<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box Number</th>
<th>Folder Number</th>
<th>Document Date</th>
<th>No Date</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Document Type</th>
<th>Document Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/16/1972</td>
<td></td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Magruder, LaRue RE: Received polling information from Executive Director. 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/15/1972</td>
<td></td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Magruder, Flemming RE: Statement from Republican State Chairman in Florida in morning newspaper. 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/14/1972</td>
<td></td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Magruder, LaRue RE: &quot;RNC Research/ DNC Delegate Selection.&quot; 6pgs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/14/1972</td>
<td></td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Magruder, Porter RE: &quot;Celebrities in New Hampshire.&quot; 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Number</td>
<td>Folder Number</td>
<td>Document Date</td>
<td>No Date</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Document Type</td>
<td>Document Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/10/1972</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Nunn, Sloan RE: &quot;Fund Raising Letter.&quot; 5pgs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/22/1972</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>From Strachan To Bradford RE: Response, on behalf of Haldeman, expressing gratitude for support to the President. 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/4/1972</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Evans To Strachan RE: Discussed article from Boston Globe. 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Number</td>
<td>Folder Number</td>
<td>Document Date</td>
<td>No Date</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Document Type</td>
<td>Document Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/3/1972</td>
<td></td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Dean RE: &quot;Republican National Committee Convention--San Diego.&quot; 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/24/1972</td>
<td></td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Flemming RE: Target percentages for delegates from various states to the Convention. 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/28/1972</td>
<td></td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Unk Recipient RE: Theoretical memorandum on the Catholic vote. 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/21/1972</td>
<td></td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>From Strachan To Ferguson RE: Signed petition to change name. 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Number</td>
<td>Folder Number</td>
<td>Document Date</td>
<td>No Date</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Document Type</td>
<td>Document Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/14/1972</td>
<td></td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Unk Recipient RE: Status update on Dartmouth College mock election. 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/10/1972</td>
<td></td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Unk Recipient RE: Follow-up on USIA campaign polls. 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/10/1972</td>
<td></td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>From Strachan To Jannett RE: Tickets to the Equestrian Olympic Games. 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/24/1972</td>
<td></td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>From Strachan To Kruger RE: Response to question regarding the number of Republican voters. 1pg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Number</td>
<td>Folder Number</td>
<td>Document Date</td>
<td>No Date</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Document Type</td>
<td>Document Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/28/1972</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Campaign</td>
<td>Memo</td>
<td>From Strachan To Khachigian RE: News summary on Steinem's comment on Muskie. 2pgs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Presidential Materials Review Board
### Review on Contested Documents

**Collection:** H. R. Haldeman  
**Box Number:** 236  
**Folder:** Strachan Chron A-L February 1972

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Magruder &amp; LaRue, 2/16/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Fleming &amp; Magruder, 2/19/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Magruder &amp; LaRue, 2/14/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Magruder &amp; Porter, 2/14/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Sloan &amp; Nunn, 2/10/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Kehrli &amp; Higby, 2/1/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Return Private/Political Letter, Strachan to Bradford, 2/22/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Retain Open</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Presidential Materials Review Board

Review on Contested Documents

Collection: H. R. Haldeman
Box Number: 236

112 Retain Open
113 Retain Open
114 Retain Open
115 Retain Open
116 Retain Open
117 Retain Open
118 Retain Open
119 Retain Open
120 Retain Open
121 Retain Open
122 Retain Close Invasion of Privacy Memo, Chapin to Strachan, 2/2/72
123 Return Private/Political Memo, Evans to Strachan, 2/4/72.
124 Retain Open
125 Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Cule, 2/8/72.
126 Retain Open
127 Retain Open
128 Retain Open
129 Retain Open
130 Retain Open
131 Retain Open
132 Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Dean, 2/3/72.
133 Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Elliott, 2/21/72.
134 Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Fleming, 2/24/72.
135 Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan for Follow up, 2/26/72.
136 Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Dean, 2/3/72.
137 Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Dean, 2/3/72.
Presidential Materials Review Board
Review on Contested Documents

Collection: H. R. Haldeman
Box Number: 236

138  Retain  Open
139  Retain  Open
140  Retain  Open
141  Retain  Open
142  Return  Private/Personal Letter, Strachan to Ferguson, 2/21/72.
143  Retain  Open
144  Return  Private/Political Memo, Strachan for Follow-up, 2/14/72.
145  Retain  Open
146  Retain  Open
147  Return  Private/Political Memo, Strachan for Follow-up, 2/10/72.
148  Retain  Open
149  Retain  Open
150  Retain  Close Invasion of Privacy Memo, Strachan to CA, [4/21]
151  Retain  Close Invasion of Privacy Transcript, phone conversation, Strachan and Mike Gill, 3/2/72.
152  Retain  Open
153  Retain  Open
154  Retain  Open
155  Retain  Close Invasion of Privacy Memo, Strachan to Keyby, 2/25/72
156  Retain  Open
157  Retain  Open
158  Retain  Open
159  Retain  Open
160  Retain  Open
161  Retain  Open
162  Retain  Open
163  Retain  Open
Presidential Materials Review Board
Review on Contested Documents

Collection: H. R. Haldeman
Box Number: 236

164 Retain Open
165 Return Private/Political Memo, Higby to Strachan, 2/4/72.
166 Retain Open
167 Retain Open
168 Retain Open
169 Retain Open
170 Retain Open
171 Return Private/Personal Letter, Strachan to Javny, 2/4/72.
172 Retain Open
173 Return Private/Political Letter, Strachan to Kruger, 2/14/72.
174 Retain Open
175 Retain Open
176 Retain Open
177 Retain Open
178 Retain Open
179 Return Close Invasion of Privacy Memo, Strachan to Kingsley, 2/8/72.
180 Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Khachigian, 2/15/72.
181 Retain Open
182 Retain Open
183 Retain Open
184 Return Private/Political Memo, Strachan to Khachigian, 1/28/72.
185 Retain Open
186 Retain Open
February 16, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: JEB MAGRUDER
JED MAGRUDER
FRED LaRUE
GORDON STRACHAN

FROM:
FRED LaRUE
GORDON STRACHAN

Bob received the attached polling information from the College Republican Executive Director. In addition to the old question of whether the RNC should be conducting polls, shouldn't Ken Riets be working with this youth group?

