

Richard Nixon Presidential Library  
Contested Materials Collection  
Folder List

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
50	29	7/31/1972	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Letter	From June Cox to Rose Mary Woods RE: Campaign theory. 3 pgs. Attached handwritten copy.

**DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD [NIXON PROJECT]**

DOCUMENT NUMBER	DOCUMENT TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE OR CORRESPONDENTS	DATE	RESTRICTION
N-1 [Doc 106]	Memo	Woods to Elliott, re: Stab- Able	11/30/71	C (Nix)
N-2 [Doc 107]	Memo	Bull to <del>Wain</del> <sup>re:</sup> Governor Connally	8/1/73	C (Nix)
N-3 [Doc 108]	Letter	June Cox to Woods, re: theory [with attached handwritten copy] [attached to <sup>over</sup> letter, woods to cox, 8/17/72]	7/31/72	C (Nix)

FILE GROUP TITLE

PPF

BOX NUMBER

22

FOLDER TITLE

C [3 of 4]

RESTRICTION CODES

- A. Release would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy.
- B. National security classified information.
- C. Pending or approved claim that release would violate an individual's rights.
- D. Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy or a libel of a living person.

- E. Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information.
- F. Release would disclose investigatory information compiled for law enforcement purposes.
- G. Withdrawn and return private and personal material.
- H. Withdrawn and returned non-historical material.

Presidential Materials Review Board

Review on Contested Documents

**Collection:** President's Personal Files

**Box Number:** 22

**Folder:** C [3 of 4]

<u>Document</u>	<u>Disposition</u>
106	Retain Close Invasion of Privacy
107	Retain Close Invasion of Privacy
108	Return Private/Political

626 Boulevard  
Westfield, New Jersey 07090

(Postmarked July 31, 1972)

Dear Rosemary:

This letter is confidential and meant for you alone to read - that is why I will mark it "personal". If, therefore, your secretary opens it I would appreciate very much if she would treat this confidentially and see that you get it to read personally.

You will recall the circumstances under which we met and my deep concern at that time for the campaign methods being used. It was this concern which made me persevere until I attained an appointment with you in order that I might share the thoughts I had as to the strategy being used.

Rosemary, I have a theory concerning what has happened and is happening thus far in the campaign and I want to make very sure that you have given or do give thought to this. It might be a justified concern or may prove to be false - I don't think we can afford to overlook it knowing the tactics which have been used in the past.

Could it be that the opposition actually planned to have the 2nd spot on the ticket filled by a man whose health record is one with psychiatric care involved?

Do you recall the Bailey report? Do you remember - recall - its purpose? I would not be surprised that there is some such existing report which is the result of long research for the express purpose of cornering a large slice of the electorate. If your candidate needed a "miracle" to be elected and he had all the readily recognized bloc votes and he wanted the largest existing group irrespective of party - where do you find this group. I believe statistics, prove that an unusually high percentage of the American families - if not all - have had at least one member under psychiatric care. Regardless of your party, you could be sentimentally drawn to such a man.

You're almost casting a vote of confidence for yourself -

In other words could the strategist have come up with a means of emotionally "controlling" the election?

You remember J.F.K. was elected because he was a Catholic and the American people never realized they were used via the Bailey Report predictions.

Could this be the exact same thing?

It's almost like being against Motherhood to be against one who has come through this medical history and become a Senator.

Another point - the news commentators stated that this was information that Pres. Nixon and Rep. had had since the campaign in Mo. Can it be supposed that the Dem. didn't have this same knowledge? Can we believe that a man running for Pres. could so carelessly choose his running mate that he wouldn't examine his history, his last campaign? If you say, "Yes, it could be" then here's another - can you believe that the Kennedy strategists, who are running this show and who overlook nothing, didn't know about this? This I can hardly believe. These people have been running the show from the start. Ted K. has been present at all the top secret meetings (a local delegate stated that to a friend and it was repeated to us). Are we supposed to believe that this man, winning all the primaries, still hadn't researched his candidates for the 2nd spot? Inefficiency of the highest degree, if this be so. I'd rather believe that this was done knowingly - without the subject knowing he was being used. He's more convincing that way and he really is!

One other point -- all this, even though it is never meant to win (but rather a dress rehearsal for '76) will make the tarnished record of the '76 candidate look unblemished by comparison and we will have fully tasted the "emotional backlash" of the American public.

