

Richard Nixon Presidential Library  
Contested Materials Collection  
Folder List

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
47	39		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Other Document	Questions for Shriver on Meet the Press. 4 pgs.
47	39		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Harper to Khachigian RE: reminder to never give up. 1 pg.
47	39	8/8/1972	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Report	Draft from Khachigian titled 'George McGovern and the Working Man.' 6 pgs.
47	39	8/1/1972	<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Khachigian to Colson RE: Henry Kimelman comments. 2 pgs.

<u>Box Number</u>	<u>Folder Number</u>	<u>Document Date</u>	<u>No Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Document Description</u>
47	39		<input type="checkbox"/>	Campaign	Memo	From Khachigian to Ray Price RE: thoughts for acceptance speech. 5 pgs.

**DOCUMENT WITHDRAWAL RECORD [NIXON PROJECT]**

DOCUMENT NUMBER	DOCUMENT TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE OR CORRESPONDENTS	DATE	RESTRICTION
N-1 [Doc 163]	Report	Questions for Shriver on meet the press, with attached draft copy	n.d.	C (Murd)
N-2 [Doc 164] [Doc 218]	Memo	Warger to Khachigian, re: med. radicals [attached to memo, Khachigian to Strochan, 8/11/72]	8/10/72	C (Murd)
N-3 [Doc 165]	Memo	Khachigian to Strochan, re: Shriver research, with attached draft copy	8/8/72	C (Murd)
N-4 [Doc 166]	Report	from Khachigian, re: George Mc Govern and the working man, with attached draft copy	8/8/72	C (Murd)
N-5 [Doc 167]	Memo	Khachigian to Colson, re: Henry Kisselman, with attached draft copy	8/1/72	C (Murd)
N-6 [Doc 217]	Memo	Khachigian to Price re acceptance speech	8-15-72	C

FILE GROUP TITLE  
**KEN KHACHIGIAN**

BOX NUMBER  
C

FOLDER TITLE  
*August 1972 [20/72]*

RESTRICTION CODES

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| A. Release would violate a Federal statute or Agency Policy.   | E. Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information. |
| B. National security classified information.   | F. Release would disclose investigatory information compiled for law enforcement purposes.   |
| C. Pending or approved claim that release would violate an individual's rights.                      | G. Withdrawn and return private and personal material.                                       |
| D. Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy or a libel of a living person. | H. Withdrawn and returned non-historical material.   |

Presidential Materials Review Board

Review on Contested Documents

**Collection:** Kenneth L. Khachigian  
**Box Number:** 6

**Folder:** August [1972] [2 of 2]

<u>Document</u>	<u>Disposition</u>
163	Return Private/Political
164	Return Private/Political
165	Retain Open
166	Return Private/Political
167	Return Private/Political
217	Return Private/Political
218	Return Private/Political

QUESTIONS FOR SHRIVER ON MEET THE PRESS

Mr. Shriver: It is no secret to you that your wife is adamantly opposed to liberalized abortion laws. And you were quoted as saying that you, too, differed from Senator McGovern on this question, although in 1970 you said that were you Governor in Maryland you would have signed the liberal abortion law which was then being considered.

As you know, Senator McGovern has said "no law should stand in the way" of the implementation of open abortion policies and that if he were President he would make abortions freely available in military hospitals -- a policy President Nixon reversed.

This issue is of great moral concern to thousands of Americans. Are you going to accept Senator McGovern's open abortion position?

Mr. Shriver: Two years ago you said that marijuana is no worse than alcohol or nicotine and that it has been viewed with a "double standard." (Baltimore Sun, 4/15/70) Do you still hold this view which amounts to a position which would favor legalized marijuana?

Mr. Shriver: Senator McGovern has said this campaign will be one on the issues. Two years ago you attacked Vice-President Agnew saying he "appeals to everything low and mean and bitter in the American character." (Hartford Courant - 9/23/70) And then

you later said, after someone referred to Mr. Agnew as the  
"Greek from Baltimore" and that he should be put on a leash:

"I might just add a thought that if they (high elected officials)  
don't like (young people) here, maybe they can go back to Greece  
with the young people there -- not just to Baltimore, but all  
the way back to Greece." (Washington Post, 10/8/70)

Does this unflattering reference to Mr. Agnew's Greek  
heritage and the rhetoric you employed mean that you will continue  
to campaign on personalities?

