

Richard Nixon Presidential Library
Contested Materials Collection
Folder List

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
9	4	4/3/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	White House Staff	Memo	From Higby to Strachan RE: project to be paid for by John Mitchell. 1 pg.
9	4	4/20/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Higby to Colson RE: the positions of the various Democratic candidates for the presidency on China. 1 pg.
9	4	4/19/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Higby to "Follow-Up" RE: Democratic positions on China. 1 pg.
9	4	4/19/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Higby to Colson RE: tactics to use against McCloskey. 1 pg.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
9	4	4/7/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Higby to "Follow-Up" RE: analysis of previous presidents' campaign strategies. 1 pg.
9	4	4/24/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	White House Staff	Memo	From Higby to Haldeman RE: Colson and a possible advertisement. 1 pg.
9	4	4/20/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Higby to Haldeman RE: use of San Diego for the site of the 1972 Republican National Convention. 1 pg.
9	4	4/7/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	White House Staff	Memo	From Higby to Haldeman RE: Haldeman's trip to Colonial Williamsburg. 1 pg.
9	4	4/29/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Higby to Strachan RE: a man named Gillenwater in California. 1 pg.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
9	4	4/24/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Higby to Strachan RE: use of polls in key states. 1 pg.
9	4	4/20/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Higby to Strachan RE: campaign spending. 1 pg.
9	4	4/15/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	Domestic Policy	Memo	From Higby to Strachan RE: Arlan Spector. 1 pg.
9	4	4/13/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	White House Staff	Memo	From Higby to Strachan RE: use of Sloan for money and a telephone conversation between Haldeman and Kalmbach. 1 pg.
9	4	4/12/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Higby to Strachan RE: polling data. 1 pg.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
9	4	4/12/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Strachan to Higby RE: obtaining specific polling data. 1 pg.
9	4	4/9/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	White House Staff	Memo	From Higby to Strachan RE: "the Magruder matter." 1 pg.
9	4	4/6/1971	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Higby to Strachan RE: a memo for RN. 1 pg.

April 3, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: GORDON STRACHAN
FROM: L. HIGBY

Will you please follow-up on the attached note from Ailes. I talked to Bob and he indicated that this project should be paid for by John Mitchell, so if an invoice comes in to Huntsman or someone, make sure it is forwarded over to Mitchell for payment.

LH:kb

April 20, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: CHUCK COLSON

FROM: L. HIGBY

In a discussion with Bob, he noted that none of the major contenders, i. e., Muskie, Humphrey, McGovern, or Kennedy have come out with any statements with regard to China.

They always seem to be able to make a comment when something is going wrong with the country, now what has happened to these great potential leaders of the nation when something like this has gone right?

Is there anything we can get going here?

LH:kb

April 19, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR FOLLOW-UP

Follow up and check on what Hubert, Teddy, and Muskie have said with regard to China. Have they said anything?

DETERMINED TO BE AN
ADMINISTRATIVE MARKING
E.O. 12065, Section 6-102
By B. J. [unclear] NARS, Date 5-22-82

April 19, 1971

CONFIDENTIAL/EYES ONLY

MEMORANDUM FOR: CHUCK COLSON
FROM: LARRY HIGBY

With regard to McCloskey, the White House must ignore him,
but we should see if Saxby could be used to hit him.

Would you please check this out.

cc: Gordon Strachan

April 7, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: FOLLOW-UP

FROM: L. HIGBY

Follow-up with Magruder on Friday regarding the report on what Ike, Johnson and Kennedy did with regard to dampaing.

April 24, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR H. R. HALDEMAN

FROM : L. HIGBY

SUBJECT : Chuck Colson on the Idea of the Ad That Was Discussed
Last Evening with Regard to Mathias

Colson felt this was a bad idea and suggested that it not be done.

LH:pm

202
April 20, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: H. R. HALDEMAN
FROM: L. HIGBY
SUBJECT: Investigation of San Diego as
Possible Convention Site

As a result of your discussion with the Attorney General in San Diego as a possible Convention site, you may want to follow-up in talking to Dick Capen.

You will recall that Capen was mentioned as one of those individuals who perhaps along with Timmons, would informally check out San Diego as a possibility as the Convention site.

Capen is leaving DOD effective May 1 and plans on staying there up to that date.

I don't know if this causes a problem or not, but there was an indication in your conversation that some preliminary decisions needed to be made by May 1.

My suggestion would be that I call Bill Timmons and explain the situation and have him informally talk to Capen to see if they couldn't get away for a few days -- perhaps a weekend before May 1 and explore this situation.

LH:kb

April 7, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR H. R. HALDEMAN

FROM : L. HIGBY

SUBJECT: Colonial Williamsburg

I talked to Carl Humelsine today and confirmed all your arrangements for Williamsburg. Below is some additional information about the trip.

Upon arrival at Colonial Williamsburg you should go directly to the Williamsburg Inn and have a Bellman direct you to the house you will be staying in for the weekend.

There will be a maid in each morning to care for the house and there is a fully equipped kitchen in the house. Anytime you should decide to go out to eat, you should just sign H. R. Haldeman -- Norton-Cole House and that will cover the bill. To make reservations you should call the operator at CA 9 - 1500. The operators will be thoroughly aware of your visit and will make sure you get reservations.

