

Richard Nixon Presidential Library
White House Special Files Collection
Folder List

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
61	13	10/19/1962	Letter	Letter from Roland Curran to Herbert Kalmbach. 3 pgs including attachments.
61	13	10/16/1962	Memo	Memo to Bob Haldeman from Murray Chotiner. Subject Joe Shell. 4 pgs including attachments.
61	13	n.d.	Letter	Letter to Republicans from John Edwards. 2 pgs.
61	13	n.d.	Newsletter	Joe Shell for Governor newsletter. 2 pgs.
61	13	05/28/1962	Letter	Letter from George Delury to Bob. 1 pg.
61	13	05/23/1962	Letter	Letter from George and Elenore Elliott to Friends. 1 pg. Duplicate not scanned.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
61	13	07/23/1962	Memo	Joe Shell Dinner at Knott's Berry Farm. 3 pgs.
61	13	n.d.	Letter	An open letter to Dick Nixon. 7 pgs.
61	13	06/15/1962	Letter	Letter with attachment from John Vaughn to Daniel Waters. 3 pgs.
61	13	n.d.	Memo	Handwritten notes about Shell TV. 1 pg.

file

NIXON FOR GOVERNOR

KERN COUNTY COMMITTEE



1682 CHESTER AVENUE
BAKERSFIELD, CALIFORNIA
PHONE 323-7405

*Bot A.
T. H. and
Kern*

CO-CHAIRMAN:
S. B. "BARNEY" GIL
KENNETH WEGIS

TREASURER:
GEORGE PARKER

SECRETARY:
MILDRED SANDERS

CO-ORDINATOR:
ROLAND CURRAN

FINANCE CHAIRMAN:
HARRY THOMPSON

October 19, 1962

Mr. Herbert W. Kalmbach
3908 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles 5, California

Dear Herb:

Enclosed please find a copy of a proposed California Voter's
Draft of Joe Shell for Governor. I am sure you will be interested
in the stupid effort of some of our die-hard people.

I do not know how widely this is being disseminated, but Dave
Cruikshank, who received this mailing, has been one of the
active leaders in our Young Republican group.

With cordial personal regards,


Sincerely,

Roland Curran

Roland Curran
Co-Ordinator

RC:ms

CALIFORNIA VOTERS DRAFT OF
 JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR
 P. O. BOX 54242 - Terminal Annex
 Los Angeles 54, California



ORGANIZE LOCALLY
 FOR INFORMATION WRITE ABOVE

WHY ARE WE DRAFTING JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR? BECAUSE WE, DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS, ARE SICH AND TIRED OF COMPROMISING OUR PRINCIPLES. THIS IS THE TIME WE MUST STAND UP FOR OUR CONVICTIONS. THIS IS NOT A PROTEST WRITE-IN, BUT AN INFORMED CITIZENS' DRAFT OF A MAN WITH PRINCIPLES. PREVIOUS ELECTIONS IN CALIFORNIA BY LAW ALLOWED THE VOTERS TO CROSS FILE AND MAKE A SELECTION OF A FAVORITE CANDIDATE OF ANY PARTY. THE CALIFORNIA VOTERS IN THE JUNE 1962 PRIMARY ELECTION EXPRESSED A VERY CONSERVATIVE VOTE WITH THE DEFEAT OF HOUSING PROPOSITION # 4, AND ALL THE WASTEFUL AND UNNECESSARY BONDS. WITH THIS EXPRESSION AGAINST BROWN'S ADMINISTRATION AND NIXON'S IDENTICAL POSITION, AND A REVIEW OF THE VOTES CAST FOR ALL CANDIDATES, THE CONSERVATIVE JOSEPH C. SHELL IS THE FAVORITE AND WILL BE DRAFTED BY THE VOTER WRITE-IN.

JUNE, 1962 PRIMARY ELECTION
 (RESULTS)

NOVEMBER, 1962 GENERAL
 (PREDICTION)

NAME	DEMOCRATIC VOTE	REPUBLICAN VOTE	
BROWN	1,739,792	5,236	1,300,000
NIXON	35,883	1,285,151	1,300,000
SHELL	66,712	656,542	1,700,000
HAMILTON	90,472		
MOORE	100,237		
STEUART	103,654		
GALE		17,369	

WYCKOFF (PROHIBITION PARTY) 1,944

NON-PARTISIAN TOTAL VOTE UNABLE TO MAKE AN EXPRESSION IN THE PRIMARY 215,902

WE CAN ELECT JOSEPH C. SHELL AS THE THIRD WRITE-IN GOVERNOR IN THE HISTORY OF THE UNITED STATES.

GET YOUR THINKING CAPS OUT, AND YOUR WALKING SHOES ON - WE HAVE WORK TO DO.

CALIFORNIA VOTERS' DRAFT OF JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR

"(3) LET NO MAN DECEIVE YOU BY ANY MEANS: FOR THAT DAY SHALT NOT COME, EXCEPT THERE COME A FALLING AWAY FIRST, AND THAT MAN OF SIN BE REVEALED, THE SON OF PERDITION: (4) WHO OPPOSETH AND EXALTETH HIMSELF ABOVE ALL THAT IS CALLED GOD, OR THAT IS WORSHIPPED: SO THAT HE AS GOD SITTETH IN THE TEMPLE OF GOD, SHEWING HIMSELF THAT HE IS GOD."

11 Thessolonians 2: 3,4.

CALIFORNIA VOTERS ARE SAYING: " WE DON'T WANT BROWN and WE'RE AFRAID OF NIXON ". WHY? YOU BE THE JUDGE - COMPARE AND EXAMINE THE RECORDS FURTHER.

BROWN	NIXON
Honorary Chairman California Democratic Council (promotes socialistic one-world government)	Member of Council Foreign Relations (promotes socialistic one-world government)
Favors UNConstitutional interference with the rights of citizens	Favors UNConstitutional interference with the rights of citizens
Against Patriotic Organizations	Against Patriotic Organizations
Approves Godless, one-world government (destroying our Constitution)	Approves Godless, one-world government (destroying our Constitution)
Supports government bureaucracy	Supports government bureaucracy
Favors Repeal of Connally Amendment	Favors Repeal of Connally Amendment
Favors confiscation of private property	Favors confiscation of private property
Opposes Student Loyalty Oath	Opposes Student Loyalty Oath (Wall Street Journal 5/19/60)
<u>EXPOSING NIXON</u>	<u>EXPOSING BROWN</u>

We could go on but space does not permit. But after comparing both Brown and Nixon in person, on TV and the news media, can you conscientiously cast your vote for either one?

If you vote for either Brown or Nixon, you are in effect giving a mandate for more liberal, socialistic administration.

QUOTING THE WALL STREET JOURNAL (October 5, 1962):

"The most common reply of California voters is 'I wish I had a third choice'...."

CALIFORNIANS, YOU DO HAVE A THIRD CHOICE. WRITE IN

Joseph C. Shell
and use the rubber stamp

Edmund G. (Pat) Brown

Richard M. Nixon

Joseph C. Shell

(use rubber stamp)

Joseph C. Shell elected to the State Legislature in 1953, re-elected to the Assembly in 1954, '56, '58 and '60. He has been Floor Leader for the past three years. Joseph C. Shell is currently serving on the following Legislative committees: Government Organization; Industrial Relations; Manufacturing; Oil and Mining Industry; Revenue and Taxation. Shell is completely familiar with California's problems, legislative processes and people. He is a Conservative and has a ten year voting record to prove it.