Just a thought.

cc: Fred Malek

(Attachments sent to Mr. Magruder only -- no copies made)
February 15, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: JEB MAGRUDER
               HARRY FLEMING
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN

You may have noticed in this morning’s New York Times that the Republican State Chairman in Florida is quoted as saying that Ashbrook will get 15% of the primary vote. Ashbrook himself says “If I could get 30% here that would be regarded as a serious vote and it would evoke a serious financial response.” It seems strange that our own chairman sets a lower target vote than the challenger.

Just a thought.

GS: lm
February 14, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR:

JEB MAGRUDER
FRED LAUER

GORDON STRACHAN

SUBJECT:

RNC Research/DNC Delegate Selection

Is it a productive use of the RNC Research Staff to assess the delegate progress of the Democrats? It may well be, but it seems that this project closely dovetails with the action man you were going to use to implement Timmons' suggestions. At your convenience, would you let me know where the entire DNC Convention project stands?

Thanks.

GS:1m

H PU 2/21/72
February 8, 1972

MEMORANDUM

FROM: GARY BAUER

VIA: DOBOL

RE: THE RACE FOR THE DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATION

The enclosed reports on Iowa and Mississippi are the first in a series of state summaries on the battle for delegates by the Democratic contenders.

The reports will attempt to show as early as possible trends developing across the country as the Democratic national convention approaches in July. Each state report will be updated as the delegate process is completed in that state.

GB/jbt
enclosure

1972 Democratic National Convention Votes -- 46

1972 Delegate Selection Dates
- January 24: precinct caucuses
- February 26: county convention
- March 26: Congressional District convention
- May 20: State convention

Precinct Caucuses -- The Results

Present indications from precinct returns indicate the following strength for the Democratic candidates in each Congressional District:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Congressional District</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Second</th>
<th>Third</th>
<th>Fourth</th>
<th>Fifth</th>
<th>Sixth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Uncommitted</td>
<td>2 Muskie</td>
<td>2 Uncommitted</td>
<td>2 Muskie</td>
<td>2 Uncommitted</td>
<td>2 Uncommitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Muskie</td>
<td>2 Uncommitted</td>
<td>2 Uncommitted</td>
<td>2 Muskie</td>
<td>2 Uncommitted</td>
<td>2 Uncommitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Muskie</td>
<td>2 Uncommitted</td>
<td>2 Uncommitted</td>
<td>1 McGovern</td>
<td>1 McGovern</td>
<td>1 McGovern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Uncommitted</td>
<td>2 Muskie</td>
<td>2 Uncommitted</td>
<td>1 McGovern</td>
<td>1 McGovern</td>
<td>1 McGovern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Muskie</td>
<td>2 Uncommitted</td>
<td>2 Uncommitted</td>
<td>1 McGovern</td>
<td>1 McGovern</td>
<td>1 McGovern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Uncommitted</td>
<td>2 Muskie</td>
<td>2 Uncommitted</td>
<td>1 McGovern</td>
<td>1 McGovern</td>
<td>1 McGovern</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Map of Iowa showing precincts with numbers 1 to 11]
At the state convention where at-large delegates will be selected, projections indicated that Muskie will take five delegates, McGovern three delegates, and the uncommitted will have four delegates.

This would give Muskie 18, McGovern 10, and the uncommitted 18 at the Democratic National Convention in Miami.* These projections are tentative, however. Under Iowa law, no convention delegate can be bound to a candidate -- e. g. the relative strength of the contenders may change as the national convention approaches.

AN ANALYSIS

Muskie -- The Muskie forces hoped for a clear mandate out of Iowa to add to their "bandwagon" psychology. Heavy emphasis was put on big-name endorsements by organization people in the state. The final "coup" of this strategy took place on January 17, when Senator Harold Hughes surprised many state Democrats by announcing support of the Maine Senator. In addition, Muskie had the support of Iowa's 30,000-member United Auto Workers union, the only union in the state to break with labor's general strategy of electing uncommitted delegates. Taking no chances, Muskie still brought in eight last-minute, full-time workers from other states.

In spite of this effort, the Muskie forces could not win a clear majority of delegates -- a fact which will not be lost on Democratic pols around the country.

McGovern -- The Senator established that he is at this point still a viable candidate. He concentrated his efforts on precinct organization and was able to slow Muskie's drive. The McGovern supporters, although a minority, seem to be "activists", who will turn out when needed at the tedious precinct meetings. McGovern showed a lot of his strength in college towns. In Iowa City, heavily populated student precincts produced McGovern majorities and in some instances, support for Congresswoman Shirley Chisholm. McGovern has to have this type of support to have any chance at the nomination.

