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August 10, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: DWIGHT CHAPIN
FROM: H. R. HALEDEMAN

I was a little alarmed to learn from Maurice Stans today that Wally Hickel has accepted Stans' invitation for Hickel to second the nomination of the President.

Stans tells me that Bill Timmons told Stans to ask Hickel and Stans has done so.

Stans further advises that the price for this seconding will be that Hickel wants to talk with the President about the active role he will play in the campaign and also he wants some responsibility next year such as the Arctic Commission or a trip to China on a part-time basis.

This is apparently totally out of control. I wonder how many other seconding speech commitments have been made prior to approval!

HRH:pm
MEMORANDUM FOR: MAURICE STANS
FROM: H. R. Haldeman

Taft Schreiber called the other day to say that he feels that your two current fund raisers in New York are not aggressive enough. He cited a couple of examples where they could have raised much more and suggests using Gus Levy, or other aggressive individuals. Will you call Schreiber and cover this matter with him?

He may have some ideas about fund raisers in connection with Bob Ellsworth; also, his political organization within the Wall Street Community.
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