Your vote for Joseph C. Shell will decide if we can regain our Constitution and the Bill of Rights as it was before amendments by legislation and the administration's appointed Supreme Court - without the knowledge of the people.

INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

N i x o n f o r G o v e r n o r

To: Bob Haldeman
From: Murray Chotiner
Subject: Joe Shell
Distribution:

Date: October 16, 1962

Just had a call from Ruth Helms at Culver City Nixon Headquarters (VE. 78311) informing me that "Draft Shell" literature is out. We don't know source - it may be YRs.
Suggest you call Joe Shell and get him to issue disclaimer immediately.

Bob -

- she also called me this morning --- one of the Nixon-Airs received two different letters...one completely unidentified - no names - but a return P.O. box No. -- the other signed by a John Edwards of 1718 Federal Ave., L.A. 25.

- I asked her if she would send us in a copy of each -- and she is putting in the mail to us today.

Dorothy.

~~file~~
file

10-16

4 P.M.

Murray C. just brought this down -- (see attached memo)....

= he thinks Nate White could probably check out the P.O. box -- find out who rented it.

= also -- definitely feels this indicates they have taken time, spent money, to get this thing in the mail --- which makes it appear ~~like~~ possible it could be a pretty wide movement at work....therefore thinks Joe Shell should definitely come out with a statement.....

and in this connection - both Cap Weinberger and Joe Martin (who are here and with whom Murray had just spoken about this) - feel we should do nothing -- that a statement from Shell or otherwise taking action on it would only serve to publicize it -- which they think would be a mistake.

= Murray doesn't agree with them at all....he thinks the Joe Shell statement should be sought.

CALIFORNIA VOTERS DRAFT OF
 JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR
 P. O. BOX 54242 - Terminal Annex
 Los Angeles 54, California



ORGANIZE LOCALLY
 FOR INFORMATION WRITE ABOVE

*Peggy Widman
 914 S. Olive St
 Los Angeles 15
 Calif.*

WHY ARE WE DRAFTING JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR? BECAUSE WE, DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS, ARE SICK AND TIRED OF COMPROMISING OUR PRINCIPLES. THIS IS THE TIME WE MUST STAND UP FOR OUR CONVICTIONS. THIS IS NOT A PROTEST WRITE-IN, BUT AN INFORMED CITIZENS' DRAFT OF A MAN WITH PRINCIPLES. PREVIOUS ELECTIONS IN CALIFORNIA BY LAW ALLOWED THE VOTERS TO CROSS FILE AND MAKE A SELECTION OF A FAVORITE CANDIDATE OF ANY PARTY. THE CALIFORNIA VOTERS IN THE JUNE 1962 PRIMARY ELECTION EXPRESSED A VERY CONSERVATIVE VOTE WITH THE DEFEAT OF HOUSING PROPOSITION # 4, AND ALL THE WASTEFUL AND UNNECESSARY BONDS. WITH THIS EXPRESSION AGAINST BROWN'S ADMINISTRATION AND NIXON'S IDENTICAL POSITION, AND A REVIEW OF THE VOTES CAST FOR ALL CANDIDATES, THE CONSERVATIVE JOSEPH C. SHELL IS THE FAVORITE AND WILL BE DRAFTED BY THE VOTER WRITE-IN.

JUNE, 1962 PRIMARY ELECTION
 (RESULTS)

NOVEMBER, 1962 GENERAL
 (PREDICTION)

NAME	DEMOCRATIC VOTE	REPUBLICAN VOTE	
BROWN	1,739,792	5,236	1,300,000
NIXON	35,883	1,285,151	1,300,000
SHELL	66,712	656,542	1,700,000
HAMILTON	90,472		
MOORE	100,237		
STEUART	103,654		
GALE		17,369	
WYCKOFF (PROHIBITION PARTY)	1,944		

NON-PARTISIAN TOTAL VOTE UNABLE TO MAKE AN EXPRESSION IN THE PRIMARY 215,902

WE CAN ELECT JOSEPH C. SHELL AS THE THIRD WRITE-IN GOVERNOR IN THE HISTORY OF THE UNITED STATES.

GET YOUR THINKING CAPS OUT, AND YOUR WALKING SHOES ON - WE HAVE WORK TO DO.

CALIFORNIA VOTERS' DRAFT OF JOSEPH C. SHELL FOR GOVERNOR

"(3) LET NO MAN DECEIVE YOU BY ANY MEANS: FOR THAT DAY SHALT NOT COME, EXCEPT THERE COME A FALLING AWAY FIRST, AND THAT MAN OF SIN BE REVEALED, THE SON OF PERDITION: (4) WHO OPPOSETH AND EXALTETH HIMSELF ABOVE ALL THAT IS CALLED GOD, OR THAT IS WORSHIPPED: SO THAT HE AS GOD SITTETH IN THE TEMPLE OF GOD, SHEWING HIMSELF THAT HE IS GOD."

11 Thessolonians 2: 3,4.

CALIFORNIA VOTERS ARE SAYING: " WE DON'T WANT BROWN and WE'RE AFRAID OF NIXON " WHY? YOU BE THE JUDGE - COMPARE AND EXAMINE THE RECORDS FURTHER.

BROWN	NIXON
Honorary Chairman California Democratic Council (promotes socialistic one-world government)	Member of Council Foreign Relations (promotes socialistic one-world government)
Favors UNconstitutional interference with the rights of citizens	Favors UNconstitutional interference with the rights of citizens
Against Patriotic Organizations	Against Patriotic Organizations
Approves Godless, one-world government (destroying our Constitution)	Approves Godless, one-world government (destroying our Constitution)
Supports government bureaucracy	Supports government bureaucracy
Favors Repeal of Connally Amendment	Favors Repeal of Connally Amendment
Favors confiscation of private property	Favors confiscation of private property
Opposes Student Loyalty Oath	Opposes Student Loyalty Oath (Wall Street Journal 5/19/60)
<u>EXPOSING NIXON</u>	<u>EXPOSING BROWN</u>

We could go on but space does not permit. But after comparing both Brown and Nixon in person, on TV and the news media, can you conscientiously cast your vote for either one?

If you vote for either Brown or Nixon, you are in effect giving a mandate for more liberal, socialistic legislation and administration.

QUOTING THE WALL STREET JOURNAL (October 5, 1962) :

" NIXON, NO - BROWN, NO " ...

" The most common reply of California voters is I wish I had a third choice "....

CALIFORNIANS, YOU DO HAVE A THIRD CHOICE. WRITE IN

Joseph C. Shell
and use the rubber stamp

Edmund G. (Pat) Brown	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----------------------	--------------------------

Richard M. Nixon	<input type="checkbox"/>
------------------	--------------------------

<u>Joseph C. Shell</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
------------------------	-------------------------------------

(use rubber stamp)

Joseph C. Shell elected to the State Legislature in 1953, re-elected to the Assembly in 1954, '56, '58 and '60. He has been Floor Leader for the past three years. Joseph C. Shell is currently serving on the following Legislative committees: Government Organization; Industrial Relations; Manufacturing; Oil and Mining Industry; Revenue and Taxation. Shell is completely familiar with California's problems, legislative processes and people. He is a Conservative and has a ten year voting record to prove it.

Your vote for Joseph C. Shell will decide if we can regain our Constitution and the Bill of Rights as it was before amendments by legislation and the administration's appointed Supreme Court - without the knowledge of the people.

