphasize the continued strong support for the President and his policies. We are also preparing comments for Arlen Specter, Senator Schweiker, Senator Brooke and Governor Sargent.

DeVan L. Shumway
April 17, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. Haldeman
FROM: Gordon Strachan
SUBJECT: Meeting with John Mitchell and Bob Teeter - April 17, 1972

You asked that the survey-strategy meeting with Bob Teeter be re-scheduled. A check with John Mitchell's secretary indicates that 3 P.M. today would be convenient. The question of whether Jeb Magruder and I should attend the meeting remains unanswered. Mitchell deferred to you when Magruder asked him.

Set meeting at 3 P.M. with Mitchell and Teeter.

Magruder and Strachan attend.

Magruder and Strachan do not attend.

Re-schedule meeting.

Recent Teeter Memoranda on Wallace and the campaign theme are attached for review though you have already seen copies. Another matter which you may want to cover with Mitchell and Teeter is the question of Key States. The last time you formally addressed the question of Key States is June 23, 1971. Colson submitted a list of ten, which was accepted. The ten were California, Texas, New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Illinois, Missouri, Florida, Indiana and New Jersey. Mitchell has not directed Magruder to follow any set group of Key States, though several lists are used for a variety of purposes (scheduling, advertising, computer mapping, direct mail, etc.).

The list which Chapin discussed with you in Canada is Teeter's informal assessment based on the campaign's first wave polls.
and other polls Teeter has seen. The list is (a) California, Texas, New York, Ohio, and Illinois; (b) Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Wisconsin, Maryland, and Missouri; (c) Michigan, Connecticut, Oregon and Washington. Neither Teeter nor Magruder have covered the list with Mitchell. Magruder and others in the campaign are anxious for guidance from you and John Mitchell.

Att.

GS/jb
MCWHORTER returned from Russia last week and called regarding the AT&T/Democratic debt charges by Senators Dole and Brock. McWhorter remains as mad as when you talked with him on March 17, 1972. McWhorter still blames the shortsightedness of White House staffers, principally Colson. McWhorter believes that because there is a stockholder's meeting this week, AT&T will have to issue a statement and "we will get what we deserve."

Colson's office has tried to pacify McWhorter, unsuccessfully.
MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. HALDEMAN
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: RNC Convention

Two developments have occurred in the last two days. First, Jeb Magruder talked with Evans and Novak on Friday, April 14 regarding the cost and construction problems encountered in San Diego. The facts are summarized in the April 6 memorandum from Magruder to Mitchell (copy attached). Also, the second letter, from the construction company to Herman was also given to Novak, who told Magruder the story would run on Wednesday, April 19, 1972.

The story about the San Diego Convention appeared on the front page of the Los Angeles Times. Unfortunately "a White House source" is quoted as denying that the convention would move from San Diego to Miami. Magruder called Gerry Warren, Bob Finch, and Ken Clawson and determined that they did not comment on the convention. Magruder and Miller believe Herb Klein may be the White House source. Magruder is trying to reach Klein.

The second development concerns Bill Timmons. According to Magruder, Mitchell will want Timmons to take a leave of absence from the White House staff from mid-May through the convention in August to devote full time to the convention planning. Mitchell feels that in light of the "probable" move to Miami, Timmons' full-time effort would be required. MacGregor will submit a formal written request to you next week.

Attachment

GS:1b
MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. HALEMEN
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: San Diego - RNC Convention

April 13, 1972

After your discussion with John Mitchell on April 12th, Magruder received instructions to leak the two letters to Dick Herman on the San Diego construction problems. Magruder will personally release the letters to UPI for Saturday release.

GS/jb
HRH - F/U - 4/17
MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. HALEMEN
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: Announcement of John Mitchell as Campaign Director

Fred LaRue called this afternoon for your reaction to the possibility of releasing to the press John Mitchell's official role as Campaign Director. A press release by Frank Dale would make the announcement. It would be as low key as possible. There would be no photographs or special interviews.

Mitchell wants to release the information tomorrow rather than wait until Monday, April 17th. However, according to LaRue, Mitchell defers to your judgment.

