<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box Number</th>
<th>Folder Number</th>
<th>Document Date</th>
<th>No Date</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Document Type</th>
<th>Document Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/28/1972</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>From Strachan To Mitchell RE: Response, on behalf of Haldeman, about information on his mother. 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/17/1972</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Magruder RE: &quot;Weekly Summary.&quot; 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Number</td>
<td>Folder Number</td>
<td>Document Date</td>
<td>No Date</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Document Type</td>
<td>Document Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/7/1972</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Magruder RE: &quot;Dr. Anita de Lemos.&quot; 2pgs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/7/1972</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Magruder RE: &quot;RNC Poll.&quot; 2pgs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Number</td>
<td>Folder Number</td>
<td>Document Date</td>
<td>No Date</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Document Type</td>
<td>Document Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/4/1972</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Hon. Mitchell RE: Request to forward a copy of a memorandum from Buchanan. 3pgs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/27/1972</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan to Magruder RE: &quot;Copley Film.&quot; 3pgs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/1/1972</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Magruder RE: &quot;Campus Polls.&quot; 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Number</td>
<td>Folder Number</td>
<td>Document Date</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Document Type</td>
<td>Document Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/1/1972</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Parker RE: &quot;President's Meeting with Republican Senators.&quot; 2pgs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/2/1972</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Parker RE: &quot;Young Voters for the President.&quot; 1pg.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/10/1972</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>From Strachan To Strobel RE: Letter of gratitude for past contributions and support for the president. 1pg.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Presidential Materials Review Board**

**Review on Contested Documents**

| Collection: | H. R. Haldeman |
| Box Number: | 236 |
| Folder: | Strachan Chron M-Z February 1972 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>Retain, Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188</td>
<td>Return, Private/Personal Letter, Strachan to G. Mitchell, 2/20/72.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>Retain, Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>Return, Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Mark, 2/25/72.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>Retain, Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Retain, Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>Retain, Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>Retain, Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>Retain, Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>Retain, Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>Return, Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Malek, 2/21/72.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>Retain, Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>Return, Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Magruder, 2/17/72.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>Retain, Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Return, Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Magruder, 2/9/72.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>Return, Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Magruder, 2/8/72.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>Return, Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Magruder &amp; Riehl, 2/8/72.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>Return, Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Magruder, 2/7/72.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>Return, Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Magruder, 2/7/72.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>Return, Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Magruder, 2/3/72.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>Return, Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Mitchell, 2/4/72.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>Retain, Close Invasion of Privacy Memo, Strachan to Malek, 2/4/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>Return, Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Magruder, 1/27/72.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>Return, Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Magruder, 2/1/72.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Number</td>
<td>Decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224</td>
<td>Return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>Return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>Return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Presidential Materials Review Board

Review on Contested Documents

Collection: H. R. Haldeman
Box Number: 236

237  Retain  Open
238  Retain  Open
239  Retain  Open
240  Retain  Open
241  Retain  Open
242  Return  Private/Political Letter, Strachan to Strobel, 2/10/72.
243  Retain  Open
244  Retain  Open
245  Retain  Open
246  Retain  Open
247  Retain  Open
248  Return  Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Timmons, 2/4/72.
249  Retain  Open
250  Retain  Open
251  Retain  Open
252  Retain  Open
253  Retain  Open
254  Retain  Open
255  Retain  Open
256  Retain  Open
257  Retain  Open
258  Retain  Open
February 28, 1972

Dear Mr. Mitchell:

Mr. Haldeman was travelling with the President in China when your letter dated February 21, 1972 arrived, so I am responding in his absence.

I am returning Mr. Haldeman's letter to you, per your request.

Mr. Haldeman's mother, Mrs. Harry F. Haldeman, lives in Los Angeles. Mr. Haldeman grew up in the Los Angeles area, and it is quite possible that his mother is the Mrs. Haldeman that you once knew.

Thank you for your interest in writing.

Sincerely,

Gordon Strachan
Staff Assistant
to H. R. Haldeman

Mr. Garland Mitchell
Apartment D
5151 Neptune Square
Oxnard, California 93030

Enclosure: Ltr fm Mr. Haldeman to Mr. Mitchell, 2/14/72

GS:hf
February 25, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: BOB MARILK
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: North Carolina Filing

Attached is a copy of the letter from the Director of Elections to the President regarding the requirements for North Carolina entry in the Presidential Preference Primary.

It is my understanding that you and Barry Dent are responsible for the handling and correct filing of these materials. You will notice that the deadline is March 6, 1972. Please submit whatever materials you deem necessary to us so that they may be processed correctly and quickly. For your information the original of the materials from the Director of Elections of North Carolina will be held by Noble Melencamp. Please contact me when you are ready to have the materials handled.