Dear Fellow Republicans,

A group of us who have an interest and background in constitutional law (and especially civil liberties) have formed a new club and would like to have you know about us.

Enclosed is a list of our seven guiding principles which we hope you will use in developing penetrating questions when we contact your group.

To make our work effective, we must know how your group regards us and will ask you for your opinion of our goals.

Our initial membership represents hundreds of hours of Republican volunteer work in recent campaigns. We, like yourselves, are working Republicans and hope that your group will accept us so that our joint efforts will bring victory for the Party.

We have assigned a member who will contact you soon. He or she has a background in civil liberties through work and/or study.

Yours truly,

John E Edwards, President
1718 Federal Ave Los Angeles 25
unchartered Civil Liberties Young Republicans
ph BO 4-0277 (Edwards)
letter of intent submitted Oct 1962 LA CO YR'S
acceptance vote January 1963

ANNOUNCED GOALS AS OF SEPTEMBER 26, 1962 OF A NEW, FORMING INTER-RACIAL
REPUBLICAN GROUP DEDICATED TO CIVIL LIBERTIES

1. We are opposed to government encroachment upon the rights of the individual.
2. We will push a program of education about Constitutional freedom among Republicans and others.
3. We welcome all Republicans believing in civil liberties regardless of past affiliations.
4. We will lead for the establishment of Republican and Democratic debate teams debating on civil liberties and other political issues.
5. We want more of a Republican voice in groups dedicated to civil liberties and civil rights including minority statements when a decision has been made where there is a division of opinion.
6. We have an interest in racial minorities' civil rights problems.
7. We are opposed to Communism and any other form of totalitarianism.

At Last!

A CANDIDATE WILLING TO STAND UP AND BE COUNTED

before election day!

Here's where Joe Shell stands
on the issues that face
every thinking Californian



WITH JOE—
YOU KNOW!

TAXES — They must be reduced. Millions of hard-earned tax dollars are going down the drain to pay the bills of big state government. Governor Brown and his administration have proposed a 1962 budget of 2 billion, 885 million dollars. That's right — well over two and three-quarter billion dollars! "More of everything for everybody — all free — easy street here we come" might well be their battle-cry. But more taxes? ... "why that's just a lot of talk from some old football player named Joe Shell." Then, gently, when the Campaign roar has subsided, when your mind is on the World Series or Christmas, when they figure the time is ripe, there will be some quiet administrative razzle-dazzle and **you'll get the bill!** I've been fighting this in Sacramento for nearly 10 years. I've seen it happen—time and again. Frankly, I'm tired of turning my pockets inside out for things I don't want and couldn't use if I did. I'm tired of more laws, more bureaucrats, more forms to fill out, more confusion and more waste. I'm tired of a year-in, year-out increase in the number, size and scope of state agencies and the crazy-quilt services they provide. I'm tired of paying everybody else's bills and having nothing left for my own. I think most Californians feel precisely the same way. Taxes are **much too high**. They must be reduced — and they will be! Just give me the chance!

THE MEANING OF "CONSERVATIVE" Today, thanks to the staggering tax load, the man with a family to feed and **too few** dollars to do it with is a Conservative — regardless of what he may call himself. Millions of Californians are having to face the financial facts of life. It's time their State Government did the same. Reducing taxes by eliminating waste and administrative "fat" in Sacramento is the quickest way I know to put more dollars in **your** pocket. As a Conservative, that's **exactly** what I would do.

UNEMPLOYMENT AND EMPLOYMENT INSURANCE — I support both programs but I favor a **more realistic** approach in their administration. Unemployment insurance is a good example. As an "idea," it's a good one. However, in many cases, we've gone far beyond the original intent. It's a matter of attitude. In Sacramento today, we have a kind of bureaucratic inflation; a galloping increase in the size, scope and budget of the state welfare agencies — not because of population growth or some other real need, but simply because more and more expensive non-essentials are being added. I'm 100% "for" helping people who, through **no** fault of their own, find themselves in need; but I'm against simply doing good "to" people for **no** very clear reason.

EDUCATION — I am against entrenched bureaucrats in Sacramento telling our local school boards how to run the schools in their district. I am appalled by the State's attempts to force the teaching profession into a progressive mold by dictating textbook selection.

LAW ENFORCEMENT — There has been a gradual "watering down" of law enforcement under the Brown administration. This, to a point where "social welfare" would be a more appropriate name. Let's give law enforcement the **legislation** and **support** it needs to **do** the job, particularly with regard to narcotics peddlers and users. They have been getting away with **murder** — literally.

CAPITAL PUNISHMENT — I support it. Capital punishment is an important deterrent to crime and an important protection for our law enforcement officers. This has been proven **beyond question!** Remember, even for a murderer, there is no such thing as life imprisonment without the possibility of release. Decent people have a right to be protected — **for life** — and capital punishment is society's most effective means.

COMMUNISM — I would outlaw the Communist Party by definition in California. I am against Communism, fellow travelers and all their treasonous works.

LOYALTY OATHS — I am not naive enough to think a loyalty oath would stop a Communist. Deceit is their stock in trade. Nonetheless, I see nothing wrong in asking any real American to stand up and be counted "for" his country — and in **no uncertain terms**.

THE FRED FALLINBERG RECORD — I support it **without reservation**.

GOVERNOR EDMUND BROWN — He has proven himself completely incompetent. His indecision, his "politics-first, seat-of-the-pants, let's cross-that-bridge-when-we-come-to-it" philosophy of government is a well publicized fact. This expensive confusion, which his spokesman and advisor Assemblyman Jesse Unruh helped create, has brought California to the brink of financial disaster.

MY OPPONENT BERT SANDERSON — I'll fight hard — but clean. I don't deal in mud, abuse or innuendo. I'll leave that to those with nothing better to say. I stand **with** the people — **NOT** the State — and for **less** Government interference in their affairs — **NOT** more! That's what we're here to decide and the **only** real issue in this campaign. I'm proud to be the only Candidate offering the people **that choice!** I would welcome a debate with my opponent. Quite frankly, I don't understand his reluctance to meet me head-on. The voters of this state deserve the chance to size us up on the same platform, face-to-face, in action. That's the way to make up your mind — and the American way. Smoke-filled rooms aren't my style.

Basically, my credentials are these. I am the only candidate for the Republican nomination for Governor who is — here and now — a working member of the State Government. I've been a member of the State Legislature for nearly 10 years. I am completely familiar with California's problems, legislative processes and people. We're all in this together. With your help, I know I can do the job. I can and **will** justify your confidence in me. Thank you sincerely.

Meet Joe Shell

Joe Shell was born in the State of Washington and has lived 41 of his 43 years in California. His parents, Judge (retired) and Mrs. J. L. Shell have resided in San Diego since 1920. Joe was educated in the San Diego public schools, graduating from Herbert Hoover High in 1936. He holds a degree in Business Administration from the University of Southern California where he also captained the 1939 Rose Bowl Championship football team. Joe is married to the former Barbara Morton. They have 5 children: Barbara, Joe Jr., David, Harold and Diane. He served in the United States Naval Air Corps as a pilot during World War II and is of the Episcopalian faith. Prior to his election to the State Legislature in 1953, Joe spent 21 years in the oil business. Re-elected to the Assembly in '54, '56, '58 and '60, he has been Republican Floor Leader for the past three years. Joe Shell is currently serving on the following Legislative committees: Government Organization; Industrial Relations; Manufacturing; Oil and Mining Industry; Revenue and Taxation.