QUESTIONS FOR SHRIVER ON MEET THE PRESS

Mr. Shriver: It is no secret to you that your wife is adamantly opposed to liberalized abortion laws. And you were quoted as saying that you, too, differed from Senator McGovern on this question, although in 1970 you said that were you Governor in Maryland you would have signed the ~~liberal~~ liberal abortion law which was then being considered.

As you know, Senator McGovern has said "no law should stand in the way" of the ~~implementation~~ implementation of open abortion policies and that if he were President he would make abortions freely available in military ~~hospitals~~ hospitals -- a policy President Nixon reversed.

This issue is of great moral concern to thousands of Americans. Are you going to accept Senator McGovern's *open abortion* position?

Mr. Shriver: Two years ago you said that marijuana is no worse than alcohol or nicotine and that it has been viewed with a "double standard." (Baltimore Sun, 4/15/70) Do you still hold this view which amounts to a position which would favor legalized marijuana?

Mr. <sup>7</sup>Shirver: Senator McGovern has said this campaign will be ~~limited~~ <sup>done</sup> on the issues. Two years ago you attacked Vice-President Agnew saying he "appeals to everything low and mean and bitter in the American character."

(Hartford ~~Courant~~ <sup>5d</sup> - 9/23/70) And then you later said, after someone ~~referred to Mr. Agnew as the "Greek from Baltimore" and that he should be put on a leash: "I might just add a thought that if they (high elected officials) don't like (young people) here, maybe they can go back to Greece with the young people there -- not just to Baltimore, but all the way back to Greece."~~ (Washington Post, 10/8/70)

Does this <sup>unflattering</sup> reference to Mr. Agnew's Greek heritage and the rhetoric you employed ~~mean~~ <sup>mean</sup> that you will continue to campaign on personal ~~lies~~ <sup>lies</sup>?



THE WHITE HOUSE  
Washington, D. C.

Date 8/10

TO: Ken Kaehogian

- Steve Gamble
- Vicki Keller
- Peter Michel
- Roy Morey
- Ray Waldmann
- Pat Dodenhoff
- Ann Loftus
- Linda Loop
- Judy Johnston
- Brad Rich

We are all under  
direct and  
 specific orders  
from the top  
NEVER to

FROM: Ed Harper

refer to the Dem.  
party or to the LBJ or JFK  
Admins or to previous  
administration. The Dem  
party has been captured  
by the McG radical.

FYI	Pls Handle	Comment
-----	------------	---------

Assume this looks  
very good

THE WHITE HOUSE  
Washington, D. C.

Date 8/10

TO: Ken Kachegian

- Steve Gamble
- Vicki Keller
- Peter Michel
- Roy Morey
- Ray Waldmann
- Pat Dodenhoff
- Ann Loftus
- Linda Loop
- Judy Johnston
- Brad Rich

We are all under  
direct and  
 specific orders  
from the top  
NEVER to

FROM: Ed Harper

refer to the Dem.  
party or to the LBJ or JFK  
Admins or to previous  
administration. The Dem  
party has been captured  
by the McG radicals.

FYI	Pls Handle	Comment
-----	------------	---------

Otherwise this looks  
very good

## GEORGE MC GOVERN AND THE WORKING MAN

The only enemy the American worker has in this election year is George S. McGovern. While Senator McGovern spreads the grossest of distortions about President Nixon he simultaneously covers up a record of his own which is poison to every working man and his family in the country.

Senator McGovern has introduced legislation in Congress to provide a guaranteed annual income of \$6500 in welfare money to every family in the United States. This legislation, according to a study by the Senate Finance Committee would place 104 million Americans on the welfare rolls at a staggering tax rise of \$72 billion. We know who will pay the bill -- the American worker.

Senator McGovern has proposed that every man, woman, and child in the country, regardless of need, be given a \$1000 bill. No one would be required to work -- that is, no one but the hard-pressed working man who will be asked to pay higher and higher taxes to finance this hare-brained giveaway program. This plan, according to the Senate Finance Committee could put 111 million Americans on welfare.