GS:dg

cc: Noble Melencamp/with original

Follow-up March 3
Honorable Richard M. Nixon  
President of the United States  
The White House  
Washington, D.C.  

Dear Mr. President:

Pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes 163-213.1 the State Board of Elections met on Monday, February 21, 1972, for the purpose of nominating candidates to participate in North Carolina's Presidential Preference Primary to be conducted on May 6, 1972.

We are pleased to advise that you have been officially nominated by the State Board of Elections and, upon acceptance of said nomination, your name will be placed on the Presidential Ballot in the Republican Party Primary for the May 6, 1972 primary election.

In accordance with the rules governing the nomination of candidates it will be necessary for you to accept this nomination by noting official acceptance on the attached notification. Your acknowledgment must be signed by you and returned to this office so as to be received no later than March 6, 1972, along with your remittance in the amount of $1,000.00. Failure to return your acceptance and remittance by March 6, 1972 will result in automatic withdrawal of your name from nomination. A copy of Chapter 18A of the General Statutes is attached hereto for your information.

With every good wish and assuring you of our desire to be of service, we are

Very truly yours,

Alex K. Brock  
Director of Elections
RICHARD M. NIXON

NOTICE OF CANDIDACY

I acknowledge the letter of notification of nomination and hereby execute this "Notice of Candidacy", giving consent to my name being entered in the Presidential Preference Primary in the Republican Party Primary in North Carolina. I also submit herewith my filing fee in the amount of $1,000.00 payable to the State Board of Elections of North Carolina.

Signature of Candidate

Witness (Notary)
AN ACT TO PROVIDE FOR A PRESIDENTIAL PREFERENCE PRIMARY ELECTION, PRESCRIBING NOMINATION AND BALLOTING; SPECIFYING SELECTION AND OBLIGATIONS OF DELEGATES.

The General Assembly of North Carolina do enact:

Section 1. Chapter 163 of the General Statutes of North Carolina is hereby amended by inserting therein a new article to be designated as Article 18A and to read as follows:

"Article 18A.
Presidential Primary Act.

"G.S. 163-213.1. Short Title.--This article may be cited as the "Presidential Primary Act."

"G.S. 163-213.2. Presidential primary, date of election.--Beginning with the primary elections to be conducted in 1972 and every four years thereafter, as directed in G.S. 163-1 (b), the voters of this State shall be given an opportunity to express their preference for the person to be the presidential candidate of their political party.

"G.S. 163-213.3. Conduct of election.--The presidential primary election shall be conducted and canvassed by the same authority and in the manner provided by law for the conduct and canvassing of the primary election for the office of Governor and all other offices enumerated in G.S. 163-187 and under the same provisions stipulated in G.S. 163-188. The State Board of
Elections shall have authority to promulgate reasonable rules and regulations, not inconsistent with provisions contained herein, pursuant to the administration of this Act.

"G.S. 163-213.4. Nomination by State Board of Elections.--The State Board of Elections shall convene in Raleigh on the date prescribed for the deadline for candidates filing for State and National offices in G.S. 163-106(c). At the meeting required by this section the State Board of Elections shall nominate as presidential primary candidates all of those generally advocated and nationally recognized as candidates of the political parties, qualified under provisions of Article 9 of Chapter 163 of the General Statutes, for the office of President of the United States. Immediately upon completion of this requirement the Board shall release, to the news media, all such nominees selected. Provided, however, nothing shall prohibit the partial selection of nominees prior to the meeting required by this section, if all provisions of G.S. 163-213.6 have been complied with. Upon the completion of the form and the filing fee as required by G.S. 163-213.6, the Board shall release the partial selection of nominees to the news media.

"G.S. 163-213.5. Nomination by petition.--Any person seeking the endorsement by the national political party for the office of President of the United States, or any group organized in this state on behalf of, and with the consent of, such person, may file with the State Board of Elections petitions signed by 10,000 persons who, at the time they sign are registered and qualified voters in this state and are affiliated, by such registration, with the same political party as the candidate for whom the
petitions are filed. Such petitions shall be certified promptly by the chairman of the board of elections of the county in which the signatures were obtained and shall be filed by the petitioners with the State Board of Elections no later than 5:00 p.m. on the fifteenth day following the date on which the State Board of Elections is required to meet as directed by G.S. 163-213.4.