Joe Shell for Governor



JOE SHELL FOR GOVERNOR

LEGISLATOR • BUSINESSMAN • VETERAN

COUNTY OF MONO

GEORGE C. DELURY, JR.
COUNTY CLERK
RECORDER

BRIDGEPORT, CALIFORNIA

May 28, 1962

Dear Bob:

I enclose copies of "Shell" propoganda which hit my office today and which as far as I can ascertain was from a mailing list which Elliott got hold of someplace.

This reapportionment idea is PURE POISON in the small counties of the State, including Mono.

If Shell carries Mono County this did it. I am sorry and there is not much chance to overcome it if the people here think that Dick is for this plan of the County of Los Angeles.

The Shell timing was perfect in this nice "friendly letter"

We may be lucky in that the people do not fall for it.

Up to this moment everything was O. K.

Kindest regards,

George

[Handwritten signature]

May 23, 1962

Dear Friends:

We have been fishing the lakes and streams from Lone Pine to Bridgeport and above, enjoying the hospitality, making many friends, for many years. Each trip we make to your beautiful country, we say, "This is where we would love to live", and are quite envious of the natural beauty. We hope that we can help keep this country free of exploitation and a place where friends can come in peace.

The people who pioneered your wonderful country were, and are, rugged individuals who did not beg for Federal aid, but were willing to be responsible for their land and themselves.

We conservatives here in the city are hoping to maintain the Senate of California with the present apportionment, since we depend on your rural representatives to vote down Socialist measures.

It is quite important for you to know that in his platform, Mr. Nixon calls for reapportionment of the Senate. This would give Los Angeles possibly eight senators, instead of the present one.

The man we are working to place in Sacramento as Governor is Mr. Joe Shell, who is absolutely against the reapportionment. This is only one qualification for Joe Shell, but we are taking the opportunity to enclose a brochure giving more information, along with a bumper sticker.

We are also actively supporting Mr. Loyd Wright for U. S. Senator from California, and Mr. Max Rafferty for Superintendent of Public Instruction for the State of California. We are sure that if you will look up the past records of these two candidates, you will agree here are two men who are earnest in their desire to stop the trend toward Socialism.

We hope these three men will also be your choice, because we feel they will help preserve the freedom you hold dear.

Most sincerely,

George + Elenore

George and Elenore Elliott
6446 Orion Avenue
Van Nuys, California

P.S. Both of us "limited" out at Crowley, Sunday, May 6, with Ed Krause, guide.

Joe Shell Dinner

July 23, 1962

Knott's Berry Farm

Bot H.
for your wife.
Herb

Shell
file

This testimonial dinner for Shell, sponsored by Kurt Kielmeyer of Garden Grove, drew some 125 persons. Some 150 were expected. The announced purpose was to pay tribute to Shell and hear his comments on political affairs.

Shell spent the evening campaigning for himself. His posture was essentially that of primary election eve: Nixon will have to sell himself to the conservatives and it's going to be a hard job. He's not likely to get any help from Shell.

Shell repeated his claim to leadership of the GOP conservative wing. He called himself the "product" of the conservative money and effort that were invested in the primary and he said he did not intend to let that investment be dissipated.

Shell said he would remain active in politics and seek public office in the future. He said he expected to speak out "frequently" during the campaign.

He said he hoped no one had come to hear him declare for the U.S. Senate because he was going to disappoint them--for the time being, anyway. He said the outcome of the November election would obviously influence his next move. In response to a question, he acknowledged that he could well become the leader of the California Republican Party if Nixon is defeated in November.

Shell said he would fulfill a primary campaign pledge to support the entire GOP ticket in the Fall. He said he was particularly concerned with some of the Congressional and Legislative races and might well concentrate his efforts there. He repeatedly urged his audience to vote as their principles and their consciences dictated.

He said he would not presume to tell them how to vote or to deliver their votes. He repeated the need for aid to Congressional and Legislative candidates, suggesting the GOP votes might well "flow upward to the top of the ticket."

In answer to an early question, he said he would have to consider write-in votes for him a mistake. On a number of subsequent occasions, he did not discourage the idea of a write-in.

Shell told a questioner he saw two ways that Nixon "might" win the conservative vote:

1. Cut the budget.
2. Guarantee the conservative wing a 1964 convention representation proportional to the primary vote for Shell.

-0-

For the most part, last night's audience was patently anti-Nixon. Some indicated strongly they would vote for neither Nixon nor Brown in November. There appeared to be two basic objections to Nixon:

1. Disagreement with his stand on basic issues, particularly the Francis anti-communist measure and the Connolly Amendment.
2. The conviction that Nixon lacks fixed principles and tailors his stand to get the most votes.

-0-

(more)

In summary, Shell's apparent objective last night was to preserve the identity and makeup of the GOP Conservative wing and to confirm his role as its leader for use when an appropriate occasion presents itself. The question of Party unity wasn't raised.

###

J' ACCUSE!

The news quotes you recently as having "demanded" that Republicans who are members of the John Birch Society resign from that patriotic, conservative group. Furthermore, we are told that you do not welcome the support of such other conservatives as the "far right-wingers", of the Party; and that you will not appear on the same platform with John Roussetot or Edgar Hiestand, overlooking the fact that the Republican Party has always been made up of such good, old fashioned Americans as these two.

Well, Dick, if you don't welcome conservative votes, it's all right with us.

But we have a pretty black crow to pick with you! In fact, we have several.

Before you excommunicate men whose only crime is that of being patriotic Americans, why don't you resign that membership of yours in the NAACP?

According to Jack Moffit's CRACKER B ARREL Column in the Los Angeles Herald-Examiner, your "Staff Assistant", Mr. Charles M. Lichenstein, stated on your letterhead in answer to a reader's inquiry, and we quote: "Mr Nixon is a "non-resident" member of the Council On Foreign Relations". (The CFR).

This outfit seems to have a philosophy that is today being followed right down the line by the Kennedy Administration, and the line, retreat before the Communist Aggression over the world; the no win, appeasement policy; the build-up of an all-powerful, socialistic central government; a non-gold or silver-redeemable dollar; the giving away of our sovereignty bit by bit; and the staged surrender of our military arament to the pro-Communist United Nations. Add to that indictment, anti-colonialism, and you bring the picture pretty well into focus.

Did we say black crows to pick? Well, here is another:

This is the story of the \$65,000 in cash that was in the belongings of Mr. W. Alton Jones, when he lost his life in the plane crash near New York early this year. This story hinted that the \$65,000 in cash in the little black bag, was earmarked for your campaign for the governorship of California.

Now, Dick, you must admit this does look a bit peculiar, inasmuch as Mr. Jones was a member of the Council On Foreign Relations, as are you and General Eisenhower, and that he was on his way to Palm Desert, where you also were a guest at the Eisenhower's. Mr. Jones certainly didn't need that kind of money with which to purchase desert dates and souvenirs!

You know it, and so do we, Dick, that that kind of money when used for political campaigns always has strings attached. In this case, it could be that those strings were the well-known no, surrender to the U.N., CFR Line, on which we will elaborate a bit farther on.

Seemingly, you add to the CFR Line by accepting Joseph Rauh's ADA Line, swallowing that bit of Left-wing Extremist venom, when you refuse to appear with John Roussetot or Edgar Hiestand.