If people want higher taxes, they can vote for George McGovern and his \$1000 no-work, hand-out schemes. If people want their \$750 per person tax exemption wiped away, as McGovern has proposed, they can vote for him. If the working man wants to have his back broken to make welfare a way of life, he should vote for Senator McGovern.

And while George McGovern sings the praises of the American worker, he expounds extreme causes which amount to a slap in the face for the average American.

While our sons went off to war to fulfill their obligation, thousands of others became draft dodgers, escaping to foreign countries. Now, George McGovern promises amnesty to draft evaders, saying to them: "Come home with no penalty; all is forgiven." Is this what he means by saying he is the friend of the average American?

While George McGovern asks millions of Americans to have their children bussed miles away to achieve an artificial racial balance, he can afford to pay \$1400 a year to have his child sent to a nearly all-white school.

George McGovern supports the American working man 1000%. He supports us so hard, he is going to raise our taxes, put more of our fellow citizens on welfare, bus our children, and then humiliate America before the eyes of the world while "begging" before our enemy.

Did the American working man labor and sweat through the generations for this kind of nonsense? Did the American labor movement fight for decades to have one man break the economy of our country with fuzzy welfare schemes? Did we go to war and send our sons and grandsons to Vietnam to see a president prepared to crawl to the enemy without any guarantees that our POW.'s and those missing in action would be accounted for?

We say "No thanks" to George McGovern. Let him continue to get endorsements from Jerry Rubin and Abby Hoffman. But he is not going to get our support and the support of millions of other Americans who have had it with the elite cadre which marches to the tune of Senator McGovern's theme song for radicals.

GEORGE MCGOVERN AND THE WORKING MAN

The only enemy the American ~~working man~~ <sup>(worker)</sup> has in ~~the~~ this election year ~~is~~ <sup>George S. McGovern.</sup> ~~McGovern~~ <sup>Senator</sup> is ~~the junior senator from South Dakota~~. While ~~McGovern~~ <sup>Senator</sup> spreads the grossest of distortions about President Nixon he simultaneously covers up a record of his own which is ~~harmful~~ <sup>POISON</sup> to every working man and his family in the country.

Senator McGovern has introduced legislation in Congress to provide a guaranteed annual income of \$6500 in ~~welfare~~ money to every family ~~in~~ in the ~~United States~~. This legislation, according to a study by the ~~Senate~~ Finance Committee would place 104 million Americans on the welfare ~~rolls~~ at ~~a~~ a staggering <sup>tax rise</sup> of \$72 billion. ~~If breaking the back of the taxpayer~~ ~~is~~ ~~an~~ ~~indication~~ ~~of~~ ~~friendship~~ ~~to~~ ~~hard~~ ~~working~~ ~~Americans~~, We know who will pay the bill -- the American worker.

Senator McGovern has proposed that every man, woman, and child in the country, regardless of need, be given a \$1000 bill. No one would be required to work -- that is, no one but the hard-pressed <sup>ed</sup> working man who will be asked to pay higher and higher taxes to finance this hare-brained giveaway program. This <sup>plan</sup> ~~program~~, according to the ~~Senate~~ <sup>te</sup> Finance Committee could put 111 million Americans on welfare.

If people want ~~higher~~ higher taxes, they can vote for George McGovern and his \$1000 no-work, hand-out schemes.

If people want their ~~\$750~~ \$750 per person tax exemption wiped away, as McGovern has proposed, they can vote for ~~him~~ <sup>him.</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>working man</sup> ~~Senator~~ <sup>his</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>back</sup> broken to ~~the~~ ~~industrial~~ ~~workers~~ make welfare a way of ~~the~~ <sup>life</sup> life, ~~they~~ <sup>he</sup> should vote for Senator McGovern.

And while ~~George~~ George McGovern sings the praises of the American worker, he ~~exposes~~ exposes extreme causes which amount to ~~the~~ ~~for~~ a slap in the face for the average American.

While our sons went off to war to fulfill their obligation, thousands of ~~others~~ <sup>others</sup> became draft ~~dodgers~~ dodgers, escaping to foreign countries. Now, George McGovern promises amnesty to draft evaders, ~~saying~~ saying to them: "Come home, with no penalty; all is forgiven." Is this what he means by saying he is the friend of the average American?