The petitions must state the name of the candidate for nomination, along with a letter of approval signed by such candidate. Said petitions must also state the name and address of the chairman of any such group organized to circulate petitions authorized under this section. The requirement for signers of such petitions shall be the same as now required under provisions of G.S. 163-96(b)(1) and (2). The requirement of the respective chairmen of county boards of elections shall be the same as now required under the provisions of G.S. 163-96(b)(1) and (2) as they relate to the chairman of the county board of elections.

The group of petitioners shall pay to the chairman of the county board of elections a fee of ten cents (10¢) for each signature he is required to examine and verify under the provisions of this section.

The State Board of Elections shall forthwith determine the sufficiency of petitions filed with it and shall immediately communicate its determination to the chairman of such group organized to circulate petitions. The form and style of petition shall be as prescribed by the State Board of Elections.
"G.S. 163-213.6.  Notification to candidates; filing fee.--The State Board of Elections shall forthwith contact each person who has been nominated by the Board or by petition and notify him in writing by registered mail with return receipt requested, that his name will be printed as a candidate on the North Carolina presidential primary ballot, provided such candidates as are nominated by the State Board of Elections shall, within 15 days after receipt of the notification, submit a filing fee of one thousand dollars ($1,000) to the State Board of Elections along with a "Notice of Candidacy" form to be supplied by the Board. Candidates nominated by petition under the provisions of this Article shall not be required to submit the filing fee required by this section. Failure of candidates, nominated by the State Board of Elections, to submit such fee and execute such "Notice of Candidacy" shall be a disclaimer and a withdrawal of the name from the primary.

"G.S. 163-213.7. Voting in presidential primary; ballots.--The names of all candidates in the presidential primary shall appear with the names of the candidates for other offices of their respective parties at an appropriate place on the ballot or voting machine. The voter shall be able to cast his ballot for one of the presidential candidates of his party, but shall not be permitted to vote for candidates of a political party different from his registration. Persons registered as "Independents" or "No Party" shall not participate in the presidential primary except upon changing such affiliation in accordance with law. The State Board of Elections shall have authority, in its sole discretion, to print a separate ballot for presidential
candidates or to combine it with some or all of the ballots presently authorized under the provisions of G.S. 163-109(b).

"G.S. 163-213.6. Political parties bound by results of primary; first ballot.--(a) Upon the completion of the official canvass of the results of the primary by the State Board of Elections, the Secretary of State shall certify to the State Chairman of each political party participating in the primary the following:

1. the names of the candidates, entitled to delegate votes under provisions of G.S. 163-213.9; and
2. the total vote received by each; and
3. a declaration that the results of the Presidential Primary, in accordance with the division of votes reflected by the official canvass, shall be the official vote, cast by each political party at its National Convention, on the first ballot only, and shall be designated by this Act as an automatic vote, expressing the will of the people of the State of North Carolina, and
4. after the vote on the first ballot by a political party at its National Convention, as required by this Article, all responsibility under this Act shall terminate and further balloting shall be the prerogative of the political parties as might be prescribed by the rules of such political parties.

"G.S. 163-213.9. Number of votes to be cast for candidates participating in primary.--(a) The four candidates receiving the highest number of votes, or all candidates if there are fewer
than four participating in the primary, provided each such candidate receives at least 15% of the total vote cast by his political party, shall be awarded a pro-rata portion of the authorized delegate vote of his political party as follows:

1. the total vote received by the candidates qualifying under the provisions of this Article and subsections herein shall, when combined, be equal to 100%; and
2. each such candidate shall share in the total percentage in direct proportion to the total vote received by him as is calculated to represent the total vote received by him as it is mathematically determined to be the percentage of the aggregate vote which represents 100%; and
3. each political party shall appropriate such percentage, as is determined by this section, to the total number of delegate votes as are allotted by the national committee of each party; and
4. each political party shall, on the first ballot at its National Convention, cast this State's vote for the candidates as determined by the primary and calculated under this section.

Provided, however, in the event of the death or the withdrawal of a candidate receiving votes under this section prior to the tabulation of the first ballot, any delegate votes allocated to such candidate who dies or withdraws shall be considered uncommitted. Withdrawal as it appears in the preceding sentence
shall mean notice in writing by the candidate to the Chairman of the North Carolina delegation prior to the first ballot.

"G.S. 163-213.10. It shall be the responsibility of the State Chairman of each political party, qualified under the laws of this state, to notify his party's National Committee no later than January 30 of each year in which such Presidential Primary shall be conducted of the provisions contained herein relating to the automatic vote on the first ballot as required under this Act."

Sec. 2. This Act shall become effective upon ratification.