In view of the items above, we should have a "demand" in addition, for you. For the good of the Party, in addition to separating yourself from the NAACP, why don't you separate yourself from the Octopus which Dan Smoot calls "The Secret And Invisible Government Of The United States"?

Dan Smoot sets up the exposure of this Fabian-Socialist, One-World, Welfare-staters' group (composed of some 1200 "Men of Distinction",) in eight separate broadcasts and printed reports. These are easily obtainable at a cost of \$1.50 per set. His address is P.O. Box 9536, Lakewood Station, Dallas 14, Texas. While you are at it, ask for his pamphlets outlining the CFR Plan for the Surrender of this nation to World Socialism, via the UN.

Mr. Fred Johnson, of 183 Montague Street, Brooklyn, New York, and a man who probably knows more about the great tax-exempt foundations than any man in America, and a well-known author and analyst, calls this CFR group-- which at one time had in it such characters as Alger Hiss and Harry Dexter White-- we quote: "The Rockefeller Red Front".

He says in effect: They are a set of Welfare-staters--Socialists, who set themselves up as foreign affairs "experts", who follow the anti-colonialism, no win, soft-on-Communism, foreign policy. They are the same crowd who debauched our money system a la Karl Marx, and are completely responsible for the resulting inflation which has been for thirty years eroding away the purchasing value of our printing press money at the rate of 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ % per year.

Domestically, they do not believe in a gold-backed dollar, but in deficit spending, and a managed currency.

They are for massive foreign aid--even to help prop up certain Communist and Communist-leaning, or "neutral" countries. They do not believe in the Monroe Doctrine, and virtually everyone involved in the Cuban Fiasco, the Korean Stalemate, the loss of Free China on the Asian Mainland, the Rape of Katanga, and others, are, or were, members of the Council On Foreign Relations (the CFR).

They are back of NATO, The Treaty of Rome, the Common Market of Europe, GATT, the U.N., UNESCO, Atlantic Union, the Disarmament Agency, which they purposely set up to stage the surrender of our Military potential to the U.N.

If we give the CFR enough rope, Mr. Johnson says, they will completely bankrupt America, it will be the easier for them to force upon us the Socialism here they intend.

The only trouble is, of course, Krushchev waits on the same idea. His Time Table of 1973 for the TAKE OVER coincides directly with the CFR Time Table for DISARMAMENT.

In a recent book, Mr. Johnson also claims that the CFR have their members in control on the boards of most of the great tax-exempt foundations, and are using the billions of dollars they control in these foundations to subvert our Constitution, our Educational, Political, and Economic Institutions. That they have for over a generation trained Socialists for places in government, the Courts, in the Schools, and in Business. He says the country loses billions in taxes these foundations should be paying, but are free of, even while subverting the Constitution of the United States. (Note that Ford and Asia Foundations, after 11 years in Burma politicking among other things, have just been asked to leave the country.)

Mr. Johnson calls particular attention to the fact that Dean Rusk, our present Secretary of State, is a former President of the Rockefeller Foundation. And that Robert McNamara our Secretary of Defense, is a former President of the Ford Motor Co.

Many Conservatives besides Fred Johnson and Dan Smoot who have studied this Council on Foreign Relations, believe that many of their tenets border on treason! They say that the CFR operates under the principle that the citizen in this modern, complex government just simply doesn't know enough about the mechanics of modern government to vote properly, so they give him his choice-- between CFR-controlled candidates in every election.

The indictment against the CFR--and you, Dick is long. As you are aware, CFR's John McCloy heads the newly-formed Disarmament Agency, whose ultimate aim, as we have said, is to surrender our armed might to the UN.

Under this plan, among a great many other things, there are to be no more super bombers built that are capable of carrying nuclear war heads to distant countries. And our military strength is to be gradually weakened as the U.N. takes over. Even our rifles are to be taken, which is of course, the first thing the Communists would want, and happens in every country being lined up for the Communists.

Perhaps the first part of the above paragraph may explain why CFR's McNamara opposes the B-70 Program? And muzzles the military? Why the Polaris Missile on submarines has never been "hot" fired? It may explain also, why CFR's Eisenhower made the agreement with the Russians which kept us for years from testing nuclear weapons, while the Russians laughed up their collective sleeves at us as they secretly tested and advanced their nuclear strength?

And appropos the muzzling; the military has always had at its head, civilians in Washington. But never in our history have we had civilians who think and plan in terms of surrendering our sovereignty. Why shouldn't the military buck over the traces when they have such men placed over them: Sovereignty means nothing to men who think they can make valid agreements with the Communists. Or who do not believe in Self-determination any longer by the American citizen, although they use the

You know, and so do we, Dick, that all that holds the Soviet Empire together; all that holds the millions of unhappy slaves in submission in Poland, Hungary, East Germany, the Baltic States, (where they now have the kind of Peace and Security the U. N. and the CFR are working up for us!) is the Russian Military Might.

Do you think for a minute, Dick, that Krushchev is going to disarm? To be a party to any kind of Agreement whereby his nation turns loose the millions of prisoners he holds in Siberia? Disarm in the face of Red China?

And all the time the Geneva Hocus Fucus, led by CFR's Arthur Dean (who also ran at Panmunjon), goes on merrily year after year! (Hoping that in the name of Peace and Security) millions of American Suckers will take the bait!

So you can see Dick, where it can come as a distinct shock, to find you lined up with such a fine-feathered tribe! And hear you knocking fine Americans who get together in living rooms across the country, pledging Allegiance to the Flag, forming study groups to find ways and means to keep our Constitutional Republic from sliding down into the cesspool of world Socialism!

From its own official roster we find that the CFR now has its members in virtually every position of power, planning, and policy-making in the present Kennedy Administration, with the exception of some 35 members of the ADA who think more or less the same. The CFR was strongly entrenched in the Eisenhower Administration as you well know; and in Truman's, and of course, in Roosevelt's (who fired the first shot in the infamous Gold Steal of 1934).

Those in the know call this thing a conspiracy. They use several names loosely; THE OCTOPUS, THE ESTABLISHMENT, THE APPARATUS.

The main body of the CFR is made up, as we said, of "Men of Distinction"; men of unblemished reputations--Untouchables. The Dirty Work at the Crossroads is performed by a dozen or more TENTACLES, which we may call INTERLOCKS, or SATELLITES, such as the old INSTITUTE OF PACIFIC RELATIONS (IPR), the bloody outfit that sold Chiang Kaishek down the Yangtze, and was also instrumental in setting up the Death Trap in Korea which took 147,000 of our boys.

Another TENTACLE IS THE FOREIGN POLICY ASSOCIATION (FPA), which has been declared subversive by a half dozen Grand Juries.

A squirming bunch of CFR Tentacles virtually controls Education by the use of the foundations' billions. We find CFR men such as Sarnoff and Paley heading NBC and CBS Broadcasting companies respectively. Eric Johnson, heads Motion Picture Producers Association; Henry Luce, of Time, Life, Fortune--now said to have acquired a substantial interest in the Saturday Evening Post; Erwin Canham, Joseph Harsch, of Christian Science Monitor; Philip Graham, of Newsweek and the Washington Post (which is now lined up with the Los Angeles Times!); John and Gardner Cowles, of Look, and 8 major newspapers in the U. S. (Gardner Cowles just back from a visit with Krushchev) Ed Murrow, who heads the U. S. Office of Information; Walter Lippman, James Reston, Marquis Childs, and many other columnists; the Sulzbergers, of the N. Y. Times (with Nelson Rockefeller). There are hundreds more in Communications. Even the Gallup and Roper Rolls are headed by members of the CFR. Herbert Philbrick calls the resulting news Blackout--or "Paper Curtain" over America-- "Cybernetic Warfare".