~~George McGovern asks~~ <sup>to</sup> While millions of Americans are being told that they have ~~their~~ <sup>miles away</sup> their children bussed ~~miles and miles~~ <sup>he</sup> to achieve an artificial racial balance, ~~George McGovern~~ <sup>can afford to</sup> pay \$1400 a year to have his child sent to a nearly all-white school.

~~Yet, he continues to say he supports bussing for the children of the average American.~~

~~I am sure that~~ George McGovern supports the American working man 1000%. He supports us so hard, he is going to raise our taxes, put more of our ~~the~~ fellow citizens on ~~the~~ welfare, bus our children, and then humiliate America before the eyes ~~of~~ of the world while ~~we~~ "begging" <sup>before</sup> our enemy.

Did the American working man ~~the~~ labor and sweat through the generations for ~~this~~ this kind of nonsense? Did ~~the~~ the American labor movement fight for decades to have one man break the economy of our country with fuzzy welfare schemes? Did we go to war and send our sons and grandsons to <sup>Vietnam</sup> to see a president prepared to crawl to the enemy without any guarantees that ~~our~~ our POW's and those missing in action would be accounted for.

We say ~~we~~ "No thanks" to George McGovern. Let him <sup>continue to get endorsements from</sup> ~~get his backing from such supporters as~~ Jerry Rubin and Abby Hoffman. But he is not going to get our support and the support of millions of other Americans who have

had it with the elite cadre ~~the~~ which marches to the tune of <sup>Senator McGovern's ~~the~~ theme song for</sup> ~~the~~ radicals.



THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

August 1, 1972

ADMINISTRATIVELY CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR:       CHUCK COLSON  
FROM:                   KEN KHACHIGIAN

Re whatever might be done on Henry Kimelman, the following exchange in the U.S. News interview is interesting:

Q: "Do you see one issue emerging as most important?"

A: "I think the central issue, if there is one central theme, is to restore the Government of this country to the hands of the ordinary people rather than permitting the Government to be manipulated and controlled largely by powerful special interests."

Query whether Kimelman's attempted profiteering as an aide to Udall is not "special interest" in nature.

cc: Buchanan

8/1/72

MEMORANDUM FOR CHUCK COLSON

FROM: KEN KHACHIGIAN

Re whatever might be done ~~on~~ on Henry Kimelman, ~~the~~ the following exchange in the U.S. News interview is interesting:

Q: "Do you see one issue emerging as most important?"

A: "I think the ~~central~~ central issue, if there is one central theme, is to ~~restore~~ restore the Government of this country to the hands of the ordinary people rather than permitting the Govern<sup>ment</sup> to be manipulated and controlled largely by powerful special interests."


Query whether Kimelman's attempt~~ed~~ed profiteering as an aide to Udall is not "special interest" in nature.

cc: Buchanan

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 15, 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: RAYMOND K. PRICE, JR.  
FROM: KEN KHACHIGIAN   
SUBJECT: THOUGHTS FOR ACCEPTANCE SPEECH

Basically, here are my thoughts as to the direction of the Acceptance speech after McGovern's last two national performances. Frankly, I would like to see McGovern on the air giving those types of speeches from now till November.

I can't put my finger on it, but it is the whining, whimpering, petulance that seems to me to make the guy sound like, as someone said, a common scold. There is no lift to his speeches, no basic optimism, no relief from the onslaught of national decay.

TIME magazine had an interesting introductory piece this week saying that there was, this summer, "a new summer sweetness, an ease, or apathy, and in some parts of the country a distinct savor of contentment." TIME also said, however, that there were still signs of an "undercurrent of malaise."

TIME is probably right on both counts. As far as the contentment goes, I think RN is right on the money -- the sense of contentment is going to rub off by osmosis to RN's benefit.

The malaise, or alienation, or whatever you want to call it is quite another thing. This is the string McGovern is playing, and he will press it by touching the deepest chords of resentment he can find.

The antidote to this is, as I see it, quite fundamental. It requires an upbeat, optimistic (though not pollyannish), "bully pulpit" approach which will confront the dark thoughts and the sense of foreboding that McGovern is seeking out.