Labor -- The large number of uncommitted delegates was testimony that in this state, at least, labor strategy was working. Word had gone out from George Meany for labor's minions to elect as many uncommitted delegates as possible in order to have optimum bargaining power at the Miami convention. Labor's muscle, along with the hesitancy of many lower echelon Democrats to commit themselves at this early date resulted in the large uncommitted vote. In addition, the uncommitted vote was added to by supporters of Hubert Humphrey and Edward Kennedy.

*In 1968, Iowa cast 19 1/2 for McCarthy, 18 1/2 for HHH, 5 for McGovern and 3 for Kennedy at the Democratic National Convention.
SUMMARY

The Iowa results are indecisive. Muskie is still the frontrunner for Miami, but he hasn't got it locked up yet. He will need more than big-name endorsements to win the nomination. Labor enjoyed initial success here, but the "uncommitted" strategy will be hard to execute successfully in the other states.
Mississippi seems to be heading toward trouble in Miami. January 22 was the day under the new-reform rules to hold precinct caucuses across the state. The meetings were held by two different Democratic parties.

The morning meetings were held by the "regulars", who control the state party and virtually every public office in the state. Governor Waller is securely in their camp. The evening meetings were held by the "loyalists", the black dominated group that has the official recognition of the Democratic National Committee. Both sets of precinct meetings were nominally "open", but each side refused to take part in the proceedings of the other.

Both sets of delegates are at this point uncommitted, although Charles Evers, the black national committeeman, has pledged to recruit delegates for John Lindsay. Governor Waller of the "regulars" speaks well of Senator Jackson and Congressman Wilbur Mills with more "old-line" members favoring Governor Wallace of Alabama.*

Chances of a compromise slate going to Miami decrease as the Democratic Presidential contenders chose sides in the controversy. Senator George McGovern has already accused the "regulars" of racism. Look for a credentials fight in July, which could split the national Democrats again on the race issue.

*In 1968, Mississippi cast 9 1/2 votes for Humphrey, 6 1/2 for McCarthy, 4 for McGovern, and 2 for Reverend Channing Phillips at the Democratic National Convention.
MEMORANDUM FOR:  
JEB MAGRUDER
        BART PORTER

FROM:
GORDON STRACHAN

SUBJECT:  
Celebrities in New Hampshire

February 14, 1972

You probably noticed in this morning's Wall Street Journal, the comment about which celebrities are going to New Hampshire to support their various candidates. You may recall that we asked the question of which celebrities were scheduled into New Hampshire for the President last week. Could you give me a call and bring me up to date as to whether we have any celebrities scheduled in to New Hampshire?

Thank you.
February 10, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: LEE NUNN
LEE NUNN
HUGH SLOAN
HUGH SLOAN
GORDON STEACHAN
GORDON STEACHAN

FROM: Fund Raising Letter

SUBJECT: Fund Raising Letter

Bob Haldeman asked Ray Price's office to redraft the Wente fund raising letter you will be sending soon. That draft, reviewed and approved by Ray, is attached. You will notice that the improvement in quality is considerable.

Will you let me know when you will be using it? Thank you.

GS:lm
MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

February 4, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: GORDON STRACHAN
FROM: DAVE GERGEN
SUBJECT: Draft Letter

Here is a revised solicitation letter, as prepared by Roland Elliott and Mary Ann Allin and approved by Ray. Most of it is sufficiently well done that we hope to incorporate portions in the campaign package.

I apologize for the delay.

Enclosure.
On January 20, 1969, America was a troubled nation. There was division in the country, disruption on the campuses, inflation in the economy, crime in the cities, powderkegs in the ghettoes, backlash in the suburbs, and two hundred coffins a week coming home from Vietnam.

As Richard Nixon took the oath of office, he inherited this bitter legacy. It required both political courage and statesmanship to move away from war and inflation, up onto the high road of peacetime prosperity. But Richard Nixon, more than any President before him, has quietly presided over major changes in policy and direction in our government, and has restored our faith in the ability of our system to solve its problems and reflect the basic integrity of the American people.

The record of the Nixon Administration is clear: There have been dramatic new initiatives in foreign affairs designed to end our involvement in the Indochina conflict and secure a lasting peace; there have been bold new economic policies to speed our progress toward a sound prosperity; there is a full agenda of domestic programs aimed at government reform to make it truly responsive to the needs of our citizens; and there is much else that remains to be done.
Progress toward achieving what the President has called the "great goals" of our society requires the cooperation and commitment of every American. The vast majority shares the President's conviction that this is a great and good nation, one which has an extraordinary capacity to set out upon a new course of action and bring together diverse elements in a creative force to improve government and our quality of life.

Where there was despair and frustration only a few years ago, there is now a growing sense of hope and faith in the traditional strengths of our institutions and in the fundamental goodwill of our countrymen. The President has made a beginning which urgently merits our support. He deserves and the Nation needs the opportunity to press for completion of his initiatives, both foreign and domestic.

In his campaign for re-election, you can demonstrate your support in a vital and meaningful way -- with your personal check. A contribution of $15 will enroll you as a member of the Committee. But gifts of $25, or $50, or $100, and larger are also welcome! If it is significant to you, it will be significant to us, and you may be sure that every dollar will be used in the re-election of the President. With your financial assistance and that of other concerned individuals, we can mount a campaign that will take the record of this Administration
directly to the people, calmly but forcefully articulating its accomplishments, its far-reaching proposals and its confident vision of the future.