I talked to Ehrlichman's office regarding helicopter service to Williamsburg. They indicated that John has helicoptered to Williamsburg twice. One time it was legitimate - he had to give a speech and was late getting out of here because the President wanted to talk about something with him. The other time, Jana believes he transported his family and part of another family on the premise that he had to make a speech. I am sure if we asked Hughes he would set up the transportation but I think we ought to play it by ear on this question for awhile.

LH:pm

JPC
April 29, 1971
Chow

MEMORANDUM FOR: GORDON STRACHAN
FROM: L. HIGBY

With regard to the attached memo on the 1972 GOP Convention site -- Haldeman raised this subject the other day in a meeting with Ed Reinecke -- Lt. Governor of California and Gillenwater of his office. As a result of that meeting Gillenwater is going to cause a proposal to be made to the RNC.

Timmons should get in touch directly with Gillenwater and see exactly what is happening here and then let you know. In either case, there is probably not a need now for an immediate trip as a result of this Haldeman meeting.

Attachment

LH:kb

APC
April 24, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR GORDON STRACHAN

FROM : L. HIGBY

It seems to me that the methodology you're proposing in your key states memo on polling is really not sound in order to get a reading of what the effect of a visit is. You need to poll right before that visit and then repeat the same poll using the same questions right afterward. I don't see that you're polling that here.

What we really should be using the poll for is to get a feeling for what the key concerns are in key states and then play off those key concerns in planning the visit - i. e. where we go, and also what we say while we're there.

This may be a waste of time, but before we drop it completely it's something that should be considered.

I think you should plan your proposal based on that concept - something I don't feel that you're doing with the current memorandum you submitted.

LH:pm

April 20, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR :

GORDON STRACHAN

FROM :

L. HIGBY

Where do we stand with regard to Campaign Spending. Is this issue on track or is there an update that Haldeman should receive on it?

LH:pm

DETERMINED TO BE AN
ADMINISTRATIVE MARKING

E.O. 12065, Section 6-102

~~CONFIDENTIAL/EYES ONLY~~

By *B. J. [unclear]* NARS, Date *3-22-82*

April 15, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR : GORDON STRACHAN

FROM : L. HIGBY

To confirm our conversation, Arlan Spector of Philadelphia, wants to help in the Campaign. He is Jewish and Liberal on law and order.

He would be a good state chairman, please follow up on this matter.

LH:pm

CONFIDENTIAL/EYES ONLY

April 13, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: GORDON STRACHAN
FROM: L. HIGBY

As follow-up on a phone conversation Haldeman had with Herb Kalmbach yesterday afternoon at 5:00 -- please check with Rose Woods and get Bill Rhyne's phone number for Herb so Herb can call Rhyne to follow-up.

The Sloan situation was reviewed again and it was finally agreed that anybody who should be requesting money should go to Sloan and Sloan in turn would check with you. The point should be made that there are very few people in here who have the right to go to Sloan -- only the senior people in certain offices -- i. e. , Morgan, Colson, Dent, Klein, possibly Magruder. Cashen and people under Klein do not have the right to go directly to Sloan, they have to go through their senior person.

LH:kb

CONFIDENTIAL

April 12, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: GORDON STRACHAN
FROM: L. HIGBY

Will you please check immediately with Benham and draft a response for your signature to H of what the total Republican and total Conservative verses Lean mean in each of the demographic breakdowns. As you can see, they don't add up right.

It would be my thought that probably total Republican, total Democrat and total Independent would add up to something close to the total number of respondents and the rest would be made up of "Don't Know", but this isn't indicated there. Check this out and find out what it means. For our purposes, total Republican and total Democrat and the same for the Conservative and Liberal, is all that is necessary plus, of course, the Independent. We don't need the leaners included on the demographic breakdown, although, of course, we'd want to ask the question.

LH:kb

April 12, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: GORDON STRACHAN

FROM: L. HIGBY

In light of the fact that from now on the Identification question will not be used by Gallup but only the Registration question, let's have ORC rerun some of our recent data or check some of our recent data and if it is already in there, find out what would happen if people were screened only by the fact of whether or not they were registered, but not by the fact of whether or not they could identify candidates. See how this would change the rating on one or two of our surveys.

LH:kb

April 9, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: GORDON STRACHAN

FROM: L. HIGBY

As you know, Bob called the Attorney General and did not discuss the Magruder matter with him.

This whole matter does, however, continue to be a problem. We should do something so Magruder doesn't feel he has gotten away with lightly.

For that reason, I would think it best you not talk to Magruder on this subject.

In addition, you should know that Magruder has been given an okay by Bob to try and get people transferred over to the RNC for working on these projects.

The same rule would apply here, however, they should not go over at an increase in salary.

Hope this is satisfactory.

LH:kb

April 6, 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: GORDON STRACHAN
FROM: L. HIGBY

While the attached is Presidential outbox, we should make sure the following steps are taken:

1. A xerox of the complete package should be forwarded back to Pat Buchanan so that he can see the President's comments. The comments are for his information only and he should not assume to take action until you have talked to him.
2. Next, what we would like to have done is to have Pat prepare similar memos not only on Muskie, but the other leading candidates, i. e., Kennedy, Humphrey, and perhaps McGovern or whoever he feels would be most appropriate.

In addition you should follow-up specifically on the other points the President has made in the memo.

Make sure we establish the watch as indicated not only on Muskie but on the other candidates as I have said; perhaps you should put a proposal in on how you plan to do this.

If you have any questions about this, see me.

LH:kb