_________________________ Yes, Mitchell release tomorrow
_________________________ No, delay until Monday, April 17th

________________________________________ Other

GS/jb
MEMORANDUM FOR:  
H. R. HALDEMAN

FROM:  
GORDON STRACHAN

SUBJECT:  
President's Papers and Estate Plan

The President's Papers and Estate Plan is drifting off track again. Just before the China Trip you directed Dean to "get it done before it becomes moot." Nothing happened, so I drafted a memorandum for John Ehrlichman's signature to Dean on March 21st. Dean responded with an interim report indicating that the Acting General Counsel of IRS would begin working on some of the basic tax questions.

All this results in indecision and delay. You told me in August that the President considered Dick Ritzel of Mudge Rose the best estate lawyer around. Ritzel's plan, after due deliberation with DeMarco, was submitted on December 23, 1971. It is John Dean's view that DeMarco and Ritzel are in a contest. The only loser is the President.

It is my view that DeMarco's interim stop-gap Will proposal is the worst result possible because it will delay a decision until after November 7, 1972. If the President loses the election, the goals of the President's Papers and Estate Plan will be thwarted.

The solution seems to be for you and Ehrlichman to make the decision whether the entire project is to be delayed until after the election. If that is the case, you should approve Dean's attached memorandum urging the President to execute a stop-gap Will tomorrow when he meets with DeMarco. If you and Ehrlichman decide to resolve the conflict between DeMarco and Ritzel, you should disapprove Dean's memorandum and direct him to have the final plan to you and Ehrlichman for review by May 1st.

GS/jb
April 11, 1972

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. HALDEMAN
JOHN EHRLICHMAN

FROM: JOHN DEAN

SUBJECT: RN Estate Plan

The President is scheduled to meet with Frank DeMarco at 11:15 AM Thursday, April 14th to sign his 1971 tax returns.

This session could also serve as an opportunity to temporarily resolve the problem of the inadequacies of the present estate plan until the completely revised estate plan is worked out.

You will recall that the matter that has not been resolved in planning the estate is arranging for adequate funds for the maintenance of an office, the San Clemente property, etc., after the President leaves office. While there is no reason that these matters cannot be resolved with dispatch, it must be recognized that the existing Will (executed for a New York resident) is inadequate because of the President's California residency.

I have requested that DeMarco prepare a stop gap Will and have attached a summary of the documents he will bring with him should the President wish to take care of the problem.

I would recommend that the President execute a stop gap Will and if you concur I will prepare a background paper for him so he can discuss it with DeMarco on Thursday.

Agree ____________

Disagree ____________
MEMORANDUM
April 10, 1972

TO: John W. Dean, III
FROM: Frank DeMarco, Jr.
RE: RMN Estate Plan - Need for Immediate "Stop-gap" Will

Pending finalization of the revised estate plan, we recommend the execution of a new temporary or stop-gap Will to remedy the problems which are apparent should the testator die with the existing New York Will in effect. Basis structure would be as follows:

I. Declare California residency.
   A. This reaffirms the fact of California residency as heretofore evidenced by California voting registration.
   B. Claimed California residency has been the basis for no income tax liability under New York law since 1969.
   C. Declaration of New York residency in existing Will would subject the estate to a New York inheritance tax as well as a California inheritance tax on certain portions.

II. Do not attempt to classify status of property as "separate", "quasi-community" or "community" in Will. Merely recite Will as intending to pass all property.

III. Disposition of the entire estate, depending upon the testator's wishes as of now, can be by means of either of the following two options:
A. Create usual California marital deduction type Will, with a marital trust and a residual trust.

1. Value of one-half husband's separate property and equivalent value of one-half interest in the quasi-community and community property passes to wife's trust, with income to her for her life with powers of invasion of corpus for her needs and with the balance, at her death, as she appoints in her Will.

2. Value of one-half husband's separate property and equivalent value of one-half interest in quasi-community and community property passes to the residual trust exclusively for charitable purposes. (Fund this trust with nonsensitive papers.)

B. Create two trusts, substantially as in paragraph A above, but providing, however, that wife has no testamentary power over any portion of the marital trust, and at her death, income to the two daughters for their respective lives, and upon their respective deaths, to their children outright free of trust.

Note: Gift Tax Consequences. No marital deduction allowed under these circumstances. Value of wife's share of community property will be a taxable gift to husband's estate, payable at husband's death.