We urge you to join in this high adventure. It will be an alliance of citizens who believe that Richard Nixon has guided the Nation through an era of adversity and has brought us to the threshold of a full generation of peace with prosperity. This is our opportunity, in Lincoln's words, for us to "dare to do our duty as we understand it."
MEMORANDUM FOR: LARRY HIGBY  
BRUCE KEHRLI  
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN  
SUBJECT: Absentee Voting in California  

February 1, 1972  

I checked with my Placer County Clerk, Maurene I. Dobbas, regarding the requirements for your application for absentee ballots to assure your California residence for voting purposes. She reports that the law requires that you file with the County Clerk a request for an absentee ballot stating your absence from the state due to government service, 54 days prior to the primary election. Since California's primary is on June 6, you must have completed the transaction with your county clerk by April 13. Since it usually takes a few days for them to send the necessary forms for your signature here in Washington, I would suggest that you write and get the process going in the near future. Larry, in your letter, I would mention the fact that you will have a court proceeding pending in your county of residence, Los Angeles.
February 22, 1972

Dear Mr. Bradford:

Mr. Haldeman is travelling with the President in China this week, therefore I am responding to your letter of February 10 in his absence.

I know he would want me to thank you for your expression of support for the President, and will of course be interested in the poster you enclosed that was prepared by your granddaughter.

Sincerely,

Gordon Strachan
Staff Assistant
to H. R. Haldeman

Mr. Curtiss H. Bradford
24374 San Marcus Road
Carmel, California 93921

GS:jz
MEMORANDUM FOR GORDON STRACHAN

Attached is the article from the Boston Globe which we discussed yesterday.

[Signature]

Administratively Confidential

MEMORANDUM FOR: KEN COLE
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: Harris Domestic Issues Poll

February 8, 1972

Bob Haldeman asked Bob Teeter, the Campaign Polling Consultant, to prepare the attached analysis of the September 1971 Harris Domestic Issues poll. Bob asked that Mr. Ehrlichman and you receive a copy of Teeter's analysis and control distribution within the Domestic Council as you did with the original Harris Issues Poll.
February 3, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR:  
JOHN DEAN

FROM:  
GORDON STRACHAN

SUBJECT:  
Republican National Committee Convention -- San Diego

Bob Haldeman read your memorandum on Potential Disruptions at the Republican National Convention, which summarizes all of the negative things that may happen at the end of August in San Diego.

By Monday, February 7, he wants your description of the positive things that are planned. Football games, surfing contests, and rock concerts have been suggested. These plans need not be detailed or finally approved by all of those who might be interested. Instead, he wants a 500-750 word summary of your ideas. He emphasized that he wanted this report Monday even if it required a good deal of effort this weekend.

Thank you.

Due Date
February 7, 1972

G.S: c.a.m.
March 21, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: ROLAND ELLIOTT
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: Political Letters

As we discussed on the telephone, it is probably not a wise idea to send presidential letters to all the members of The Young Voters for the President Committee. However, there are five individuals who should receive letters. These letters should refer to the fact that the President was sorry that he had not been able to meet with them during their White House tour and thanking them for their personal support and the sacrifices that they are making on his behalf. Another line that we would want to include would make a reference to his current trip to China. Would you please see that the following people receive these letters from the President:

Mr. and Mrs. Chad Everett
Wife: Shelby Grant
8469 Hollywood Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90069

Mr. and Mrs. Stanley Livingston
Wife: Sandy Livingston
12712 Landale
Studio City, California 91604

Mrs. Gary Collins
Stage name: Mary Ann Mobley
2751 Hutton Drive
Beverly Hills, California 91604

Miss Kathy Garver
3450 Fawstall Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90201

Mr. Marlin McGeever
1524 Keel Drive
Corona Dell Mar, California 92625

Thank you very much.
February 14, 1972

TO:    GORDON STRACHAN
FROM:  BART PORTER

Per our conversation of this morning.
MEMORANDUM FOR: MR. HENRY CASHEM
FROM: HERBERT L. PORTER

The following celebrities came to Washington from Los Angeles on January 24 – 25 to participate in the press conference announcing the Young Voters for the President Committee. Thank you letters from the President should be sent to them saying, "He was sorry not to have been able to have met with them during their White House tour and thanking them for their support and the personal sacrifices that they are making on his behalf."

Mr. and Mrs. Chad Everett
Wife: Shelby Grant
6469 Hollywood Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90069

Mr. and Mrs. Stanley Livingston
Wife: Sandy Livingston
12712 Landale
Studio City, California 91604

Mrs. Gary Collins
Stage name: Mary Ann Hobley
2751 Hutton Drive
Beverly Hills, California

Miss Kathy Garver
3850 Fawtell Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90201

Mr. Martlin McKeever
1524 Keel Drive
Corona Dell Mar, California 92625

The letter should go to both of them, since they are both celebrities.

They are both celebrities as well.

Mrs. Collins attended without her husband.

Miss Garver was unescorted.

Mr. McKeever attended without his wife.
MEMORANDUM FOR: LARRY HIGBY  
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN  
SUBJECT: Political Letters

In light of our new system to further screen the non-essential material away from Bob, we should decide whether the President will send the letters suggested by the Committee to Re-Elect the President. Ken Rietz and Ken Smith suggest that the President send personal letters to the Young Voters for the President. This is the group that came to the White House on short notice last week. Rietz is recommending that the President send personal letters to the attendees.

Roland Elliott's view is that these letters are too blatantly political. He relies on Bob's comments at the staff briefing last week about being "non-political". Ray Price and Dave Gergen have also urged a decrease in the "political" activities.

Bart Porter, who is charged with overall responsibility for this area said the group received plenty of "stroking". Therefore, unless I hear otherwise from you, I will ask Elliott not to get the letters and so inform the Committee to Re-Elect the President.

