

Richard Nixon Presidential Library  
Contested Materials Collection  
Folder List

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
8	15	9/10/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	White House Staff	Memo	From Strachan to Higby RE: Nofgizer's worry that Haldeman does not appreciate him. Handwritten note added by unknown, possibly Higby. 1 pg.
8	15	12/21/1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Nofziger to Haldeman RE: union activities and Democratic spending. 2 pgs.

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

AS File  
RAC

September 10, 1971

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR: LARRY HIGBY  
FROM: GORDON STRACHAN G  
SUBJECT: Lyn Nofziger

I talk with Lyn Nofziger two or three times a week and have seen him on weekends for tennis recently. He called today and in confidence asked me whether there was "any negative attitude toward him by Mr. Haldeman." He was somewhat concerned that there might be because he has heard such a rumor from two sources (which he would not disclose) here at the White House. If there is any problem Lyn is most anxious to "iron things out" at the earliest possible time.

Let him keep wondering —  
L

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

December 21, 1970

FOR: H. R. Haldeman  
FROM: Lyn Nofziger *LN*  
RE: Action Memo on Democratic Spending

I have a pretty thorough file on Democratic contributions and spending, as filed nationally.

I hope to have additional information from the major states sometime in January. In addition, I expect to begin getting some reports on what the unions did, because it is becoming more and more obvious that the unions, despite our wooing of them, were the difference in 1970 -- just as they nearly pulled out the 1968 election.

In California, for instance, the AFL-CIO put together its own "Cal-Plan" and must be credited with the Democratic victory in the legislature. It also financed the heavy Democrat registration campaign.

Frankly, I think we are paying too little attention to the unions as the political force behind the Democrat Party. I think, as we learn what they have done and are doing, we should move actively to counteract them.

For this purpose, we need to know which unions are spending the most political money, where the concentrations of union labor are and what media serves those areas.

We need at the National Committee the development of a program aimed at filling in the available media in those areas with 1) what the unions are doing in the name of ALL union members; 2) the records of those they support; 3) correcting the lies and distortions that constantly appear in union papers.

We need to find Republicans in positions of leadership in all the unions who will help in these endeavors. These people should be formed into a national organization so they speak with some authority and not merely as dissident workers.

To make this effective, we have to make sure the Justice Department would move speedily any time any of our pro-Administration union men were intimidated, attacked or otherwise set upon for espousing our cause.

We need to tighten up on law violations, with much publicity and a few indictments to scare the unions off.