As you are perfectly aware, Dick, this CFR APPARATUS to which you belong numbers its members right down through the U. S. Cabinet, and sub-cabinets, starting with President Kennedy himself. In the Cabinet we have Dean Rusk, Robert McNamara, Goldberg, Hodges, and Dillon, the perennial Money Man.

In the Pentagon we find General L. L. Lemnitzer, Joint Staff Chief; and Vice-Admiral George Anderson, Chief of Naval Operations. Carrying the CFR ball in Europe we find Generals Maxwell Taylor, Lucius Clay, Gruenther and others.

The Federal Reserve System is headed by CFR member Wm. McChesney Martin; the Securities & Exchange Commission, by CFR member Wm. Cary; the International Bank, by CFR's Eugene Black. CFR's Roger Blough heads U. S. Steel, and also the Business Advisory Council, said to be a SATELLITE OF THE CFR. (Real spectacle: last week CFR President Kennedy, over the steel price rise. Did Mr. Blough ever sell the American People that the reason the steel price was raised was to offset the 2½% erosion of U. S. Currency per year? While granting three raises to labor during the same period? Perhaps we should laugh!)

In the U.N. we find CFR member Adlai Stevenson holding forth in a \$30,000 per year suite in the Waldorf Towers, and backing him up talking to little old ladies in clubs around the nation, CFR's Clark Eichelberger, trying to prop up lagging interest in the dying U.N.

A check of the records shows us that CFR's Ed Murrow taught in Moscow's Political School in 1935; CFR's John McCone heads our Central Intelligence Agency; while CFR's John McCloy, (A Director) heads the Disarmament Agency, and happily deals with (and swims with) Nikita Krushchev in the latter's Russian Summer Retreat (See Mrs. Drew Pearson's Article in the March 31, 1962 Saturday Evening Post, where she lets the cat out of the bag on the Disarmament Thing, "There'll be no war!" Krushchev told her, after happily cavorting with John McCloy in the pool.)

In the Senate we find such open CFR members as Hubert Humphrey, William Fulbright, Stuart Symington, Clifford Case, and a great many others too chary to be openly listed.

There are White House Assistants in it galore--men like Arthur Schlesinger, who plays like Chester Bowles on both the CFR and ADA teams; Walt Rostow, Paul Nitze, and others Assistant Secretaries by the dozen; George Ball Foy Kohler (who accompanied you on your Russian Trip, and also escorted Krushchev around America); Philips Talbot, Fowler Hamilton; Roving Ambassadors such as Averell Harriman, now in Laos trying to set up another "Coalition" government-- the kind which CFR men set up in China, to help Communize Asia. Then of course, we find Dean Acheson of the CFR busy as always.

Practically all U. S. Ambassadors to foreign countries belong to the CFR; Zellerbach, Gavin, Reischauer, Galbraith, Everton, Akers, and many more, including Ed. M. Gullion to the Congo.

There are hundreds more in government; Negotiators like Arthur Dean; Consultants by the score, ad libitum; ad nauseum.

Did you join this outfit--this so-called APPARATUS, Dick, because you thought it an unbeatable combination? Or was it to enhance your political ambitions and status?

We'd like to know also, Dick, just when did you make that change from being a Conservative Republican who believed in Constitutional government, private enterprise and in a sound, gold backed dollar, and solicit such backing?

Was it when you made that trip east ahead of the 1960 Convention? And on that trip, just with whom did you make your political peace? Was it with Trustee of the Rockefeller Foundation, Mr. Charles Percy, the CFR member who just happened to write the Republican Platform that year?

Remember, Dick, it was immediately following that trip, that suddenly, after being lukewarm to your presidential aspirations, CFR's Dwight Eisenhower came out stumping the country in your behalf?

The truth of the matter is, and you know it as well as we, that the CFR, in order to save the great foundations' skins--the billions they should be paying in taxes-- they simply have to protect themselves by putting up both candidates in each presidential election. Otherwise, these funds which engage in both business activities and in political propaganda distribution would disqualify themselves from the tax-free status. Perhaps that explains also, why they must pack the Supreme Court. As you know, they have just rushed CFR Committee member Byron White to that body to replace Conservative Whittaker.

Let's turn a moment to California: There are many members of the CFR APPARATUS in Pat Brown's California Government: men like Stanley Mosk, our ubiquitous Attorney-General; men like Thomas Braden, who suddenly comes to California, it is said, for the Rockefeller Newspaper Interests; takes over a little-known seaside newspaper, and at once becomes head of the California School Board System. We can name many more members of THE ESTABLISHMENT in the California State Government.

Perhaps you think your CFR Administration would be a little bit more conservative than Pat Brown's? A little more subtle on the Socialistic approach?

Didn't we recently hear you back up President Kennedy in his asking Congress for that U. N. "Loan"?

Well, Let's get back to cases, Dick: Have you forgotten how 43 members of the CFR, with Alger Hiss at their head, acted as mid-wives at the birth of the U.N. in San Francisco in 1945? Then stacked it perpetually in favor of the Communists?

Oh, we admit it sounded good then! But let's take another gander at the Hook—the Devil's Quote: "Our only hope for Peace and Security in a troubled world".

Shades of the defunct League of Nations! For 17 years, Dick, the U.N. has worked for Peace and Security in our troubled world. They have achieved it for Roumania, Poland, Hungary, Esthonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Czechoslovakia, Algiers, Katanga, Red China, Goa, British Guiana, Cuba, the Congo, and a host of others.

Have you forgotten also, Dick, how CFR's Harrimans, Achesons, Lattimores, John Vincents, Dean Rusks, all were mixed up in the China and Korean debacles? How American boys were forced to fight Communists under a CFR-backed, pro-communist U.N.? A no-win U.N. at that? A U.N. whose first Secretary-general, as we told you before, was none other than Alger Hiss, and whose Military Staff Head, the Undersecretary for Political and Security Council Affairs, is the Commander-in-chief of all troops put up by member nations? And under a secret agreement between Alger Hiss, CFR's Stettinius, Molotov, and Vichinsky, seven Communists in a row—for 17 years—have held this job, and will, in perpetuity?

Do we want our sons and daughters to go to war fighting Communists under such an unholy outfit?

You don't believe the U.N. to be pro-Communist? Well, digest this:

The U.N. is now ruled by a "Troika" that is perfectly acceptable to the Russians. (Remember, they don't put up any troops). The Troika now consists of U Thant, a dedicated Marxist, who now informs the world he is shortly going to Russia. U Thant follows the Soviet Line without deviation, and is present at all the important Communist Seminars in Moscow (Along with Sukarno, Nehru, Menon and others).

U Thant's very first act upon taking over the U.N. was to send Indian Gurka soldiers in to butcher our only pro-West, Christian friends and allies in the Congo, The Katangans under Moise Tshombe.

The Second angle of the Troika, is none other than your fellow CFR member the NAACP member, Ralph Bunche, who was a high-ranking member of the infamous CFR Satellite, the Institute of Pacific Relations (IPR), the CFR is now trying desperately to white-wash. Dr. Bunche was also a long time Editor of the Communist Magazine Science and Society.