Attachment
February 3, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Gordon Strachan

SUBJECT: Political Letters

The attached materials from Ken Smith of the Committee for the Re-Election of the President appear to be of a strictly political nature. That is, there seems to be no reasonable justification for accommodating this request other than for political campaign purposes. If this is the case, then it is in conflict with my understanding of recent guidelines which preclude this sort of activity. Your guidance and that of your office would be appreciated in this matter. Thank you.

Attachments

Roland L. Elliott

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL
February 1, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: ROLAND ELLIOTT
FROM: KEN SMITH
SUBJECT: Presidential Letters To The Charter Members Of The Young Voters For The President Committee

As you may know, the formation of the Young Voters for the President was announced last week by Senator Brock.

The group was made up of a cross section of well known young people (see attached list). They were given a tour of the White House by Mike Farrell and spent a few minutes with Dr. Kissinger, Bob Finch and Chuck Colson.

Due to his working on the Vietnam speech, the President was unable to meet with them. We feel it would be appropriate for a letter to be sent to each, expressing the President's regret at not being able to meet with them and his appreciation of their public declarations of support.

- Names and addresses are attached. If you have any questions, please call.

cc. Ken Rietz
YVP COMMITTEE MEMBERS

ABATE, JOE
28 West Gate Room 405
Trenton, New Jersey 08609
# 609-989-7600

ALWORTH, LANCE
Dallas Cowboys
616 No. Central
Dallas, Texas
# 214-369-3211

ASHE, VICTOR
Knoxville County Office
211 West Hill Avenue
Knoxville, Tennessee
# 615-525-0338

BOX, BRENDA
Miss Texas Pagaent
P.O. Box 1329
San Antonio, Texas 78295
# 512-926-8429

BUONICONTI, NICK
Miami Dolphins
330 Biscayne Blvd.
Miami, Fla.
# 305-379-1851

CAMPBELL, TON
62 Dale Rose Court
San Francisco, California 94014
# 415-334-1111 (San Fran home)
# 408-274-3541 (San Fran Office)
# 408-274-1170 (San Jose radio station)
# 408-289-1111 (San Jose Radio)

CLEMENCE, KENT
Student Body President
University Of Southern Presi
Los Angeles, California 90007
# 213-746-6525
# 213-746-9942 (fraternity)

CULLEN JO ANN
256 West Circle and Porter Avenue
Bristol, Pennsylvania 19007
# 215-788-7519

EASTWOOD, CLINT
Universal Studios
Universal City, California
# 213-985-4321

EVERETT, CHAD & SHELBY (Grant)
8469 Hollywood Blvd.
Los Angeles, California 90069

FORSYTH, JANENE
5938 Wilson Blvd
Arlington, Virginia
# 533-7932

GARVER, KATHY
350 Sautelle
Los Angeles, California 92625

GRAEBNER, CAROL AND Clark
139 East 66th St.
New York City, New York 10021
# 212-737-2013

GRIESE, Bob
Miami Dolphins
330 Biscayne Blvd.
Miami, Fla.
# 305-379-1851

HUGHES, GARY
301 Thorn Lane Apt. 3A
Newark, Delaware 19711

LIVINGSTON, STANLEY & SANDY
12712 Landale Street
Studio City, California 91604
# 213-980-1126

LUNN, ROBERT
3645 Fulton Avenue
Sacramento, California 95821

MCKEEVER, MARLIN
1324 Keel drive
Corona Del Mar, California 92625

McNAUGHT, HARRY
820 Hilltop Lane
Loganport, Indiana
# 219-753-9517

MARELLI, HARLAND
860 So. Avenue S.E.
Washington D.C.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mason, Tommy</td>
<td>P.O. Box 20001, Minneapolis, Minnesota</td>
<td># 612-881-113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modley Mary Ann (Collins)</td>
<td>2721 Hutton Drive, Beverly Hills, Cal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman, Gwen</td>
<td>7912 Beechnut Road, District Heights, Maryland 20008</td>
<td>#3362497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Neal, Lacey</td>
<td>4207 Blaire St. N.E., Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>#308-5861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schollander, Don</td>
<td>Lewis &amp; Clark College, Oregon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shealy, Sherry</td>
<td>Lexington Court House, Lexington, So. Carolina</td>
<td>#359-3400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelly, Kenneth</td>
<td>Downey, California</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheltor, Debbie</td>
<td>L282 So. Alhambra Circle, Apt. 1-N4, Coral Gables</td>
<td>#302-678-4738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Jody</td>
<td>Mayor of Ayreshire, Iowa, Ayreshire, Iowa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jo Jo Starbuck</td>
<td>Downey, California</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroy, Esther</td>
<td>5311 8th St. N.W., Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>#723-8763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundquist, Don</td>
<td>13616 Pleasant Lane, Burnsville, Minnesota 55378</td>
<td>#507-451-6410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vittert, Mark</td>
<td>408 Olive Street, St. Louis, Mo.</td>
<td>#314-621-4433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells, Barbie</td>
<td>Republican National Committee</td>
<td>#484-6500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilchinski, Eddie</td>
<td>330 Camden Syroming Ave., Camden, Delaware 19963</td>
<td>#302-678-4738</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional information to follow, incomplete addresses.
February 24, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: HARRY FLEMMING
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN

We have talked several times about target percentages for delegates from the various states to the Republican National Convention. As you know there is particular concern about the number of young people on the delegations as well as the number of women. Now that most of the state chairmen have been selected and many of the delegates are being selected, could you give me a brief update as to how many delegates there will be, the number of under age 30 delegates, and the percentage of women on most of the delegations?

