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MEMORANDUM FOR:  
H. R. Haldeman

FROM:  
Gordon Strachan

SUBJECT:  
H. Ross Perot

He called you today to ask for a brief meeting with you tomorrow morning. I told him I would check.

Perot has still not contributed to the 1972 campaign to re-elect President Nixon, according to Tom Evans. Perot has called Evans, indicated he wanted to see him about contributing, but reviewed his laments at length:

1) Perot is "less than enchanted by the treatment he receives from the White House Staff, but he likes the President".

2) Perot wants a "signal directly from Haldeman" as to whether the Administration wants Perot to contribute in light of charges of favoritism, etc. He suggested to Evans a post-election contribution.

As you may recall from the extensive study done on Perot in January 1972, he has contributed nothing and received a great deal. Instead of telling Perot to "put up or shut up" (a view which Butterfield, Cole, Higby and I periodically favor), I should advise Perot that you will not see him but encourage him to meet with Tom Evans.
MEMORANDUM FOR:  H. R. Haldeman
FROM:  Gordon Strachan
SUBJECT:  H. Ross Perot

He called you today to ask for a brief meeting with you tomorrow morning. I told him I would check.

Perot has still not contributed to the 1972 campaign to re-elect President Nixon, according to Tom Evans. Perot has called Evans, indicated he wanted to see him about contributing, but reviewed his laments at length:

1) Perot is "less than enchanted by the treatment he receives from the White House Staff, but he likes the President".

2) Perot wants a "signal directly from Haldeman" as to whether the Administration wants Perot to contribute in light of charges of favoritism, etc. He suggested to Evans a post-election contribution.

As you may recall from the extensive study done on Perot in January 1972, he has contributed nothing and received a great deal. Instead of telling Perot to "put up or shut up" (a view which Butterfield, Cole, Highby and I periodically favor), I should advise Perot that you will not see him but encourage him to meet with Tom Evans.

GS/jb