Third angle: It consists of the Communist Eugeny Kiselev, who has just replaced Gregori Arkadev, as Undersecretary for Political and Security Council Affairs, and who is for all purposes the real head of the United Nations, inasmuch as he heads not only the Military, but Communications of the U. N., and is Custodian of all Diplomatic Documents. Under the Secret Agreement, he is of course, Commander-in-chief of the U.N. Army.

The real reason the United Nations is being kept alive and bolstered, is because it is necessary for the long-range Plan for Surrender.

In the light of the above indictment of the U. N. , Dick how can you stand before an audience, and say that you still back these U. N. Butchers in any manner, shape or form? How can you place your hand on the Holy Bible, and swear to defend and protect the Constitution, and take a part in what a good many Americans now consider treason? Also a great many good Americans now think that to help tear down the Sovereignty of this nation by surrendering to the U. N. is to give aid and comfort to an enemy.

It takes only a thimble-full of brains to comprehend that this infinitesimally small CFR group composed of only some 2/1000ths of 1% of the country's population, is not in conformity with the Constitution they seek to replace, and in some measure— have already replaced.

Dick, this thing just didn't happen! It is the fruition of a dedicated, conscious conspiracy against the rank and file of the American People!

We ask: "Is this the American Way?" You know it, and we know it, This combination of CFR power in our government would have to be at least a billion to one to have happened accidentally!

Under it, the American People are stripped of every whit of self-determination.

Luckily, like all dangerous conspiracies, it carries within it the seeds for its own destruction, and the way of course, is to alert the American People to its inherent danger, by turning up the Candles of Freedom!

Let there be Light!

And Dick, whether or not you are aware of it, those Candles of Freedom are beginning to flame into bonfires all across the nation like racing prairie fires!

We know that you probably have a list of members and the by-laws of this CFR ESTABLISHMENT and its "Committees for the various cities and regions", but for two thin dimes, Dick, you can get an extra list, now being distributed to the common people. Just send these dimes to either: The U.S. Flag Committee, Box 269, Jackson Heights 72, Long Island, New York; or to the Council On AMERICAN RELATIONS, 712 Summit Avenue, West Palm Beach, Florida. While you are at it, ask the latter for the STATE OF THE UNION MESSAGE, by George Deatherige and T. G. Kent, showing 1001 infractions of the U. S. Constitution by CFR members and their followers now in, or who have been in government during the past 30 years.

Well, Dick, this has become a pretty long OPEN LETTER, but we'd like to say that, instead of knocking patriots who join such groups as the John Birch Society, that you should repudiate this CFR ESTABLISHMENT.

We could go on for hours outlining the elements of this conspiracy on the part of the CFR and the ADA, but we'll give just a few more instances, then close:

First, we call your attention to an editorial in the Masonic Magazine NEW AGE, for June, 1961, which shows how certain members of THE ESTABLISHMENT in the State Department have been for years steadily "Socializing" groups of citizens called in for briefings". The one cited by the Magazine, was called by CFR's Edmund Gullion, then Deputy Disarmament Administrator (now of all things, Ambassador to the Congo!). Mr. Gullion, in the course of his indoctrination of the gathering along the classic CFR Line, made this statement: "It's hard to work under that old 18th Century Constitution!".

The Republican, whatever you may have come to think of him, Dick, is a natural, congenital, hard-core Conservative. Unaware that Dwight D. Eisenhower was a long-standing member of the CFR, and believing he would put a stop to INflation and the Communist menace and advance over the world, Conservative Republicans--and Democrats, overlooking the fact that he couldn't make up his mind whether or not he was a Republican or Democrat, elected him to the presidency in 1952.

Did he carry out his election promises? Or the Party Platform?

No! One of his first acts was to appoint a disciple of Swedish Socialism, with little legal experience, to head the Supreme Court. This in payment of a political debt. And this man, together with CFR members on that court began at once handing down new laws instead of interpreting those laws only, as they had been charged by the Constitution.

Did President Eisenhower return the right to the American People to own and trade in gold, and to have a redeemable, gold-backed currency, the deprivation of which had started this nation down the one way inflationary Road to Socialism? Did he balance the Budget? Did he reduce the taxes? Did he throw the Communists out of government?

No! He helped crucify Joseph McCarthy, because poor Joe stepped on a few CFR toes in his try to root out the Commies who were firmly established in government--and in the opinion of many, are still there.

Mr. Eisenhower was against the Bricker Amendment to limit the treaty-making powers of the Executive, because treaties were the CFR way around the Constitution, and were also the life-blood of the U.N.

Mr. Eisenhower was against the Connally Amendment to keep us out of the World Court which had only one American Judge out of 15, and that Judge, CFR's Philip Jessup, had been cited by the Senate for his pro-Communist leanings.

It has been said that upon request of certain CFR members in government, President Eisenhower asked for, and got the Status of Forces Treaty enacted into law.

President Eisenhower paved the way for the strangle-hold the CFR has today upon the American People and their government.

Yes, Dick, 1952 was a fateful year for the American People, because, that was when the "Moderates", "the Middle-of-the-roaders", the "Me-tooers", took over the Grand Old Conservative Republican Party. Moderates! Me-tooers! Middle-of-the roaders! Remember CFR's Tom Dewey? And CFR's Wendell Wilkie?

As the lady in Encinitas wrote in to the San Diego Union recently, "The Middle-of the Road is where the head-on collisions take place!"

You are in the middle of the road, Dick, where most of those head-on collisions take place! If you stay there, if you keep the stand you have taken against the Conservatives who are the backbone of America, we have no choice but to shoot down your political star. And shoot it down we will!

There are, as of today, members or correspondents in California of over 1800 Right-wing, Conservative organizations. And you will find in those organizations Right-wingers of both political parties, friends many of them, for the first time in a great many years. If you alienate these good Americans, and it appears you are doing just that in as headlong a manner as possible, your political goose will shortly be toasted to a dainty, pale cerise! In short, Dick, you are going to get your pretty little political applecart dumped into the mustard patch!

Y ou probably don't believe there are 1800 of these Conservative groups operating now in California?

If you wish to find out for yourself, just send \$2.00 to ALERT AMERICANS ASSN., P.O. Box 1222, Los Angeles 53, and ask them for their FIRST NATIONAL DIRECTORY of Rightest Groups in the U.S.

We are aware of how you fought to put CFR's Alger Hiss in the Penitentiary; but that was many years ago, before you picked up the virus of liberalism; before you joined the same outfit that once listed Hiss as a member. Perhaps you should now let the Conservatives take back their own?

Florence Fowler Lyons, of P. O. Box 215, Montrose, California, told us almost 10 years ago, that you were "too far left of center". Miss Lyons, we think is America's outstanding authority on UNESCO. It looks now as though she had you pretty well tabbed that far back.

We hate to say it, Dick, after working so hard for Mr. Eisenhower and you in past elections before we "wised up", but we do not believe that you can subscribe to the extreme left-wing, Fabian Socialistic, no win, appeasement, constant back-off from the Communist Aggression policies of the CFR and still be good for our Party. To Conservative Republicans there is no such thing as a middle-of-the roader, who must of necessity compromise with principle, and we Conservatives will work tooth and nail, fang and claw, to keep the CFR from getting a further foothold in California.

SO, just where do you stand, Sir?

Yours for Constitutional Government.

Permission is granted to quote all or any part, or to reprint this open letter in its entirety.

Keep Our Constitution Committee
Box 411, Sierra Madre, California.