Thank you.

GS:dg:GS:dg

cc: Fred Malek
February 28, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: FOLLOW-UP
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN

Check with Father McLaughlin on March 7 regarding his theoretical memorandum on the Catholic vote.

GS:car
February 21, 1972

Dear Mike:

Larry Bigby signed the Petition to Change Name. His signature was notarized. The originals of these materials are enclosed for your handling.

Larry is currently in China with the President. He will return around March 1. Do you think you could let me know by then how long the proceedings will take and the approximate court costs?

With best wishes.

Sincerely,

Gordon Strachan

Michael C. Ferguson
Attorney at Law
2000 Center Street, Suite 206
Berkeley, California 94704

GS:dg
February 14, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR FOLLOW-UP for FEBRUARY 27

FROM:       GORDON STRACHAN

On February 27 check with Jeb Magruder and Ken Riets regarding the status of the Dartmouth College mock election that is scheduled for February 28.
On February 15th -- Check with Larry Higby to see if he has had a chance to call Frank Shakespeare regarding the USIA Campaign polls.
MEMORANDUM FOR: LARRY HIGBY
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: Political Letters

In light of our new system to further screen the non-
essential material away from Bob, we should decide whether the President will send the letters suggested by the Committee to Re-Elect the President. Ken Riets and Ken Smith suggest that the President send personal letters to the Young Voters for the President. This is the group that came to the White House on short notice last week. Riets is recommending that the President send personal letters to the attendees.

Roland Elliott's view is that these letters are too blatantly political. He relies on Bob's comments at the staff briefing last week about being "non-political". Ray Price and Dave Gergen have also urged a decrease in the "political" activities.

Bart Porter, who is charged with overall responsibility for this area said the group received plenty of "stroking". Therefore, unless I hear otherwise from you, I will ask Elliott not to get the letters and so inform the Committee to Re-Elect the President.

Attachment

GS:1m
MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

February 3, 1972

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Gordon Strachan

SUBJECT: Political Letters

The attached materials from Ken Smith of the Committee for the Re-Election of the President appear to be of a strictly political nature. That is, there seems to be no reasonable justification for accommodating this request other than for political campaign purposes. If this is the case, then it is in conflict with my understanding of recent guidelines which preclude this sort of activity. Your guidance and that of your office would be appreciated in this matter. Thank you.

Attachments

[Signature]

Roland L. Elliott

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL
MEMORANDUM FOR: ROLAND ELLIOTT
FROM: KEN SMITH
SUBJECT: Presidential Letters To The Charter Members Of The Young Voters For The President Committee

As you may know, the formation of the Young Voters for the President was announced last week by Senator Brock.

The group was made up of a cross section of well known young people (see attached list). They were given a tour of the White House by Mike Farrell and spent a few minutes with Dr. Kissinger, Bob Finch and Chuck Colson.

Due to his working on the Vietman speech, the President was unable to meet with them. We feel it would be appropriate for a letter to be sent to each, expressing the President's regret at not being able to meet with them and his appreciation of their public declarations of support.

- Names and addresses are attached. If you have any questions, please call.

c.c. Ken Rietz
ABATE, JOE
28 West Gatej Room 405
Trenton, New Jersey 18609
# 609-989-7600

ALMOUTH, LANCE
Dallas Cowboys
6116 No. Central
Dallas, Texas
# 214-369-3211

ASHE, VICTOR
Knoxville County Office
211 West Hill Avenue
Knoxville, Tennessee
#615-525-0338

BOX, BRENDA
Miss Texas Pagaent
P.O. Box 1329
San Antonio, Texas 78295
# 512-926-8429

BOGICONTI, NICK
Miami Dolphins
330 Biscayne Blvd.
Miami, Fla.
# 305-379-1851

CAMPELL, TOM
62 Dale Rose Court
San Francisco, California 94014
# 415-334-1111 (San Fran home)
# 408-274-3541 (San Fran Office)
# 408-274-1170 (San Jose radio station)
# 408-289-1111 (San Jose fraternity)

CLEMENCE, KENT
Student Body President
University Of Southern Presi
Los Angeles, California 90007
# 213-746-6525
# 213-746-9942 (fraternity)

CULLEN JO ANN
33 West Circle and Porter Avenue
Bristol, Pennsylvanias 19007
#215-788-7519

EASTWOOD, CLINT
Universal Studios
Universal City, California
# 213-985-4321

EVERETT, CHAD & SHELBY (Grant)
8469 Hollywood Blvd.
Los Angeles, California 90069

FORSYTH, JANENE
5936 Wilson Blvd
Arlington, Virginia
# 533-7932

GARVER, KATHY
3450 Sautelle
Los Angeles, California 92625

GRAEBNER, CAROL AND Clark
139 East 66th St.
New York City, New York 10021
# 212-737-2013

GRIESE, BOB
Miami Dolphins
330 Biscayne Blvd.
Miami, Fla.
# 305-379-1851

HUGHES, GARY
301 Thorn Lane Apt. 3A
Newark, Delaware 19711

LIVINGSTON, STANLEY & SANDY
12712 Landale Street
Studio City, California 91604
# 213-980-1126

LUNF, ROBERT
3645 Fulton Avenue
Sacramento, California 95821

MCKEEVER, MARLIN
1524 Keel drive
Corona Del Mar, California 92625

McNAUGHT, HARRY
820 Hilltop Lane
Loganport, Indiana
# 219-733-9517

MARBLEY, HARLAND
860 So. Avenue S.E.
Washington D.C.
# 561-0113
2.