Just suppose this is true - there is only one way to handle it and that is head on.

There's only one thing the American public loves more than truth and that is to be let in on all the truth and we have it as we did in '60 - we are most foolish if we don't use it this time.

Paid prime time with our highly respected President exposing the Bailey report, the stolen election (with proof from sources like Chicago Tribune, etc.) and are they up to the same thing all over again?

Another reason for it? Lack of funds and the President's refusal to debate. TV exposure is costly. With this they are getting it free from every imaginable source. It was a calculated risk and a good one knowing the American people.

These are all suppositions, I agree -- I wanted you to know my thoughts because they are both logical and true to their past performance.

Excellent to hear Vietnam vet and POW wives speaking for the Nixon policies - should be more.

Hope this reaches you.

Most sincerely,

s/June Cox  
(Mrs. W. R. Cox)

636 Boulevard  
Cheyenne, WY  
87005

Dear Rosemary:

This letter is confidential & meant for you alone to read that is why I will mark it "Personal". If, therefore, your secretary opens it I would appreciate very much if she would treat this confidentially and see that you get it to read - personally.

You will recall the circumstances which were met and my deep concern at that time for the Campaign methods being used. It was this concern which made me - personally - want I obtained an appointment with you in order that I might show the thought I had as to the

opinion program of concerning a large slice of the electorate. If your candidate needed a "miracle" he should & he had all the readily recognized blue votes & he wanted the largest existing group irrespective of party - when do you find this group? I believe statistics prove that an unusually high percentage of the American families of not all - have had at least one member under psychiatric care - regardless of your party, you could be sentimentally drawn to such a man. You're almost casting a vote of confidence for yourself -

In other words could the strategy have come up with a means of immediately "controlling" the election?

You remember J.F.K. was elected because he was a Catholic & the American people were prejudiced they were used via the Bailey Report predictions.

Could this be the start of a thing?

It's almost like they signed 'Methuend' to be against me & the two come through the medical history & become a Senator.

Smith Point - the news commentators stated that there was information that Ross Nixon & Rip had had some

his campaign in D.C. Can it be supposed that the film didn't have this same knowledge? Can we believe that a

man running for Pres. would so casually show his running mate that he would not examine his

history, his past campaign? If you say, "Up, it could be 'then there's another - Can you believe that the

Kindly obligate with one running the show & who own both accounts, over to him - what is this? Can

hardly believe - when people have been running the show from the start -

Ted K. has been found at all the top secret meetings (a local obituary stated that top secret files opened as) the one supposed

strategy being used.

(Rosemary, I have a theory

concerning what has happened & is

happening there for the campaign

& I want to make very sure that

you have given me the same thought

is this. It might be a possibility

concern or may serve to be false -

I don't think we can afford to

overlook it knowing that letters which

have been used in the past.

Could it be that the operation

actually performed to have the end

spot on the table filled by a man

whose health would be me with

psychics concerned?

Do you recall the Daisy report?

Do you remember recall of programs?

I would not be surprised that there

is some such existing - report which

is the result of a long research, for the

to believe that this man, winning all the primaries, still hadn't revealed his candidates for the 2nd spot? Implying of the highest degree of this too.

I'd rather believe that this was done knowingly - without the subject knowing he was being used. He's more convincing that way & he really is.

One other point - All this, even though it is never meant to win (but rather a dress rehearsal for '76) will make the tarnished record of the '76 candidate look unblemished by comparison & we will have fully told the "instinctual backlash" of the American Public.

Just suppose this is true - there is only one way to handle it & that is to do -

There's only one thing the American Public loves more than truth & that is to be let in on all the truth & we have it as we did in '60 - We are most fearful of war don't you see it this time.

101

Paid Jim this with our  
highly respected President exposing  
the Bailey report, the stolen election  
(with proof from sources like Chicago Tribune  
etc) & and they up to the same thing all  
over again?

Another reason for it? Lack of funds &  
the President refused to debate. T.V.  
exposure is costly - with this they are  
getting it free - from every imaginable source -  
It was a calculated risk & a good  
one knowing the American people?

There are all suggestions, I agree -  
I wanted you to know my thought  
because they are both logical & true to  
their past performances -

Excellent to hear Vietnam Vet & POWs  
speaking for the Nixon police - should be more

Hope this reaches you -

Most Sincerely  
James Cox  
(Mrs. W. R.)