In the General Assembly read three times and ratified, this the 22nd day of April, 1971.

H. P. TAYLOR, JR.

M. P. Taylor, Jr.
President of the Senate

PHILIP P. GODWIN

Philip P. Godwin
Speaker of the House of Representatives.
February 21, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: FRED MALEK
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: RNC Research Materials

I talked with Bill Horton at some length last week regarding the volume and quality of the RNC research materials. I have attached the most recent package for your information. You may want to have Bill Horton look through these regularly for you. The crucial point is that there is plenty of research information -- the problem is the translation of this information into an effective attack against the Democrats. What formal system there is is haphazardly concentrated in Pat Buchanan's office under Ken Khachigian, as well as periodic ad hoc projects by Chuck Colson. At one point, there was discussion about having Bob Marik be the one man responsible for translating the information into attack for the 1701 operation. He became inundated with other projects for Magruder. For a few weeks Bart Porter handled many of the attack operations. Now, Gordon Liddy, as General Counsel, supervises some activities. The problem is that there is no single, effective, one man responsible for this essential function. Pat Buchanan, Ken Khachigian and Mort Allin have some helpful ideas about how to implement such a system. I can give you a more complete description over the phone.

In any event, I would appreciate your thoughts as to how such a system could be implemented.

Thank you.

GS:lm
February 17, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: JEB MAGRUDER
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: Weekly Summary

The weekly summary that you prepare regarding your campaign activities seems to have become unduly large again. The most recent edition was above 20 pages long. I realize that the volume of campaign activities is accelerating, but isn't it easier for both the Attorney General and Bob to have your descriptions of the truly significant aspects of the campaign presented in brief synopsis form?

Just a thought.

cc: Fred Malek

GS:lm
February 9, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: JEB MAGRUDER
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: College Republican Campus Survey

You may want to get together with Fred LaRue and Ken Rietz to determine whether it is best for the campaign to have the RNC conduct a poll among college Republicans. Generally polls are not conducted by the RNC, but Rietz may have a use for this mail in poll.

attachments

GS:1m
TO: College Republican Club Leaders and Interested Persons  
FROM: Karl Rove, College Republican National Committee Executive Director  

3 February 1972  

1. COLLEGE REPUBLICAN CAMPUS SURVEY  

Enclosed is a copy of the College Republican National Committee's 1972 Campus Survey form. Every club chairman is requested to fill out this form and return it to the College Republican National Committee at the National Office address, 310 First Street, SE/Washington, DC/20003. Do this as soon as possible! It is imperative that every club chairman do this. The information contained on the completed form will aid the CRNC in its service to Republican candidates and state parties and the CRNC's assistance to local clubs. Take a moment and send this back!  

2. LINCOLN DAY PAMPHLET  

Enclosed is a copy of the Lincoln Day '72 brochure. Additional copies of this brochure are available from the College Republican Nat'l Office for distribution at club meetings and local Lincoln Day College Republican activities. Small quantities (up to 10 copies) are available free. Beyond that, these brochures are $4 per 500 or $7 per 1000. Orders should be forwarded to the National Office at 310 First Street, SE/Washington, DC/20003 and accompanied by a check.  

3. "THE GOP AND REFORM"  

Enclosed is a copy of the College Republican National Committee's new brochure on party reform, entitled "The GOP and Reform". This piece enumerates nominating conventions. Small additional quantities are available free from the College Republican Nat'l Committee.  

4. "KEEP ON TRUCKIN'"  

Enclosed is a copy of the new membership recruitment-club announce­ment sign, "Keep On Truckin'". Copies of this flyer are available from the College Republican National Committee at 25¢ for 12, 50¢ for 50 or $1 for 150. These signs may also be reproduced by the club if you can do it cheaper! Orders should be forwarded to the College Republican Nat'l Office and accompanied by a check.  

5. YOUNG REPUBLICAN LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE (YRLC)  

The Young Republican Leadership Conference (YRLC) mentioned in last week's memorandum is fast approaching. Extra YRLC information kits are available free from the College National Office. Write or call today and get enough kits for your next meeting; YRLC happens on the 9th thru the 11th of March.
FILL OUT THIS FORM (PLEASE PRINT) AND RETURN TO THE COLLEGE REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE/ 310 FIRST STREET, SOUTHEAST/ WASHINGTON, DC/ 20003.