MASON, TOMMY
F.O. Box 20001
Minneapolis, Minnesota
# 612-881-113

MOBLEY, MARY ANN (COLLINS)
2731 Hutton Drive
Beverly Hills, Cal.
#

NORMAN, CHEN
7912 Beechum Road
District Heights, Maryland 20008
#3362497

O'NEAL, LACEY
4207 Blair St. N.E.
Washington, D.C.
#398-5861

SCHOLLANDER, DON
Lewis & Clark College
, OREGON

SHEALY, SHERRY
Lexington Court House
Lexington, So. Carolina
#359-3400

SHELLY, KENNETH
Downey, California

SHELTON, DEBBIE
282 So. Alhambra Circle
Apt. 1-H4
Coral Gables
#

SMITH, JODY
Mayor of Ayshire, Iowa
Ayshire, Iowa

JOO JO STARBUCK
Downey, California

STROY, ESTHER
5513 8th St. N.W.
Washington, D.C.
#723-8763

SUNDQUIST, DON
13616 Pleasant Lane
Burnsville, Minnesota 55378
#507-451-6410

VITTEPT, MARK
408 Olive Street.
Stl Louis, Mo.
# 314-621-4433

WELLS, BARBIE
Republican National Committee
# 484-6500

WILCHINSKI, EDDIE
330 Camden Symonng Ave.
Camden, Delaware 19963
#302-678-4738

Additional information to follow incomplete addresses.
February 10, 1972

Dear Mr. Jannett:

James Kilroy of the Los Angeles Olympic Committee suggested that I write you regarding tickets to the Equestrian Olympic Games in München in August and September of 1972.

We are particularly interested in the dressage and wonder if it would be possible to obtain four tickets. Also, could you advise me about obtaining tickets to observe the warm-ups?

Thank you for your assistance.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,

Gordon Strachan
Staff Assistant to H.R. Balseman

Mr. Christian Jannett
Chief of Protocol
Organizing Committee for the 20th Olympic Games
Sarrstrasse
8 München
13 Germany

GS:Im

(Request came to HRT from his sister Betsy on 1/26)
February 24, 1972

Dear Miss Kruger:

Mr. Haldeman is in China this week with the President, therefore I am responding to your letter of February 11, in his absence.

With regard to your question, the number of voters in each state, and the number of Republican voters in each state, changes annually. In order to receive the correct answer to your question, you should contact Ed DeBolt, Republican National Committee, 310 First Street, SE., Washington, D.C.

Sincerely,

Gordon Strachan
Staff Assistant
to R. R. Haldeman

Miss Roberta Kruger
1910 Lake Street
Snohomish, Washington 18290

GS:inn
MEMORANDUM FOR: KEN KHACHIGIAN
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN
SUBJECT: Meany Statement

February 15, 1972

It might be very valuable to obtain a full transcript of Meany's press conference yesterday. As you probably noticed, he made a series of interesting statements regarding who he would support in November as well as expressing his opinion that Muskie was aiding the enemy. If you can obtain a copy of the transcript, please send a copy to me as we would also like to keep it in our files here.

Also, the Broder column this morning on the candidates views on bussing is rather interesting in that it sights only the most recent statements by the candidates. It might be valuable to have the RNC update that booklet they did contrasting the various views that all of the candidates have taken on bussing over the last few years. In particular, Muskie's statement on "Meet the Press" is a substantial change from his position last fall. When you acquire the material it might be valuable to consider distribution.
Candidates Differ on Busing, As

By David S. Broder
Washington Post Staff Writer

With the question of busing and school integration in the headlines, The Washington Post has collected brief statements of position on the issue from most of the major Democratic and Republican candidates challenging President Nixon's re-election.

The statements are excerpted from recent press conferences, speeches and position papers. Statements were not available from Bert Lance, the Georgia congressman, and Los Angeles Mayor Sam Yorty, but both have been critical of recent court decisions ordering busing.

Here are the others, all Democratic except for Gov. George Busbee of Georgia:

John Ashbrook and Paul McCluskey:

Rep Shirley Chisholm

"There would be no busing controversy if local schools furnished quality education to all of their children, both black and white. But since they have refused to do so ... I support busing as a temporary means to aid the integration of our public schools. I believe that through this process, we can also insure a greater quality of education for all children. I believe in the Supreme Court's order. We need to send our children to school."

Sen. Vance Hartke

"Busing has both good and bad connotations. Just as busing is not the answer to the problem of maintaining segregation in our schools, busing of children solely for the purpose of achieving the proper racial balance is a temporary answer to a serious and complicated issue."

"Busing is not and has never been the real issue. The real issue has always been the achievement of quality education for all children. If we get education of the Supreme Court, then we get the white children."  "That is why I am recommending a program to improve poor schools—so children won't have to be bused in the future—and to provide the extra teachers and tools necessary to educate those who need special help."

Sen. Henry M. Jackson

"Unlike most of the other presidential candidates, I have said from the start that I am opposed to forced busing. But I have also said I was against a system that gives a rich child a better public education than a poor child."

If it's public, it should be equal. But forced busing, based on race, does not achieve this objective. On the contrary, it singles out a child because of the color of his skin and sends him off to school in a strange, sometimes distant neighborhood. And with all that, there is no guarantee of a better school at the end of the bus ride.

I have no quarrel with a voluntary system under which children can be transported to a better school in order to receive a better education. But why should a parent be forced to decide that his child must be transported far from home to get a good education?"