IV. A new executor should be named. Preferably a California resident to avoid bonding problems. Should also be someone familiar with Presidential Papers, as it will be necessary to segregate papers as between "sensitive" and "nonsensitive". (H. R. Haldeman still qualifies as a California resident under the Code, since he is temporarily away from the state in governmental service.)

V. Terminate all existing joint tenancies.

It is to be emphasized that the foregoing does not constitute our recommendation for the overall estate plan, but merely as a temporary measure to cure obvious pitfalls in the event of an untimely death prior to finalization of the estate plan.
April 12, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. Haldeman
FROM: Gordon Strachan
SUBJECT: Gallup Surveys

The March Gallup Opinion Index contains the detailed demographics on the President’s popularity from the March 3-5, 1972 poll. The chart comparing the three previous Gallup surveys is included. The demographics for the Feb. 4-7 Gallup trial heats are also included.

Discussion with John Davies at Gallup disclosed that the Sunday Gallup release will compare the Democrats’ strengths and weaknesses. The analysis of Humphrey will show his support among blacks and in the Midwest while Muskie is stronger among the old, the ethnics, and in the East.

Gallup ran a special trial heat poll last weekend testing the President against Kennedy and McGovern. Davies will not be able to give me the results until next Tuesday, April 18, 1972. The information may not be published.
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MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. Haldeman
FROM: Gordon Strachan
SUBJECT: Gallup Popularity and Trial Heat Figures

Discussion with John Davies at Gallup on Friday, April 6, disclosed that the final Presidential popularity figures are:

G - 3/24-27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approve</th>
<th>Disapprove</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The release date for these figures is uncertain. Davies says the editorial board has not yet decided.

The "almost final" trial heat results which will not be released Sunday, April 9 are:

G - 3/24-27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nixon</th>
<th>Muskie</th>
<th>Wallace</th>
<th>Undecided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nixon</th>
<th>Humphrey</th>
<th>Wallace</th>
<th>Undecided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Against just Wallace the results are:

G - 3/24-27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nixon</th>
<th>Wallace</th>
<th>Undecided</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On Sunday, April 9, Gallup will release the standing of the Democratic candidates among the Democratic voters. The headline will be: "Humphrey Retains Front Runner Spot in National Test of Democratic Hopefuls." The results are:

G - 3/24-27  G - 3/3-5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Humphrey</th>
<th>Muskie</th>
<th>Wallace</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31 %</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G - 3/24-27</td>
<td>G - 3/3-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGovern</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindsay</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCarthy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartke</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorty</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Preference</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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April 6, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. Haldeman
FROM: Gordon Strachan
SUBJECT: Gallup Popularity and Trial Heat Figures

Discussion with John Davies at Gallup this morning disclosed that the final Presidential popularity figures are:

G - 3/24-27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>No Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The release date for these figures is uncertain. Davies says the editorial board has not yet decided.

The "almost final" trial heat results which will not be released Sunday, April 9 are:

G - 3/24-27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nixon</th>
<th>Muskie</th>
<th>Wallace</th>
<th>Undecided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Against just Wallace the results are:

G - 3/24-27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nixon</th>
<th>Humphrey</th>
<th>Wallace</th>
<th>Undecided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On Sunday, April 9, Gallup will release the standing of the Democratic candidates among the Democratic voters. The headline will be: "Humphrey Retains Front Runner Spot in National Test of Democratic Hopefuls." The results are:

G - 3/24-27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Humphrey</th>
<th>Muskie</th>
<th>Wallace</th>
<th>3/3-5</th>
<th>2/4-7</th>
<th>1/7-9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31 %</td>
<td>22 %</td>
<td>17 %</td>
<td>35 %</td>
<td>32 %</td>
<td>29 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Humphrey 31 % 35 % 32 % 29 %
Muskie 22 % 28 % 35 %
Wallace 17 % 15 %
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party</th>
<th>3/24-27</th>
<th>3/3-5</th>
<th>2/4-7</th>
<th>1/7-9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McGovern</td>
<td>5 %</td>
<td>7 %</td>
<td>6 %</td>
<td>3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindsay</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCarthy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartke</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorty</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Preference</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gallup did not poll Kennedy.
MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. HALEMAN
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: Names for 350

April 6, 1972

I mentioned to Higby the problem of developing names for the 350. He suggested either Pat O'Hara or Dan Hofgren. Hofgren, of course, works with Stans who objects to using people associated with 1701.