COLLEGE ___________________________ CITY ______________________

COUNTY ___________________________ STATE __________________ ZIP __________________

PERMANENT MAILING ADDRESS OF CLUB (Must be a mailing address on campus or local post office where clubs can receive mail year after year. Cannot be a club officer’s home address. Such an address is necessary to receive College Republican National Committee mailings)

[ ] Old address [ ] Change of address [ ] New: have never submitted address

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT #__________ CONGRESSMAN (specify R or D) ________________

COLLEGE ENROLLMENT ________ LIVING ON CAMPUS

NATURE OF COLLEGE: Public [ ] 4-year curriculum [ ]
Private [ ] Junior college [ ]
Church Affiliated [ ] Liberal Arts [ ]
Other [ ] Vocational or Technical [ ]
Other [ ]_______________

CLUB OFFICERS: Chairman

Vice-Chairman

Secretary

Treasurer

Advisor

CHAIRMAN’S ADDRESS ___________________________ CITY ___________________________

________________________ STATE __________________ ZIP __________________

PHONE NUMBER ___________________________ (Note: attach extra sheets with addresses and phone numbers of other officers, if possible)

WHAT ISSUES ARE OF SIGNIFICANT INTEREST ON YOUR CAMPUS TODAY?

1. ___________________________ 2. ___________________________

3. ___________________________ 4. ___________________________

5. ___________________________ 6. ___________________________

WHAT POSITIONS OF THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION ARE BEING FAVORABLY RECEIVED BY A MAJORITY OF THE STUDENTS ON YOUR CAMPUS?

1. ___________________________ 2. ___________________________

3. ___________________________ 4. ___________________________

5. ___________________________ 6. ___________________________
WHAT POSITIONS OF THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION ARE BEING UNFAVORABLY RECEIVED BY A MAJORITY OF THE STUDENTS ON YOUR CAMPUS?

1. __________________________
2. __________________________
3. __________________________
4. __________________________
5. __________________________
6. __________________________

WHAT REPUBLICAN SPOKESMEN DO YOU FEEL RELATE BEST TO THE COLLEGE COMMUNITY?

1. __________________________
2. __________________________
3. __________________________
4. __________________________
5. __________________________
6. __________________________

WHAT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CONTENDERS SEEM TO ENGENDER STUDENT INTEREST AND SUPPORT ON YOUR CAMPUS (AND WHY)?

NAME AND ADDRESS OF CAMPUS NEWSPAPER

BRIEF ASSESSMENT OF NEWSPAPER'S POLITICAL ORIENTATION

HAS A VOTER REGISTRATION EFFORT BEEN CONDUCTED ON CAMPUS? YES [ ]
NO [ ]

IF YES, BY WHOM WAS IT CONDUCTED?

HOW MANY WERE REGISTERED?

CLUB MEMBERS AND OTHER FRIENDLY, PRO-REPUBLICAN STUDENTS WHO HOLD STUDENT GOVERNMENT POSTS, POSITIONS ON SCHOOL NEWSPAPER, STUDENT UNION, CAMPUS RADIO STATION OR OTHER IMPORTANT CAMPUS ORGANIZATIONS (use additional sheets, if necessary).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Office Held</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Person submitting survey: __________________________

Address __________________________ City __________________________

State __________________________ Zip __________________________ Phone [ ]

Date __________________________
February 8, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: JEB MAGRUDER
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: Newspaper Advertisements

After looking at Peter Dailey's effective advertisements on the level of troop withdrawal it occurred to me that another effective chart might be a chart showing the number of draftees for the Vietnam War during the War under the Democrats and the very low level of draftees under President Nixon's Administration.

If you agree that this might be a good idea you may want to cover it with Ken Rieck since he may have some ideas as to the most effective way to get this particular line to youth.

GS: lm
February 8, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR:  
JEB MAGRUDER  
KEN RIETZ  

FROM:  
GORDON STRACHAN

As we discussed on the telephone this morning, Bob reviewed the February 3rd memorandum describing the mock elections you have scheduled in New Hampshire and Florida. His comment concerning the Dartmouth College mock election on February 28th was that "this is the only one that will matter -- what are we doing re it?" Would you please describe the activities you have planned to maximize the President's support during this mock election, which will probably receive nationwide publicity.

Thank you.

GS:1m
February 7, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: JEB MAGRUDER
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: Dr. Anita de Lemos

We have information that indicates Dr. Lemos is not the type of person that you might want to recruit into the ethnics operation. Her background is such that you might want to advise those who will be working in both the ethnic and Spanish speaking areas.

GS: lm

SOURCE = NOBLE MELEN CAMP
Latest 'Quickie' Divorce

Dr. Anita de Lemos, a many talented woman well known in Washington's Spanish community, has opened a "quickie divorce" operation here and has already received 20 clients to the Dominican Republic in the past three weeks.

