Richard Nixon Presidential Library Contested Materials Collection Folder List

Box Number	Folder Number	Document Date	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Document Type	Document Description
53	37		✓	Personal	Letter	From Dean to Ehrlichman RE: "Estate Plan" 3pg

	DOCUMENT		DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD [NIXON PROJECT]												
JMENT DOCUMENT SUBJECT/TITLE OR CORRESPONDENTS								DATE	RESTRICTION						
-1 '93]	Meno	From Estate	Pean, Plan	19:	Ehrlicher	na n,	Re:	6-13-72	C						
			,												
FILE GROUP TITLE								BOX NUMBER							
ER TITLE	***********			7					~						
ease would ional securit ding or appr its. ease would d	violate a Federal ty classified inform roved claim that re constitute a clearl	statute or Agenc nation. lease would viola	y Policy. Ite an individual's	RE	E. F. G.	financial in Release wo enforcemen Withdrawn	formation, uld disclose invest t purposes, and return private	igatory informatio e and personal ma	n compiled for law teriat.						
	AROUP T R TITLE R TITLE R TITLE R TITLE R TITLE R S. ase would a libel of a t	AROUP TITLE STAFF BROUP TITLE STAFF ER TITLE Papers ase would violate a Federal ing or approved claim that re s. ase would constitute a clearly libel of a tiving person.	ROUP TITLE STAFF SEUTE TAI STAFF SEUTE TAI TITLE Papers Project ase would violate a Federal statute or Agence anal security classified information. Ing or approved claim that release would viola s. ase would constitute a clearly unwarranted in libel of a living person.	BROUP TITLE STAFF. SEUKEJAKY BITTLE BAPENS PROJECT INE BAPENS PROJECT INE asse would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy. In a security classified information. Ing or approved claim that release would violate an individual's see would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy. In gor approved claim that release would violate an individual's see would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy libel of a living person.	SROUP TITLE STAFF, SEUKEJAKY IT TITLE STAFF, SEUKEJAKY IT TITLE Papers Project INFT Base would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy. Inal security classified information. Ing or approved claim that releases would violate an individual's ase would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy	SROUP TITLE STAFF. SEUTICIARY ENTITLE ESTAFF. SEUTICIARY ENTITLE Papers Project INT ENTITLE Papers Project INT ENTITLE Base would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy. That is evoluted information. The statute of a fiving person. Entities and the statute of the statute	SROUP TITLE STAFF, SEURE LARY FR TITLE FREE STAFF, SEURE LARY FR TITLE Papers Project DET as would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy. The security classified information. The security classified information of privacy the security classified information. The se	933 Estate Plan Insour Title Estate Plan STAFF, SEUKEJAKY Entruction codes Bapers Project INET Entruction codes Base would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy. Ing or approved claim that release would visites an individual's as would contract information. Ing or approved claim that release would visites an individual's as would outpresent entrue of the provestige of a living person. E. Release would disclose trade finance interview of the provestige of the prov	BOX NUMBER STAFF. SELIKEIAKY BOX NUMBER 22 S BOX NUMBER 23 S BOX NUMER 23 S BOX NUMER 23 S BOX NUMER 23 S BO						

Presidential Materials Review Board

Review on Contested Documents

- **Collection:** Staff Secretary **Box Number:** 126
- Folder: Paper Project [VI]
- Document Disposition
 - 93 Return Private/Personal

June 13, 1972

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR:

JOHN EHRLICHMAN

JOHN DEAN

SUBJECT:

FROM:

Estate Plan

Attached is the latest draft by DeMarco of the Estate Plan. You will note from the summary (Tab A) that it has been re-designed to minimize the tax problems and maximize the control factors we discussed at our last meeting with Frank, Gordon and Bob. In fact, the DeMarco plan is now virtually indistinguishable from the Ritzel plan. You will note from the correspondence from DeMarco (Tab B) that copies were also forwarded to Ritzel. Gordon has had an off-the-record conversation with his former associate in the firm who has been working with Ritzel on the plan and apparently they also find the latest DeMarco draft virtually in accord with their earlier recommendations.

It seems we are at last getting close to an agreement with all outside counsel as to what the plan should be, although we have not yet had an "official" response from Ritzel and Alexander. You will recall that on May 23rd John Alexander wrote you a rather blunt letter (Tab C) asking you to put them back in the ball game. Tod forwarded that correspondence to me to handle, but I have deferred action because I was aware of the imminent DeMarco plan and its similarity to the Ritzel plan.

Where do we go from here to get this sage ended and a plan for our client? I recommend the following actions:

(1) That you send the letter at Tab D to John Alexander.

(2) That I follow up the letter with a call to Ritzel, and seek to get their recommended changes and general blessings.

(3) That I either get Ritzel and DeMarco together or discuss any changes with DeMarco to resolve matters of difference.

(4) That if we have a problem with Ritzel and Alexander, we ask John Mitchell to intercede and put the matter to rest.

(5) That we plan a meeting (hopefully of the love feast variety) with you, URH, DeMarco, Ritzel, Gordon and myself to nail the matter down.

(6) That we arrange for signing by the client and plan to have Ritzel and DeMarco (possibly Alexander also) present.

Agree

Disagree

Comments

DRAFT

Dear John:

I regret that a rather hectic schedule has made it impossible for me to focus on the development of the President's Estate Plan during the past weeks, but hopefully matters have progressed without me. I understand that Frank DeMarco has prepared a revised draft and forwarded to you for review. While I have not reviewed the DeMarco draft in detail, it appears to be consistent with your earlier recommendations.

I know I speak for the President when I say that he feels your concurrence in any recommendation is essential and, while much of the mechanical phases of developing the plan have been handled by the President's California counsel, he is relying heavily on your counsel as well. Accordingly, I am hopeful that you will be able to complete your review of Frank DeMarco's drafts and that we can resolve any differences without delay.

With best regards and much appreciation, I am

Sincerely,

John Ehrlichman