Larry suggested I ask Alex to review his list of trusted individuals. Alex, without being informed of the nature of the project suggested:

1) Tim Babcock - former Republican Governor of Montana;
2) Leonard W. (Bill) Lilly - a close personal friend of Alex, and;
3) Marvin E. Childs - he is retired and is another close personal friend of Alex.

The decision to put aside the 350 with someone or to forget the project entirely must be made today as tomorrow, April 7, is the deadline.

Proceed with 350 project with one of the four possible names.

O'Hara
Babcock
Lilly
Childs

Drop the 350 project.
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MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. Haldeman
FROM: Gordon Strachan
SUBJECT: Wisconsin Primary Returns

Tonight, Jeb Magruder will have an election watch at 1701, based on the Wisconsin campaign individuals analysis of the returns.

The polls close at 9:00 p.m. EST. Network projections are expected between 9:30 and 10:30 p.m. CBS and NBC have announced shows on the results beginning at 10:30 p.m. ABC has announced shows at 10:20 p.m. and 11:40 p.m. I will be in touch with Magruder from 9:00 p.m. on. I can be reached through the White House Operator, either in Magruder's office or on pageboy. Should you wish to talk to Jeb Magruder directly, he can be reached through 333-0920 or bellboy 381-1949.

John Mitchell is not expected to be at the Committee tonight, and Magruder expects him to watch the results on TV.

For both New Hampshire and Florida, Harry Dent has prepared a one page summary of the results for the President. This summary has been delivered to the President at 7:30 a.m. the next morning.

RECOMMENDATION

That Dent be asked to prepare his analysis of the Wisconsin Primary for the President.

Agree
Disagree
Comment

GS: car
Tuesday

**EVENING**

Guest Cast
Juan .............•..... Ray Dant
Durand .......••..... Gene Evans
Hemmings •....•.. Wifliam Bramley

**ODD COUPLE (C)**

26 3 2 BLACK JOURNAL (C)
Marking the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. (slain April 4, 1968). . . . a two-part evaluation of his life and principles. Tonight’s discussion appraises Dr. King’s understanding of the Northern black, his philosophy of nonviolence and his speeches against the Vietnam war. Newsmen: Peter Bailey (Ebony), Gerald Fraser (New York Times) and Chester Higgins (Jet).

[10:00] NEWS, WEATHER, SPORTS (C)

10:20 P.M. ABC will interrupt this program for a report on the Wisconsin Primary with Howard K. Smith and Harry Reasoner.

[10:30] HIGH CHAPARRAL (C)
Blue (Mark Slade) defies everyone to help a man wanted for years on an unjust desertion charge. (60 min.)

[10:45] MARCUS WELBY, M.D. (C)
"In My Father’s House" probes the painful relationship between Dr. Kiley and his alcoholic father. The old man has suffered a stroke, and Kiley is trying to make up for the years he held his father in shame. Dr. Kiley: James Brolin, Dr. Welby: Robert Young. (Repeat; 60 min.)

Guest Cast
Dan Kiley ..•.•••••••.. Alan Hale
Ellen Kiley •...••.•.• Kathryn Hays
Mike Kiley ........•.... Barry Brown
Dr. Kingman •••.... Norman Stuart
Clemens ...•..•.•.... Dick Wilson

[11:00] NEWS SPECIAL (C)
Special: "Peace and Love." A look at the life of the late Martin Luther King, in observance of the fourth anniversary of his death. Included are performances by the Calvary Singers and Myrna Summers and the Inter-denominational Singers. (Pre-empt regular programming.)
MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. HAZEMEAN
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN

Henry Elder of George Elkins Company is unavailable; but, his personal secretary reports that your limited partnership income for 1971 in Harbor Vista is $747.00. Written confirmation is in the mail.
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MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. HALEMAN
FROM: Gordon Strachan
SUBJECT: Democratic Candidates in California Primary

You asked for the final list of the Democratic candidates in the California primary. Secretary of State Edmund G. Brown, Jr. certified the list on Friday, March 31. The order the names will appear on the ballot is:

George McGovern
Edmund Muskie
Shirley Chisholm
John Lindsay
Hubert Humphrey
Eugene McCarthy
Sam Yorty
Henry Jackson
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