Richard Nixon Presidential Library Contested Materials Collection Folder List

Box Number	Folder Number	Document Date	No Date	Subject	Document Type	Document Description
51	1	8/2/1973		Campaign	Letter	From Kent Smith to Richard Nixon. RE: Media's distortions. 1pg.

Tuesday, June 12, 2012 Page 1 of 1

DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD [NIXON PROJECT]									
DOCUMENT NUMBER	DOCUMENT TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE OR CORRESPONDENTS	DATE	RESTRICTION					
	letter	SUBJECT/TITLE OR CORRESPONDENTS		RESTRICTION					
FILE GROUP	TITLE		BOX NUMBE	R -O					

FOLDER TITLE 20

RESTRICTION CODES

- A. Release would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy.
 B. National security classified information.
 C. Pending or approved claim that release would violate an individual's rights.
 D. Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy or a libel of a living person.
- E. Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information.
 F. Release would disclose investigatory information compiled for law enforcement purposes.
 G. Withdrawn and return private and personal material.
 H. Withdrawn and returned non-historical material.

Presidential Materials Review Board Review on Contested Documents

Collection: President's Personal Files

Box Number: 41

Folder: S [5 of 7]

<u>Document</u> <u>Disposition</u>

104 Return Private/Political

KENT H. SMITH WOODSTOCK ROAD GATES MILLS, OHIO 44040

August 2, 1973

The President
The White House
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. President:

Thank you for your friendship evidenced by inviting me to join you for a short discussion after dinner Tuesday evening.

In our talk I reflected the concern of many of your friends: that the news media seems to put distortions and misrepresentations on your every pronouncement, creating festering wounds that need to be healed. I wanted to suggest an agency through which this healing could take place.

Of all the news media agencies, the one that has seemed to give you the fairest and most unbiased press has been the Christian Science Monitor. I know the editor, John Hughes, personally. I asked him if he was sympathetic with this "healing" objective. He assured me that he was and would be willing to go to Washington and work confidentially with you. It seemed to me very important that copyrighted copy, published identically as released, would be given worldwide publication through syndicated news service to 180 newspapers.

We, who know you, are aware that you work closely with principle. There should be a way to make this understanding of you more widespread.

Sincerely,

Kent H. Smith