Dr. de Lemos, an M.D. who is not licensed to practice here, says that since she was trained as a physician, "I like to help people."

Dr. de Lemos is trying to help President Nixon — in a different area. She is creating a "D.C. Hispanic Republican Club" to help re-elect him, because she believes he has done "more than anyone else" for Spanish speaking Americans.

In 1972, she says, she was active in Professional Women for Nixon. "But this time I want to get some Spanish votes for him."

This could involve a presidential-type appointment, she anticipates, through the D.C. Republican Committee. But White House sources say that she is "not connected in any way" and that no such an appointment is "in the works."

As local representative of the Dominican Republic law firm "Bales Jurídico Comercial," Dr. de Lemos has sent a batch of clients to that country. The main business of the firm, however, is in New York, she says, where "hundreds" have been taking advantage of the 2-hour, 200 (approximately) "mutual consent" incompatibility deal.

It involves one appearance in court, and the "package" includes court costs, fees, two copies of the divorce papers, attorney fees and "authentication by the American consul," according to the firm's publicity announcements.

As the law firm's representative, Dr. de Lemos can also arrange transportation and hotels for clients, the Dominican firm also sells real estate.

Ten years ago, Dr. de Lemos opened a health spa in rural Maryland. Earlier she represented a pharmaceutical company.
MEMORANDUM FOR: JEB MAGRUDER
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: RNC Poll

Pursuant to our discussion this morning, would you and Fred LaRue get together with J. Philip Reberger, the Executive Assistant to Deputy Chairman DeBolt, and determine if there is any advantage to the President in having an issues poll conducted at the 1972 Republican National Leadership Conference on March 1-4. My recollection is that polls such as the one suggested are not usually conducted without an assurance that the results are exactly what we want. After you and LaRue review this matter would you please get back in touch with me so that we can determine whether or not it is desirable to have this poll conducted.

I have attached Reberger's letter to me as background for you.

GS:lm
February 4, 1972

Mr. Gordon Strachan
The White House
Washington, D. C.

Dear Gordon:

As you know, the 1972 Republican National Leadership Conference will be held in Washington on March 1-4. It is expected that most of the Republican National Committee members and 1200 to 1500 Party leaders will be attending this conference. Present plans are to develop a questionnaire to be given to each conference registrant to be returned prior to their departure. An issue oriented questionnaire of this type could serve several purposes. For example, it could increase the conference participants feeling of involvement in national political affairs by giving them an opportunity to comment on current issues of the day and Party programs. Of course, it would also serve as a valuable tool for conducting future conferences of a similar nature due to the critique portions of the questionnaire. A third possibility is that there could be considerable press mileage gained from such a questionnaire if, for example, we could report to the media that over 1500 Party leaders gathered in Washington, were polled at this Leadership Conference and results indicate that President Nixon received overwhelming support on issue A, B, C, D, E, and F, etc.

Do you have any suggestions for the subject matter content of such a questionnaire? Your extensive involvement in following national opinion survey trends and issue development will be an essential part in the formulation of such a questionnaire.

Your comments and input, at your earliest convenience, will be appreciated.

Sincerely,

J. PHILIP REBERGER
Executive Assistant
to Deputy Chairman DeBolt
MEMORANDUM FOR: JEB MAGRUDER
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: College Poll

February 3, 1972

You probably noticed in this week's RNC summary of polls that the college poll reports that President Nixon has improved his campus image substantially. Apparently 72% gave him a favorable rating. You probably already have some plan to get this information out, if so, feel free to disregard this note.
January 31, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: DICK ROMARD
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: College Poll

You probably noticed in this week's RNC summary of polls that the college poll reports that President Nixon has improved his campus image substantially. Apparently 72% give him a favorable rating. You probably already have some plan to get this information out, if so, feel free to disregard this note.
Confidential
Eyes Only

February 4, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR:

HONORABLE JOHN N. MITCHELL
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

FROM:
GORDON STRACHAN

Bob Haldeman has requested that I send you a copy of the
February 3 memorandum from Mr. Buchanan to Messrs. Haldeman
and Colson regarding Ashbrook's people being on the "verge
of filing in California".