Dartell Laboratories

INTER-OFFICE MEMO

Date 6-15-62

To Daniel Waters

From John V. Vaughn

Shell file

Dear Dan,

Enclosed is a piece of "competitive material" passed on to me from Gunther Shirley.

Hope you will make sure that Bob Haldeman and others see it in case it has not been previously called to their attention.

Regards,

John

JVV:mp

Encl.

cc: Gunther J. Shirley
818 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, California

USE A SEPARATE MEMO FOR EACH SUBJECT

COMMENTS

- 12/19/59 - National Review, article by Frank S. Meyer: "...he (Nixon) drifts with the tide. In Nixon's case that career of drifting is the result of aiming at the Presidency and subordinating all principles to that aim."
- 9/25/56 - Star News. Article by Marquis Childs: "His (Nixon's) critics say that he is still the college debater who could by the flip of a coin take either side of the question and champion it with the same skill" (A mind open at both ends is always empty!)

THE SEESAW

NIXON GOES UP - AND DOWN!

- 2/1/60 - Congressional Record-Senate. From the Los Angeles Times (1/31/60), Mr. Reston, reporting on a speech Mr. Nixon made in Chicago on 1/27/60, said: "...He (Nixon) defended the past as the best seven years of our lives and then made these seven years look like a depression..."

"...The American educational system is, Mr. Reston quoted Mr. Nixon as saying, 'the best in the world (but) inadequate classrooms, underpaid teachers and flabby standards are weaknesses we must constantly strive to eliminate..."

"...American agriculture', Nixon added, 'is the most productive on earth but there is no higher priority than a complete overhauling of obsolete farm programs.'"

NIXON GOES UP --

THE CHALLENGES WE FACE (page 83), a book by Richard M. Nixon states: "...A third reason for continuing to encourage these summit conferences is that although generally speaking, the Communists do not agree to anything unless they think it is going to serve their purposes and they often break the agreements they do make, in some instances they have made agreements that have helped the cause of freedom and peace." (Emphasis added.)

--AND DOWN!

- 9/1/59 - Los Angeles Times quotes Nixon: "The difficulty in the past has not been so much with what was agreed at the conference table but the fact that the Communists broke the agreements. This has occurred in the case of 50 out of 52 major treaties and agreements since 1933"

NIXON GOES UP--

- 3/11/61 - From The Sacramento Bee comes the report that: "Nixon told a group of GOP legislators who urged him to seek the governorship: 'I am not a condidate and I have no intention to be a condidate or to institute a draft to be a condidate.'"

--AND DOWN!DOWN!DOWN!NIXON GOES UP--

RICHARD NIXON a book by Earl Mazo (page 296) quotes Mr. Nixon as saying: "...I have no desire to throw out of the Republican party those who happen to disagree with me on certain issues..."

"...Some individuals, as a matter of principle, feel so strongly on certain issues that they would be going against everything they stand for if they supported the position of the administration or the party majority. I understand and respect that point of view." (Emphasis added.)

--AND DOWN!

- 3/4/62 - Los Angeles Times. Article by James Bassett, Times Political Analyst stated: "Nixon startled his listeners with a bold resolution to write any Birchers out of the Republican Party as long as founder Robert Welch remains in 'totalitarian' control of the society..."

"He has, in effect, dared the 'ultras' to take a walk from the party--including some non-Birchers who may privately approve of Birchism enough to influence their opinion about any candidate as vigorously anti-Birch as Nixon himself."

NIXON GOES UP--AND DOWN!

- 2/22/62 - LA Times, Carl Greenberg: "Nixon said he didn't care whether CRA made a pre-primary endorsement...that he was going to win anyway."
- 3/ 5/62 - "But, he (Nixon) said he was thankful for the assembly's endorsement of his campaign for governor..."

OR A MERRY-GO-ROUND?

- 1/28/60 - Star News. In connection with Nixon's part in the steel settlement, David Lawrence wrote: "But how can the country put into effect any policy of strike prevention when the leaders in Congress, including the Vice President, are unwilling today to do battle for a principle and, instead, find it better to yield to political expediency?" (Emphasis added.)
- 9/ 3/57 - Look Magazine. Richard L. Wilson in his article, "The Big Change in Richard Nixon" said: "Nixon's progress with the Negro voter shows his keen appreciation for the details of politics...
"When most other Republicans wanted to avoid sharpening the issue in the South, Nixon made it razor edged. First, he selected Houston, Texas, as the place to recall that he was an honorary member of the NAACP."
- 11/28/61 - In answer to a reader's inquiry, Mr. Charles M. Lichenstein, Staff Assistant, stated on Richard Nixon's letterhead, "Mr. Nixon is a non-resident member of the Council on Foreign Relations, whose address is 58 East 68th Street, New York City 21. If you were to write the Council directly, I should think they could provide you with the information you desire."
- 4/14/59 - LA Times article, "Vice President Wants East and West to be Bound by UN Tribunals' Rulings", made the statement: "Nixon said that his proposal for setting up the international court as the umpire of treaty disputes was not yet the official U.S. position."
- 1/27/59 - Mr. Nixon speaking at the Fordham College Alumni Association dinner in New York commented on Mikoyan's visit thus: "I do not mean to suggest that by reason of what he (Mikoyan) saw that he abandoned hope for the eventual success of the Communist revolution in the United States. He never missed an opportunity to express his supreme confidence that Communism would eventually prevail not only in this country but throughout the world...
"I believe that an unofficial visit of this type (Mikoyan's visit) is in the best interests of the United States and the free world...
"I do not agree with those who criticize our businessmen and bankers for entertaining Mr. Mikoyan at lunch and dinner."
- 8/ 5/59 - Star News reports: "Nixon wound up his three days in Poland with another plea for "one world"."
- 8/26/59 - Minneapolis, Minnesota (UPI dispatch) stated: "Vice President Richard M. Nixon said today the visit of Nikita Khrushchev to the U.S. will aid the cause of world peace and 'deserves the approval of the American people'." (Emphasis added)
- 5/30/60 - Dan Smoot Report, Vol. 6, No. 22: "Mr. Nixon has observed that, (while) 'Mr. Castro, of course, has tended to turn some of them (the Cuban People)--many of them perhaps--against us...as far as the objectives of the revolution we certainly can support them.'"
- 2/59 - Independent American: "And so, by his own words, the American people now know that Vice President Nixon, the former Anti-Communist, has taken the road of abject, disgraceful appeasement of International Communism, whose only aim is the destruction of the American Way of Life."

Permission granted to reprint in entirety.

Keep Our Constitution Committee
Box 411, Sierra Madre, California.

Shell TV

May 13 & 20 - 15 min

LA SF	KTUU	10:45p.	
SD	XETV	1:45p.	D Smart
Sacto.	KOVR	12:45p.	D Smart 12+19
Fresno	KFRE	4:15p.	D.S.
Salinas	KSBW+K	4:15p.	
Eureka	KIEM	6:15	DS 12+19
S Barbara	KEYT	4:15	DS

May 23 - ~~30~~ 1 hour (rally)

LA	KCOP	8:30
SF	KTUU	8:30
SD	Could not clear	

~~SE~~

June 4 - 1/2 hour election eve 6,300

LA	KRCA	7:30
SF	KRON	7:30
SD	XETV	7:30
Sacto	KOVR	8:30
Fresno	KTEO (KFRE)	7:30
Bar	KBAK	7:30