Mayor John V. Lindsay

"Busing is not the tools that has to be used ... If it's public, it should be equal. But forced busing, based on race, does not achieve this objective. On the contrary, it singles out a child because of the color of his skin and sends him off to school in a strange, sometimes distant neighborhood. And with all that, there is no guarantee of a better school at the end of the bus ride."

"That is why I am recommending a program to improve poor schools—so children won't have to be bused in the future—and to provide the extra teachers and tools necessary to educate those who need special help."

Busing Triggers Ga. School Boycott

AUGUSTA, Ga., Feb. 14 (UPI)—A new school integration protest which started as a one-day boycott was put into effect in Richmond County (Augusta) today and triggered a widespread boycott by whites.

Augusta officials said more than half of the county's students—12,908 out of a total enrollment of 36,000—stayed away from classes. The total included two-thirds of the 2,458 students in the seven schools involved directly in "Phase I" of the integration plan.

Richard Anderson, a leader in the "Citizens for Neighborhood Schools" group, said he felt the boycott was going to help help President Nixon "make up his mind on the anti-busing amendment. The President knows this kind of thing is not right.

Anderson said parents were going to take their children to their old schools each day and attempt to enroll them. "We're going to follow the system, call the roll, have a short prayer, but we're not going to let our children get on the buses," he said.

There was no violence, but...
singing, Agree on Quality Schools

indicative, I think the federal court says it has

the federal court says it has
to be used, that court

court decision has to be supported.

But I believe in it as a matter

as a temporary measure,

The larger question is the nature of all education. It

It needs a lot of help and

will have quality public education

integrated housing patterns, they are going to have problems

completed their job.

"No one is suggesting that kids should spend hours on a bus. The bus is not the focus. The question is what kind of education is that child getting."

Eugene J. McCarthy

When the court decision is made, I think the obligation of the President is to support it. I think the Richmond decision (on cross-district busing) was all right. The public schools in this country... were conceived as an instrument through which everyone would have equal educational opportunity. That has not been honored altogether, but that was the concept that was preordained and the poor in the same school was asking them to

"We are now asking them to take on an additional burden, which is to provide an integrated society to deal with the racial problem. I think it is quite in order for us to call upon the public school system to bear a significant burden in this effort."

Sen. Edmund S. Muskie

busing is the best desired way of dealing with the problem. Beside the Richmond decision unadvisedly identified as the problem, it diverts our attention from the education which is bringing quality education within the reach of every black child, whoever he or she is.

"Our courts have said that busing is a tool, and it is a tool. I support the Supreme Court decision. But I think it has its limitations. In my state, busing is resisted without a racial involvement in the question at all, wholly on the basis of time and space. So I think it has to be taken into account..."

Sen. George McGovern

"If you're ready to put in the money necessary to busing education within the reach of every child, you're going to see a decrease in minority school populations reduced. Every poll we take, where we ask this question, indicates that blacks as well as whites are not happy about busing"...

Rep. John Ashbrook

Explaining why he signed legislation, Ashbrook said..."the word to bring an antibusing amendment to a vote in the House. In my judgment, enactment of student strictly to achieve racial balance would be the beginning of the end of neighborhood schools in America, and I don't believe that Americans, black or white, want this to happen."

"The evidence is overwhelming that busing is not the answer to the complex problems faced by schools today..." a total solution, as is so often the case in the South and border states. To go all, however, as we legislate, against the use of federal funds for court-ordered desegregation by busing is in, at my judgment, inappropriate.

"I am hopeful that during the next decade we will see an end to both personal tutoring practices and private conduct which results in segregation in our own housing patterns..."
January 28, 1972

Administratively Confidential

MEMORANDUM FOR: KEN KHACHIGIAN
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN

Another interesting note in this morning's News Summary is Gloria Steinem's comment on Muskie. She is quoted as saying "he is bad on women's issues" and afflicted with a strong temper. It would be interesting to keep her exact quote should she later endorse him.

GS:dg

Follow-up one week.
Swiss police requested the FBI to question Irving and his wife "without delay" in connection with the $650,000 Hughes mystery.... Lasky says there's no way to determine if the whole Hughes bio is a hoax, but "considerable doubt" has been cast upon the Hughes-Nixon loan segment. Clifford denies it's factual, says Lasky, and Hughes Tool spokesmen point out that the story is "rehashed" every time RN runs. In fact, Lasky notes that Justice -- under RFK -- investigated the story in '61 and found nothing illegal had occurred. Those who put the "autobiography" together have probably done RN a favor by surfacing the loan story so early this year as it's now "fully discredited," says Lasky.

George Randolph Hearst Sr., the eldest son of the late William Randolph Hearst and longtime exec of the Hearst publishing empire, is dead at 67.

A "spontaneous" write-in campaign for VP Agnew has begun in N.H., but without VP Agnew's knowledge or support, according to organizer Peter Borras.

The number of GOPers among Calif. registered voters has dropped to its lowest percentage in 22 years, Secy. of State Brown reported. Brown said figures show that the number of registered GOPers dipped from 41.2 to 38.8% last year. The Dems rose by 6% to total 55.2%.

Gloria Steinem said Reagan suffers from a Hollywood image of masculinity and Wallace is clear "off the chart." She also said Muskie is "bad on women's issues," and afflicted with a strong temper.

Ripon Forum says moderate Calif. Republicans fear RN is sacrificing his re-election and "preparing a statewide disaster for '74 " by acquiescing to conservative domination. Nofziger is charged with being more interested in "gaining a right-wing stranglehold on statewide nominations for '74 than he is in re-electing RN. The Riponers cite distress among fundraisers, especially Firestone, and say the GOP outlook in Calif. is "bleak."