Attachment

GS:lm
TO: H.R. Haldeman
FROM: Gordon Strachan

A copy of this should be sent to the Attorney General.
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

February 3, 1972

MEMORANDUM TO: H. R. HALDEMAN
CHARLES COLSON

FROM: PAT BUCHANAN

Have already mentioned this to the Attorney General, but Ashbrook's people are on the verge of filing in California -- and may run there regardless of what happens in N.H. and Florida. This would, of course, be the gravest move he could make -- in terms of November. If the UROC and CRA and Leland Kaiser and the rest go all-out for Ashbrook in the primary and run up any kind of vote -- they will likely "cut" the President in November of 1972 as they did in November of 1962. Unlike N.H. and Florida where the President is much stronger than California -- the Golden State is one that we have to have to guarantee a win in November; it is also a state where the Right is sophisticated and experienced in "cutting" Republicans they do not like. Removing Ashbrook from that primary should be Project A for any conservative friends we have.

Buchanan
February 3, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR:

HONORABLE JOHN N. MITCHELL
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

FROM:

GORDON STRACHAN

Bob Haldeman asked me to send you a copy of Ken Khachigian’s memorandum on Presidential popularity and the trial heat results nationally and among farmers. Khachigian works for Pat Buchanan.

GS:Im
You receive the Gallup Opinion Index monthly that contains the demographic information described in Khachigian's memorandum. However, it is helpful to have him isolate certain groups in which there is considerable interest — farmers and Catholics.

In light of our new policy to send the Attorney General interesting Harris and Gallup poll results, should I send a copy of this memorandum to the Attorney General?

[ ] Yes
[ ] No
MEMORANDUM FOR MR. HALDEMAN
FROM: KENNETH L. KHACHIGIAN

Even though the Gallup Polls are published regularly in the media, the published polls do not give the detail that is often desirable. Each month, Gallup puts out an opinion index which breaks down into subpopulation groups the prevailing opinion that the poll measured.

From time to time I have broken out some of the more interesting data to send to Buchanan and Whitaker. Pat asked that I send these to you on a regular basis each month for the President's perusal. Below are the most recent ones I sent to Buchanan. If there is any particular item you are interested in, let me know, and I can break it out when the index comes to me each month from the RNC.

### FARMERS

#### Popularity (December 10-13, 1971)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>approve</th>
<th>disapprove</th>
<th>no opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Trial Heats (November 19-22, 1971)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RN</th>
<th>Muskie</th>
<th>Wallace</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RN</th>
<th>Kennedy</th>
<th>Wallace</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Humphrey</td>
<td>Wallace</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Farmers</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Farmers</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CATHOLICS**

**Popularity (December 10-13, 1971)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>approve</th>
<th>disapprove</th>
<th>no opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Trial Heats (November 19-22, 1971)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Muskie</th>
<th>Wallace</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RN</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The trial heat should be compared to RN's showing among Catholics in 1968 where he got 33% to HHH's 59% to Wallace's 8%.
January 27, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR:  JEB MAGRUDER
FROM:       GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT:    Copley Film

As I understand it, you and Ken Rietz were working on a Copley film regarding youth. I believe Dean Coffin conducted several interviews with Larry, Steve Bull, Dwight Chapin, etc. Apparently, there will be a film crew at the White House on February 2nd and 3rd, and the scheduled interviewees, on film, are Ron Ziegler (age 33), Ken Cole (age 33), John Dean (age 35), and Fred Malek (age 34). If the goal is to have young people (at least under 30) why not have film interviews with John Andrews, Doug Hallett, Dave Gergen, Steve Karalekas, Coleman Hicks, Mort Allin, Dave Parker, Bruce Kehrli, or even Higby.

Please don't misunderstand -- I'm not trying to get in the middle of another one of your films -- but it just seems that Ken Rietz would want to capitalize on the very substantial number of very young people on the White House Staff, and Ziegler, Cole, Dean and Malek don't meet that test.
MEMORANDUM FOR FOLLOW-UP ON JANUARY 3, 1972

FROM: GORDON STRACHAN

Check with Ken Rietz and McClain regarding the Copley film on youth and Dean Coffin's interviews.
MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON
January 18, 1972
9:00 a.m.

MEMORANDUM FOR:

RON ZIEGLER
KEN COLE
JOHN DEAN
FRED MALEK
STEVE HULI

FROM:

DWIGHT L. CHAPIN

The Copley communications people are in the process of producing a film about young people and the President. Over the past two months they have traveled across the country and talked to hundreds of young people about the President and his administration. They feel that they have a very positive film in the making which can be helpful to the President.

It has been suggested by Ken Rietz at the Committee for the Re-Election of the President that some segments be added to the film where they have some of the President's closest young advisors giving some insight on Richard Nixon.

A crew will be available at the White House on February 2 and 3 to film you, answering some questions about the President. It would take approximately 20-30 minutes of your time.