People can be convinced that this disquieting mood is not cause for political upheaval, but rather just the opposite: it is cause to keep the sturdy hand at the tiller, the deft statesmanship, and, yes, the professional President.

Discontent can be channeled toward the up-tightness of McGovern or it can be channeled toward the steadiness of RN. That is our choice to some extent, and the President can make this fairly clear in the Acceptance speech.

The question is do we dwell on our sins, or do we accept our sins and dwell on our virtues (or, as someone said, on the "better angels of our nature").

Frankly, the President needs to stir a lot of people out of the lethargy which causes them to feel sorry for themselves and for the country. That might be the case if we let it slide without confrontation. The national character is just as debatable an issue as the economy or Vietnam. RN has the advantage on this one, and he should use every ounce of moral suasion at his command to mark a retreat from the steady drumbeat of negativism which pervades McGovernism -- the sickly admission that we don't have self-confidence and that we have lost our direction.

As far as I am concerned, this should be the central theme coming out of Miami Beach. Few people can do it as well as RN -- and believe me, there are millions of Americans waiting for their national leader to convince them that they aren't as bad off as everyone seems to say they are.

8/15/72

MEMORANDUM FOR RAYMOND K. PRICE, JR.

FROM: KEN KHACHIGIAN

SUBJECT: THOUGHTS FOR ACCEPTANCE SPEECH

Basically, here are my thoughts as to the direction of the Acceptance speech after McGovern's last two national performances.

Frankly, I would like to see McGovern on the air giving those types of speeches from now till November.

I can't put my finger on it, but it is the whining, whimpering, petulance that seems to me to make the guy sound like, as someone said, a common scold. There is no lift to his speeches, no basic optimism, no relief from the onslaught of national decay.

TIME magazine had an interesting introductory piece this week saying that there was, this summer, "a new summer sweetness, an ease, or apathy, and in some parts of the country a distinct savor of contentment." TIME also said, however, that there were still signs of an "undercurrent of malaise."

TIME is probably right on both counts. As far as the contentment goes, I think RN is right on the money -- the sense of contentment is going to rub off by osmosis to RN's benefit.

The malaise, or alienation, or whatever you want to call it is quite another thing. This is the ~~country~~ <sup>string</sup> McGovern is ~~looking for~~ <sup>playing,</sup> and he will press it ~~by~~ by touching the deepest ~~strings~~ <sup>chords</sup> of resentment he can find. ~~But this time some~~

~~The antidote~~

The antidote <sup>†</sup> to this is, as I see it, quite fundamental. It requires an upbeat, optimistic (though not pollyannish), "bully pulpit" approach which ~~will~~ <sup>the</sup> confront the dark thoughts and ~~sense~~ <sup>sense</sup> of ~~foreboding~~ foreboding that McGovern is seeking out.

People can be convinced that this disquieting mood ~~is~~ is not cause for political upheaval, but rather just the opposite: it is cause to keep the sturdy hand at the rudder, the deft statesm<sup>a</sup>nship, and, ~~yes~~ yes, ~~the~~ the professional President.

Discontent can be channeled toward the up-tightness of McGovern or it can be channeled toward the steadiness of RN. That is our choice to some extent, and the President can make this ~~fairly~~ fairly clear in the Acceptance Speech.

The question is ~~do~~ do we dwell on our sins, or ~~do~~ <sup>do we</sup> accept our sins and dwell on our virtues ~~(or, as someone said, "on the better angels of our nature")~~ <sup>(or, as someone said, "on the better angels of our nature")</sup>.

Frankly, the President needs to stir a lot of people out of the lethargy which causes them to feel sorry for themselves and for the country. That might be the case if we let it slide without confrontation. The national character is just as debatable an issue as the economy or Vietnam. RN has the advantage on this one, and he should use every ounce of moral suasion at his command to mark a retreat from the steady drumbeat of negativism which pervades McGovernism -- the sickly admission that we don't have self-confidence and *that* we have lost our direction,

As far as I am concerned, this should be the central theme coming out of Miami Beach. Few people can do it as well as RN -- and believe me, there are millions of Americans waiting for their national leader to convince them that they aren't as bad off as everyone seems to say they are.