It is felt that this is a most worthwhile project and we have a great deal of editorial control as to what ends up in the actual film. The film, once completed, will be distributed around the country mainly to youth-oriented groups.

Within the next two days, Terry Decker of my office will call your secretary to see if you agree to participate in this filming and to arrange a time for the 2nd or the 3rd. Meanwhile, we will ask Ken Rietz to pull together some general themes which we would like to get across during your portion of the interview.

cc: Ken Rietz
Tom Bell
Ken Smith

John Andrews
Halley

Steve Javilek
Mostellin
February 1, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: JEB MAGRUDER
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: Campus Polls

You probably noticed the report regarding another campus poll in this morning's news summary in which McGovern won at Stanford. As we have discussed many times, we are under constant pressure to develop an appropriate poll supporting the President. I assume you will cover this with Ken Reitz, and when you submit a report (if that is planned) to the Attorney General I will rush the copy in to Mr. Haldeman.

Another matter that you may want to cover with Ken Reitz is the fact that the 19 year old mayor from Newcomerston who had indicated moderate to conservative leanings at various media interviews is now a delegate for Muskie. If you have a chance could you give me a call and let me know what happened.

GS:1m
I know that there are several projects floating around for the President to meet with certain Members of Congress, both before and after China. One of the matters which appeared in Mr. Haldeman's follow-up, for February 1, concerned the subject of the President possibly meeting with Republican Senators, divided on the basis of liberal and conservative. The reason for the delay in these meetings to February rather than back in November and December when the idea was suggested, was the problem of timing vis-a-vis the primaries. In November, the Attorney General was concerned that any Presidential meeting with Margaret Chase Smith or Hatfield, which would give an indication of the President's support prior to a primary, would be inappropriate. I think it is probably now time to raise this subject again and submit a schedule proposal. Would you check with the Attorney General, and probably MacGregor, to determine whether this proposal should be reactivated?
November 5, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR FOLLOW-UP
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: President's Meeting with Republican Senators

On February 1st, raise again the subject of the President possibly meeting with Republican Senators divided on the basis of liberal and conservative. The reason for these meetings in February rather than in November and December is the problem of timing vis a vis the primaries. The Attorney General is concerned that any Presidential meeting with Margaret Chase Smith or Hatfield which would give an indication of the President's support prior to a primary would be inappropriate. Mr. Haldeman and the Attorney General were to discuss this in Key Biscayne this weekend.
On January 27 we discussed the confusion surrounding the request for the President's time in connection with the Young Voters for the President. As I understood it, you were going to go through your files, get in touch with Ken Rietz, and do a brief note for Bob explaining your part in the event. When do you expect to have that brief note?
February 25, 1972

Dear Mr. Stoll:

Mr. Haldeman has asked me to thank you for your letter dated February 5, 1972. He is travelling with the President in China, so I am responding in his absence.

Be assured that your concern regarding the Jewish vote will receive the proper consideration. It will be forwarded to the proper staff members here at the White House for further evaluation.

Thank you for your concern.

Sincerely,

Gordon Strachan
Staff Assistant
to H. R. Haldeman

Mr. Bruce Stoll
9016 Collett Avenue
Sepulveda, California 91343

GS:jhs

cc: Len Garment
cc: Fred Malek
February 10, 1972

Dear Mrs. Strobel:

Mr. Haldeman asked me to thank you for your note received February 9, 1972.

He asked that I assure you that all of us here are most grateful for your past contributions and assistance, and to further thank you for your support of the President.

Sincerely,

Gordon Strachan
Staff Assistant
to H.R. Haldeman

Mrs. Frank Strobel
Phoenix-Scottsdale Mobile Park
Space 107
16601 N. 29th Street
Phoenix, Arizona
February 4, 1972

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR: MR. TIMMONS
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: Young People on T.V. at Convention

Bob read your proposal on the uses of T.V. at the RNC Convention. One of the aspects which particularly concerns him is how we plan on being sure that on all the T.V. shots plenty of young people are seen rather than the old delegates.

Harry Flemming has been working on the delegate selection process in the states. He has received some rather specific instructions from the Attorney General as to the large number of young people who will serve as delegates.

Bob asked that you, Flemming, and members of your Convention T.V. task force prepare a brief plan as to how T.V. will concentrate on young rather than old delegates.

cc: Harry Flemming

GS:dg
It has been requested that we forward the plan that has been developed for getting young people in the convention. We should be sure that on all the T.V. shots plenty of young people are seen rather than the old delegates.

Please prepare a report on what is being done here.